#12 - REQUEST FOR REVIEW: LA20014 / Granum Colony				
Filed By:	Ken and Debra Loeffler			
Deadline for RFRs:	September 17, 2020			
Date RFR received:	September 17, 2020			
Status of party as per Decision Summary:	Directly Affected			

ATTENTION LAURA Friend

REQUEST FOR BOARD REVIEW SUBMITTED TO THE NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION BOARD

Application No.:	LA20014	,			
Name of Operator/Operation:	Hutterian Brethren Church of Granum				
Type of application (check one):	☐ Approval	☐ Registration	☑ Authorization		
Location (legal land description):	SE 25-11-28 W4M				
Municipality:	Municipal District of Willow Creek				
I hereby request a Board Review of the Approval Officer's Decision and have the right to request a Board review because (please review all options and check one): I am the producer seeking the approval/registration/authorization. I represent the producer seeking the approval/registration/authorization. I represent the municipal government. I am listed as a directly affected party in the Approval Officer's Decision. I am not listed as a directly affected party in the Approval Officer's Decision and would like the Board to review my status.					

IMPORTANT INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. You must meet the specified 10-day timeline; otherwise your request will not be considered.
- 2. Section 1 of this form must be completed only if you are requesting that the Board review your status as "not directly affected". Sections 2 to 5 must be completed by all applicants.
- This form must be signed and dated before it is submitted to the Board for its review.
- 4. Be aware that Requests for Board Review are considered public documents. Your submitted request will be provided to all directly affected parties and will also be made available to members of the public upon request.
- 5. For more assistance, please call Laura Friend, Manager, Board Reviews at 403-297-8269.

1.	PA	RT	YS'	ΤΔ7	TUS
----	----	----	-----	-----	------------

(IF YOU ARE NAMED A DIRECTLY AFFECTED PARTY IN THE APPROVAL OFFICER'S DECISION, YOU DO NOT NEED TO COMPLETE THIS SECTION)

Party status ("directly affected" or "not directly affected") is determined pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Operation Practices Act (AOPA) and its regulations. Upon receipt of an application, the Approval Officer must notify any affected parties. Affected parties include municipalities and owners or occupants of land as determined in accordance with the regulations. To obtain directly affected status, the owner or occupant notified in the above process must provide a written submission to the Approval Officer during the stage at which the Approval Officer considers the application. The Approval Officer will then determine who the directly affected parties are and include this determination in the Decision Summary.

Under its governing legislation, the Board can only consider requests for review submitted by directly affected parties. If you are not listed as directly affected in the Approval Officer's decision, you must request that the Board reconsider your status (please note that under the provisions of AOPA, the Board cannot reconsider the status of a party who has not previously made a submission to the Approval Officer during the application process).

In order to request your status be reconsidered, you must explain why your interests are directly affected by the decision of the Board. Please list these reasons below:

my grounds for requ	acoung uncerty a	necieu siaiu	is are as tollo	ows;	
	<u> </u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
					
			• .		
			_		
					<u>: </u>
			<u> </u>		

2. GROUNDS FOR REQUESTING A REVIEW (ALL PARTIES MUST COMPLETE THIS SECTION)
in order to approve an application, NRCB Approval Officers must ensure the requirements of AOPA have been met. Your grounds for requesting a Board review should identify any requirements or specific issues that you believe the Approval Officer failed to adequately address in the Decision.
My grounds for requesting a review of the Approval Officer's decision are as follows:
We believe that the Approval Officer has just pushed aside any concerns that ourselves and other land owners in this area have submitted to the NRCB. We were notified that the Granum Colony had applied for the construction a new poultry confined feeding operation for 20,000 chickens and were advised to submit our concerns. What weren't advised of that right beside that chicken facility will also be another poultry operation (ducke and geese) and that there will also be a new colony built in the same area as the chicken facility in the future. It seems that we are only given notification on one facility at a time and are told that we can only submit our concerns about that one facility, but we already know that there are other facilities coming. We all know that once one facility is approved, as the chicken barn has been, there will be no chance of fighting against the other facilities. I find it very convenient that this poultry confined feeding operation is being pushed through during the year of the COVID virus, when it is hard to get the AHS and the AEP to take the time to check out the situation at hand. Also it has been stated by the Approval Officer that there is two CFO's in the area when there is actually five CFO's in this area. So do we really have to deal with a sixth.

3. REASONS YOU ARE AFFECTED BY THE DECISION (ALL PARTIES MUST COMPLETE THIS SECTION)

in order to support your reasons for requesting a review, please explain how you believe you would be affected by the Approval Officer's decision.

I believe that, as a result of the Approval Officer's decision, the following prejudice or damage will result:

The Approval Officer has stated that we will smell some chicken manure during the spreading seasons, but we are also going to smell manure directly from the chicken facility itself. We will not be able to enjoy our own properties due to the smell that will be released into the air from this facility.

There will be higher stress and depression from having to be confined to your home, not being able to open windows or sit outside to enjoy a summer evening. This facility will impact our health and mental health, there will be more possibilities for allergies, asthma and other respiratory issues from the dust, bacteria and other toxins released from this chicken barn. And how are the deads being disposed of? Just dumped on the land? This would mean the smell of decaying carcasses, and higher fly infestations. When these chickens are of an age that they are no longer laying eggs, how are their lives ended? Are humane methods in place?

Then there is the concern of the increase in traffic. The NRCB Approval Officer has stated that there will not be a significan increase in traffic, but we have already seen a increase in the Hutterite Semi Trucks that are flying down Range Road 280 right past our house and the dust from these trucks has drifted across our pasture right up to our house. So it has already begun and the chicken facility has not even been built yet! This dust is being breathed in by us, our cattle and horses that are grazing in those pastures, but we are told that this will not have a negative impact on us. These roads, when it is hot and dry, break down to a very fine dust that floats for miles, but when we have moisture the roads become very soft and can be quite dangerous when having to move over for oncoming traffic. So the increase in heavy traffic will deterioate the roads more quickly, resulting in higher taxes. Also, it is not only the residents in the area that will have to contend with bad road conditions, but the school buses that also travel these roads to pick up the children in the area 5 days a week.

We are told that water quality and quantity is not a concern with the NRCB, but it is a concern of ours, and we can not see how that the 2 poultry facilities and a Huttente colony is not going to affect our wells. Do we not have the right to have drinking water and water for household use? And who will be responsible if these facilities do affect our wells? As fer flooding, I guess you have to live here to realize how much land in the area ends up under water.

	REQUESTED UST COMPLETE THIS SECTION)
l would like t Officer's dec	he Board to take the following actions with the respect to the Approval ision:
	Amend or vary the decision
	Reverse the decision
Please descr	ibe why you believe the Board should take this action:
people reside	seen where these type of facilities are built so close in the vicinity of where. Usually they have a distance of 5 to 10 miles from other residences, so why is different? I feel that our rights are not being taken into consideration.
Approval, Reginate the Please note the review.	cides to grant a review (in the form of either a hearing or a written review), all d parties are eligible to participate. The Board may consider amending the istration, or Authorization on any terms and conditions it deems appropriate. The Board cannot make any amendments unless it first decides to grant a ranted by the Board, are there any new conditions, or amendments to existing
conditions, that	t you would like the Board to consider? It is helpful if you identify how you believed to consider to existing if you identify how you believed to conditions or amendments would address your concerns.
piacę.	s are allowed to proceed, we feel that there needs to be some conditions put in
The colony nee be travelling wil	ds to do something about the control of the dust from the roads that they will he their bigger equipment and semi's.
oe conducted.	tion and operation of the facility and that water testing of drinking water should. We think that this should be a condition to ensure that surrounding landowners lose the quality of their water supplied to their households.
-	
·	

5. CONTACT INFOR		J			
Contact information of	the person requesting t	he review:			
Name:	Ken and Debra Loeffler				
Address in Alberta:					
,	Claresholm, AB				
Legal Land Description:	SW 19-11-27 W4				
Phone Number:		Fax I	Number:		
E-Mail Address:					
lf you are, or will be, rep information (Note: If you Board will be directed to	resented by another pa	npleted in order ur request will no bmitted for Boar	the control of the second of the second of the		
Name:					
Address:					
Phone Number:	one Number: Fax Number;				
E-Mail Address:	•				
When you Laura Friend, Ma	·W	equest, pleas	e send it, with any 403-297-8269 laura.friend@nrcb.ca		
i'					

Please note, Requests for Board Review are considered public documents. Your submitted request will be provided to all directly affected parties and will also be made available to members of the public upon request.

For more assistance, please call Laura Friend, Manager, Board Reviews at 403-297-8269.