

#1 - REQUEST FOR REVIEW: LA21018 /JBC Cattle Inc.

Filed By: **Jim Ragan**

Deadline for RFRs: September 30, 2021

Date RFR received: September 20, 2021

Status of party as per Decision Summary: Directly Affected

REQUEST FOR BOARD REVIEW
SUBMITTED TO THE NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION BOARD

Application No:	LA21018
Name of Operator/Operation:	JBC Cattle Inc.
Type of application (check one):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approval <input type="checkbox"/> Registration <input type="checkbox"/> Authorization
Location (legal land description):	SW 11-15-18 W4
Municipality:	MD of Taber

I hereby request a Board Review of the Approval Officer's Decision and have the right to request a Board review because (please review all options and check one):

- I am the producer seeking the approval/registration/authorization.
- I represent the producer seeking the approval/registration/authorization.
- I represent the municipal government.
- I am listed as a directly affected party in the Approval Officer's Decision.
- I am not listed as a directly affected party in the Approval Officer's Decision and would like the Board to review my status.

IMPORTANT INSTRUCTIONS

1. You must meet the specified 10-day timeline; otherwise your request will not be considered.
2. Section 1 of this form must be completed only if you are requesting that the Board review your status as "not directly affected". Sections 2 to 5 must be completed by all applicants.
3. This form must be signed and dated before it is submitted to the Board for its review.
4. Be aware that Requests for Board Review are considered public documents. Your submitted request will be provided to all directly affected parties and will also be made available to members of the public upon request.
5. For more assistance, please call Laura Friend, Manager, Board Reviews at 403-297-8269.

1. PARTY STATUS

(IF YOU ARE NAMED A DIRECTLY AFFECTED PARTY IN THE APPROVAL OFFICER'S DECISION, YOU DO NOT NEED TO COMPLETE THIS SECTION)

Party status ("*directly affected*" or "*not directly affected*") is determined pursuant to the provisions of the *Agricultural Operation Practices Act (AOPA)* and its regulations. Upon receipt of an application, the Approval Officer must notify any affected parties. Affected parties include municipalities and owners or occupants of land as determined in accordance with the regulations. To obtain directly affected status, the owner or occupant notified in the above process must provide a written submission to the Approval Officer during the stage at which the Approval Officer considers the application. The Approval Officer will then determine who the directly affected parties are and include this determination in the Decision Summary.

Under its governing legislation, the Board can only consider requests for review submitted by directly affected parties. If you are not listed as directly affected in the Approval Officer's decision, you must request that the Board reconsider your status (*please note that under the provisions of AOPA, the Board cannot reconsider the status of a party who has not previously made a submission to the Approval Officer during the application process*).

In order to request your status be reconsidered, you must explain why your interests are directly affected by the decision of the Board. Please list these reasons below:

My grounds for requesting directly affected status are as follows:

I am within 1 1/2 miles of the proposed feedlot operation.

2. GROUNDS FOR REQUESTING A REVIEW

(ALL PARTIES MUST COMPLETE THIS SECTION)

In order to approve an application, NRCB Approval Officers must ensure the requirements of AOPA have been met. Your grounds for requesting a Board review should identify any requirements or specific issues that you believe the Approval Officer failed to adequately address in the Decision.

My grounds for requesting a review of the Approval Officer's decision are as follows:

In the past 2 years approval has been given for 3 feedlots, all very close in proximity to my home. There is just getting to be too much. Traffic of big trucks has increased substantially as well as smaller vehicle traffic with regard to workers, suppliers, etc.

3. CONTACT INFORMATION

(ALL PARTIES MUST COMPLETE THIS SECTION)

In order to support your reasons for requesting a review, please explain how you believe you would be affected by the Approval Officer's decision.

I believe that, as a result of the Approval Officer's decision, the following prejudice or damage will result:

We have previously lived in "feedlot alley" in Iron Springs so we know that there will be smell and flies (making outdoor activities unpleasant, road traffic increase and deterioration, dust and a drop in land values.

4. CONTACT INFORMATION

(ALL PARTIES MUST COMPLETE THIS SECTION)

I would like the Board to take the following actions with the respect to the Approval Officer's decision:

Amend or vary the decision

Reverse the decision

Please describe why you believe the Board should take this action:

In the past 2 years, approval has been given for a 40,000 head feedlot, plus a 9,000 head feedlot (1/2 mile away from our home) and now this approval is for another 30,000 head feedlot. These are all within 3 miles of our home. We have sent in our concerns previously listing odor, traffic, dust, road deterioration, flies, etc.

If the Board decides to grant a review (in the form of either a hearing or a written review), all directly affected parties are eligible to participate. The Board may consider amending the Approval, Registration, or Authorization on any terms and conditions it deems appropriate. **Please note the Board cannot make any amendments unless it first decides to grant a review.**

If a review is granted by the Board, are there any new conditions, or amendments to existing conditions, that you would like the Board to consider? It is helpful if you identify how you believe your suggested conditions or amendments would address your concerns.

5. CONTACT INFORMATION

(ALL PARTIES MUST COMPLETE THIS SECTION)

Contact information of the person requesting the review:

Name: *Jim Ragan*

Address in Alberta:

[Redacted] Enchant, AB T0K 0V0

Legal Land Description:

NE 18-15-17 W4

Phone Number:

[Redacted]

Fax Number:

E-Mail Address:

[Redacted]

Signature:

Jim Ragan
Optional

Date:

Sept 20, 2021
Required

If you do not meet the timeline identified, your request will not be considered.

If you are, or will be, represented by another party, please provide their contact information (Note: If you are represented by legal counsel, correspondence from the Board will be directed to your counsel)

Name:

Address:

Phone Number:

Fax Number:

E-Mail Address:

When you have completed your request, please send it, with any supporting documents to:

Laura Friend, Manager, Board Reviews
Natural Resources Conservation Board
19th Floor Centennial Place
250 – 5th Street SW
Calgary, AB T2P 0R4

Phone: 403-297-8269

Email: laura.friend@nrcb.ca

Please note, Requests for Board Review are considered public documents. Your submitted request will be provided to all directly affected parties and will also be made available to members of the public upon request.

For more assistance, please call Laura Friend, Manager, Board Reviews at 403-297-8269.