#3 - REQUEST FOR REVIEW: PR19005 / Stant Enterprises Ltd.				
Filed By:	Brent and Tracey Calvert			
Deadline for RFRs:	May 15, 2020			
Date RFR received:	May 15, 2020			
Status of party as per Grandfathering Decision:	Not Directly Affected			

REQUEST FOR BOARD REVIEW

SUBMITTED TO THE NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION BOARD

Application No:					
Name of Operator/Operation:	Feedlot Stant Enterprises Ltd.				
Type of application (check one):	☐ Approval ☐ Registration ☐ Authorization				
Location (legal land description):	NW 18-40-4 WSM				
Municipality:	Clear water County				
I hereby request a Board Review of the Approval Officer's Decision and have the right to request a Board review because (please review all options and check one):					
☐ I am the producer seeking the approval/registration/authorization.					
☐ I represent the producer seeking the approval/registration/authorization.					
☐ I represent the municipal government.					
☐ I am listed as a directly affected party in the Approval Officer's Decision.					
✓ I am not listed as a directly affected party in the Approval Officer's Decision and would like the Board to review my status.					

IMPORTANT INSTRUCTIONS

- You must meet the specified 10-day timeline; otherwise your request will not be considered.
- Section 1 of this form must be completed only if you are requesting that the Board review your status as "not directly affected". Sections 2 to 5 must be completed by all applicants.
- This form must be signed and dated before it is submitted to the Board for its review.
- Be aware that Requests for Board Review are considered public documents. Your submitted request will be provided to all directly affected parties and will also be made available to members of the public upon request.
- For more assistance, please call Laura Friend, Manager, Board Reviews at 403-297-8269.

1. PARTY STATUS

(IF YOU ARE NAMED A DIRECTLY AFFECTED PARTY IN THE APPROVAL OFFICER'S DECISION, YOU DO NOT NEED TO COMPLETE THIS SECTION)

Party status ("directly affected" or "not directly affected") is determined pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Operation Practices Act (AOPA) and its regulations. Upon receipt of an application, the Approval Officer must notify any affected parties. Affected parties include municipalities and owners or occupants of land as determined in accordance with the regulations. To obtain directly affected status, the owner or occupant notified in the above process must provide a written submission to the Approval Officer during the stage at which the Approval Officer considers the application. The Approval Officer will then determine who the directly affected parties are and include this determination in the Decision Summary.

Under its governing legislation, the Board can only consider requests for review submitted by directly affected parties. If you are not listed as directly affected in the Approval Officer's decision, you must request that the Board reconsider your status (please note that under the provisions of AOPA, the Board cannot reconsider the status of a party who has not previously made a submission to the Approval Officer during the application process).

In order to request your status be reconsidered, you must explain why your interests are directly affected by the decision of the Board. Please list these reasons below:

My grounds for requesting directly affected status are as follows:

We would be like to request "directly affected" status due to the fact that we live within the 1.5 mile radius. We live south of the feed byt on RR5.0 12 mile. We were never contacted in any way shape or form. We found out through word of mouth from our neighbor on May 14 2020 at roughly 21:00 hrs.
fact that we live with in the 1.5 mile radius. we live south of
the feed but on RR5-0 Vamile. We were never contacted in
any way shape or form. We found out through word of mouth
from our neighbor on May 14 2020 out roughly 21:00 his
0
our land location is SE 13-40-5 WSM

2. GROUNDS FOR REQUESTING A REVIEW (ALL PARTIES MUST COMPLETE THIS SECTION)

In order to approve an application, NRCB Approval Officers must ensure the requirements of AOPA have been met. Your grounds for requesting a Board review should identify any requirements or specific issues that you believe the Approval Officer failed to adequately address in the Decision.

My grounds for requesting a review of the Approval Officer's decision are as follows: possible almost approach

3.	REASONS	YOU A	RE AFFECTED	BY THE DECISION
----	---------	-------	-------------	-----------------

(ALL PARTIES MUST COMPLETE THIS SECTION)

In order to support your reasons for requesting a review, please explain how you believe you would be affected by the Approval Officer's decision.

I believe that, as a result of the Approval Officer's decision, the following prejudice or damage will result:

OUT	road	will take	he great	er abus	se thoso	it can	bandle	as
Mill	the boi	do this	C7055	laily on	the cou	aty coch	. This	Bridge
	elredy	been	in le	ss ther	perfec	t shape	for no	merous
years						1		
1								li di
Paris and the second								
		Carry to an area of the Contact						

Hamadan II.			indicate the state of the state					
	***************************************						W	
							With the same of t	
								Contract to the second of the
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,							
							er om a fill of the state of th	\$9900 S. 64(\$000_4,000_4
-		HILATON CONTRACTOR CON						

(ALL PARTIES MUST COMPLETE THIS SECTION)
I would like the Board to take the following actions with the respect to the Approval Officer's decision:
Amend or vary the decision
Reverse the decision
Please describe why you believe the Board should take this action:
to and from the site of affected with the travelling of uchicles
of Clear poder?
animals?
- How will this affect the land value of our property?
If the Board decides to grant a review (in the form of either a hearing or a written review), all directly affected parties are eligible to participate. The Board may consider amending the Approval, Registration, or Authorization on any terms and conditions it deems appropriate. Please note the Board cannot make any amendments unless it first decides to grant a review.
If a review is granted by the Board, are there any new conditions, or amendments to existing conditions, that you would like the Board to consider? It is helpful if you identify how you believe your suggested conditions or amendments would address your concerns.

4. ACTION REQUESTED

E AANELAE WITCHES							
5. CONTACT INFORMATION (ALL PARTIES MUST COMPLETE THIS SECTION)							
Contact information of the person requesting the review:							
Name: Brent: Tracey Calvert							
Address in Alberta: Box 153							
Leslieville, AB							
Legal Land Description: SE-13-405WS							
Phone Number: Fax Number:							
E-Mail Address:	<u>+</u>						
Signature: Ofco	rey Calver	Date:	Hay 15,2000				
Please note that all sections of the form must be completed in order for your request to be considered. Also, if you do not meet the timeline identified, your request will not be considered. Form must be signed and dated before being submitted for Board consideration							
If you are, or will be, represented by another party, please provide their contact information (Note: If you are represented by legal counsel, correspondence from the Board will be directed to your counsel)							
Name:	70000						
Address:							
Phone Number: Fax Number:							
E-Mail Address:							
When you have completed your request, please send it, with any supporting documents to:							
Laura Friend, Manager, Board Reviews Natural Resources Conservation Board		Phone:	403-297-8269				
19 th Floor Centennial Place 250 – 5 th Street SW Calgary, AB T2P 0R4		Email:	laura.friend@nrcb.ca				
			The state of the s				

Please note, Requests for Board Review are considered public documents. Your submitted request will be provided to all directly affected parties and will also be made available to members of the public upon request.

For more assistance, please call Laura Friend, Manager, Board Reviews at 403-297-8269.