1	
2	NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION BOARD
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	NRCB AOPA REVIEW HEARING
8	A&D CATTLE LTD./LA21037
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	PROCEEDINGS
15	
16	
17	
18	Volume 1
19	July 7, 2022
20	(Via videoconferencing)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Natural Resources Conservation	n Board Proceedings taken			
2	virtually.				
3					
4	Volume 1				
5	July 7, 2022				
6	Deter Maleshum				
7	Peter Woloshyn Walter Ceroici	Chair Commission Member			
8	Sandi Roberts	Commission Member			
9	William Kennedy	Commission Counsel			
10	Laura Friend Janet Harvey Sylvia Kaminski	Commission Staff Commission Staff Commission Staff			
11	Carolyn Taylor	Commission Staff			
12	Makram Elhakim	MNP Technologies			
13	Fiona Vance Joe Sonnenberg	For NRCB Field Services NRCB Field Services			
14	Andy Cumming	NRCB Field Services			
15	Cody Metheral Adrian van Huigenbos	For A&D Cattle Ltd. A&D Cattle Ltd.			
16 17	Shauna Finlay Derrick Krizsan	For MD of Willow Creek MD of Willow Creek			
18	Diane Horvath Cindy Chisholm	MD of Willow Creek MD of Willow Creek			
19	Janice Agrios	For Town of Fort Macleod			
20	Adrian Pedro Keli Sandford	Town of Fort Macleod Town of Fort Macleod			
21	Gavin Scott	Town of Fort Macleod			
22	Wade and Kaitlyn Conner	In their own stead			
23	Dwain and Victoria Lewis	In their own stead			
24	Lorelee Vespa CSR(A) CRR RPR Eveliene Symonds, CSR(A)	Official Court Reporters			
25					

1 (PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT 9:00 A.M.)

2 THE CHAIR: Good morning to everyone. My name 3 is Peter Woloshyn. I'll be chairing the Panel today 4 for the NRCB to hear the review of approval officer's 5 Decision LA21037 for A&D Cattle. We really do 6 appreciate you all accommodating the Board's request to 7 hold a hearing today.

Before we begin the formal part of the hearing, I 8 would like to pay tribute to and have an Indigenous 9 10 land acknowledgement. I want to take a moment to 11 respectfully acknowledge that we are coming together 12 online from the traditional lands of Treaties 6, 7, and 13 I recognize the many First Nations, Métis and Inuit 8. 14 whose footsteps have marked these lands for centuries 15 and share a deep history with this land. And we are spread across today, I think, all three treaties, if I 16 17 have it right, so all treaty areas.

To help you identify the Panel, we have Zoom backgrounds with a mountain scene, as you see on my screen, for the three Panel members who I'll introduce briefly. And our staff have -- the Board staff also have backgrounds that have an NRCB logo on them. So it will be relatively easy to identify us folks I hope.

I'd like to briefly introduce my Panel memberstoday.

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

09:01

Sandi Roberts. Can Sandi -- hi, Sandi. Ms. Roberts is an agricultural engineer, and she was an approval officer with the NRCB until 2010, and has been a municipal councillor for the Town of Carstairs, and was an instructor a number of times with Olds College.

Mr. Ceroici. Everyone can see Mr. Ceroici. Perfect.

Walter has extensive experience in the development 8 9 of environmental risk management tools and groundwater 10 assessment policies to support sustainable livestock 11 and industry development, and he acquired that through 12 his past work at Alberta Environment and Parks and 13 during his tenure as lead of NRCB Science and 14 Technology division. So Walter's an acting Board 15 member and was on staff for a number of years with the NRCB and since retired. 16

17 Again, my name is Peter Woloshyn. I grew up on a 18 forage and beef farm near Devon, close to Edmonton. Ι 19 was appointed CEO of the NRCB in May of 2006 and up 20 until my appointment as Chair in December of 2017. Ι 21 have a background in resource economics, crop 22 insurance, policy development, and have led research 23 divisions with Alberta Agriculture in crops and 24 livestock area.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

We also have assisting the Panel, Mr. Kennedy. So

4

09:02

Mr. Kennedy -- I think his screen is off right now. 1 2 There he is. Hello, Mr. Kennedy, good morning. 3 General counsel for the Board, and a little shout out 4 to Bill. He's now in his 30th year with the NRCB. So we've been just delighted and it's been a privilege 5 6 having Bill with us. 7 Ms. Friend, also a longtime Board staff member, manager of review. So you've all met her with all of 8 9 the dealings to get this hearing up and running. And thanks to you, Laura, for that. And Laura's been with 10 11 the NRCB for 20 years now. 12 So if you have any difficulties during the

13 hearing, we'd ask you to phone or text Laura --Ms. Friend -- and you can do that at -- if you don't 14 15 have the number already, maybe take this down just in 16 case -- that number is 403-620-8294, and she can help 17 you and that may involve getting MNP involved.

18 But depending on the issue, we may have to pause 19 the hearing until everybody gets fully connected again. 20 It seems to be working now, so we'll cross our fingers on the technology. 21

For Field Services today, we have Joe Sonnenberg, 23 the approval officer who handled the application. Good morning, Mr. Sonnenberg. Ms. Fiona Vance, chief legal officer for Field Services, who will be representing

22

24

25

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

5

the approval officer. And Mr. Andy Cumming who is 1 2 director of Field Services on the application side. 3 Good morning, Mr. Cumming. 4 And we have two staff that I think are coming on 5 now -- yes, I see them there -- who are doing our 6 virtual onscreen document management, and they are our 7 rock stars for document management. They are Ms. Taylor and Ms. Kaminski. So Sylvia Kaminski and 8 9 Carolyn Taylor, good morning. 10 So when you want a document on screen when 11 you're -- if you're giving direct evidence as an 12 example, and you'd like a document up, you can please 13 let us know what the document number is by exhibit, and we'll do that in a minute, but by the exhibit number 14 15 and then the pdf page number. And it really helps if we have the actual pdf page number. 16 17 So some documents that we've had submitted have 18 multiple pages, multiple attachments. So if it's 19 attachment -- in an attachment with a page Number 60, 20 it's going to be pretty tough for us to find. That 21 entire document should have a 1 through, say, 900 pdf 22 numbers on it and just tell us it's pdf Number 122 if 23 that's the number, as an example. It really helps our 24 document managers get to that document and page number

25

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

quickly. And if you give them that information, I can

09:05

09:06

1 assure you they will have it up quickly. They are very 2 good at this. 3 And our MNP Zoom host for the hearing, 4 Mr. Mak Elhakim, with Meyers Norris Penny, and MNP 5 provide the NRCB with our IT support, and he'll be overseeing the technical matters for the virtual 6 7 hearing today and has already done that this morning. So welcome, Mr. Elhakim, and thank you. 8 Our court reporters for today from 9 Amicus Reporting will be providing a transcript for the 10 09:06 11 hearing, which is very useful. Welcome back, 12 Ms. Vespa. We're thrilled to have you back with us. 13 She's old hand with the NRCB now on a number of our 14 hearings. 15 And welcome to, I think, a first time for NRCB 16 hearings, Ms. Symonds, But she'll begin this afternoon. 17 I suppose we can introduce her later -- or are you 18 going to be handling the whole day, Ms. Vespa? 19 Oh, you are. Okay. Perfect. Well, thank you, 20 and welcome back. 09:07 21 During the hearing --22 (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD) 23 THE CHAIR: I think we're back and ready to 24 go. 25 So I just need to get my screen organized here.

Just give me one second. 1 2 THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Chair, the last we heard was 3 "During the hearing." 4 THE CHAIR: Yeah, I just have to find it on my 5 All right. screen. Thank you. 6 So where I was going with that is we do need you 7 to identify yourself when you're speaking so the court reporters can record who is speaking, and if you could 8 9 do that very clearly. And when you're speaking, if you could speak, you know, fairly slowly and clearly so 10 09:09 11 that it gives the court reporters a chance to get the 12 transcript right, we'd really appreciate it. 13 Ms. Vespa, as usual, don't hesitate to interrupt 14 us if you are having trouble either hearing or keeping 15 up with someone if someone is speaking too quickly. 16 The NRCB has provided a YouTube link as well on 17 its website for members of the public to observe. The 18 link is on NRCB's main web page. And, also, the 19 meeting is being recorded and will be saved on NRCB's 20 YouTube channel. So if you have someone that you were 09:09 21 hoping could listen in, you could forward that 22 information to them as the hearing progresses. 23 There's members of the media. Is Ms. Harvey 24 online or is she on YouTube? I am not sure. But our 25 communications person is Ms. Janet Harvey. So if there

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

is any members of the media that would like to do any 1 interviews or have any questions, she can be reached by 2 3 email at janet.harvey@nrcb.ca. Alternatively, her cell 4 number is 780-720-2317. If you can't get ahold of her, 5 you could also text Laura and she'll help you out. 6 So the purpose of the hearing is to review 7 Decision LA21037 that was dated April 1 of this year, 2022, and that was issued by approval officer 8 9 Joe Sonnenberg. The confined feeding operation, or CFO, is located 10 09:10 11 at NE 27-8-26, West of the 4th Meridian, in the 12 Municipal District of Willow Creek, and it's roughly 13 2 1/2 kilometres from the Town of Fort Macleod. 14 The approval officer denied the application to 15 construct a new 2,000 head beef finisher CFO, and he 16 ruled that the application was inconsistent with the 17 intermunicipal development plan that was in place as of 18 March 9, 2022. 19 We received three requests for review and they 20 were received by the filing deadline of April 26. 09:11 21 Those were from the MD of Willow Creek; from the operator, A&D Cattle; and from directly affected party 22 23 neighbour, Mr. Dwain Lewis. 24 Portions of the public record maintained by the 25 approval officer were provided on April 29th of 2022,

and rebuttals were received within the filing deadline of May 3, 2022, from directly affected parties Wade and Kaitlyn Conner, and the Town of Fort Macleod. All submissions for the hearing and replies were received by the filing deadlines.

So your participation at the hearing is important to the Panel. We look forward to the information that you'll be providing, and recognize that our decision will have a significant impact on your community. We take this responsibility very seriously.

11 The process that we follow is inherently formal. 12 It is a quasi-judicial proceeding, so a certain level 13 of formality is appropriate, in our view. However, we 14 recognize that some parties are not used to the 15 And to minimize the formality to the extent process. 16 possible, we want to have participants comfortable in 17 presenting the information to the Panel and able to ask 18 questions when they need to.

We do have two external parties represented by legal counsel who are undoubtedly familiar with tribunal processes. Several other parties are not represented by legal counsel.

You know, you may be unsure at times about the
process, but the Board encourages you to ask questions
at any time if you're not sure about where we're at in

09:11

09:12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

the process or when you're allowed to interject, and do 1 2 that at any point. I'll do my best to answer your 3 question, or we can get Mr. Kennedy to help you out, 4 and if necessary -- Mr. Kennedy has done this many times before -- we can have a short break and he can 5 just kind of get you up to speed a bit and it's not an 6 7 issue at all. We want to make sure that you're comfortable so that we get the information we need to 8 9 make the best decision we can.

10 So in terms of the brief overview for the 11 hearing -- and you may have hopefully read some of the 12 background information that we have for our hearings, 13 but we will register all the parties, and then each 14 participant will have an opportunity to address any 15 preliminary matters that you have, if any.

16 Once that's done, we'll begin with the evidentiary 17 or direct evidence portion of the hearing. And in this 18 hearing, the Board believes it's beneficial for NRCB's 19 Field Services to present their direct evidence first, 20 followed by A&D Cattle, then the MD of Willow Creek, 21 the Town of Fort Macleod, and then directly affected 22 neighbours.

When it's your turn to provide evidence, you'll be sworn in or affirmed -- it's your choice -- by the court reporter. And once you're sworn in or affirmed,

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

09:13

any new written evidence that you want us to consider may be entered into the record on request. We then give you a chance to highlight and expand upon any points in your submission that you feel are particularly significant.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

You don't need to reread your submissions, we've all read the submissions, but there is undoubtedly some pieces of that submission that you would like to highlight for the Board or clarify further.

10 After you've presented that evidence, you'll be 11 open to questions or cross-examination by other 12 parties, NRCB field services included, and the Board 13 counsel and Panel members.

14 Now, once the questioning is complete, the party that presented evidence will have an opportunity to 15 16 introduce any redirect evidence, which essentially 17 gives you a chance to submit anything further that you may want to provide based on the questions that were 18 19 posed to you during the cross-examination portion. And 20 if you believe there's some information that the Panel 21 should have, that would be your opportunity to provide 22 it after you're questioned.

23 Once we've completed direct evidence and 24 questioned by all parties, A&D Cattle, you have the 25 opportunity to provide some rebuttal evidence, and if

09:14

09:14

you provide rebuttal evidence, other parties will be 1 2 given opportunity to ask some questions on that. 3 And then, lastly, everybody will have opportunity 4 for final argument. These are not obligations, they're 5 not requirements, but they're opportunities if you wish 6 to take advantage of them. 7 So just before we get into the next piece, based on the process for the hearing, is everyone familiar 8 9 with the process and do you have -- or do you have any questions that you'd like to ask at this point? 10 09:15 11 Okay. Hearing none. 12 We'll be taking a few breaks today, and I'll try to time those at sort of convenient breaks where 13 somebody -- if we have a panel that's going to change 14 15 over to the next panel, we'll do it then rather than 16 try to interrupt somebody in the middle of a panel 17 discussion, and we'll have a lunch break as well. I'11 18 be specific about how long those breaks are, and we are 19 pretty committed to the return times just to make sure 20 that we can get done on time. 09:15 21 We hope to be done between 4 and 5 today, so I 22 guess I'd like to quickly ask, is there anyone that 23 would have other commitments or have trouble staying to 24 at least 5 if we need until 5:00 to complete today. Ι 25 see no hands up or I hear no objections. All right.

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

And, Ms. Vespa, that works for you as well? 1 Good. 2 I see you nodding. Yeah. Thank you. 3 And Mr. Elhakim with MNP? 4 MR. ELHAKIM: Yes, no problem. 5 THE CHAIR: So if we lose those two, we're in 6 trouble, so we have no virtual. So I wanted to 7 confirm. Thank you very much. Okay. So document managers, if you could flip to, on the 8 9 screen, the participant registration. Those are the parties that I believe are online now, and those are 10 09:16 11 the parties that we have registered so far. I would 12 ask if there any errors, mistakes, to let us know now, 13 and I think we can forward this document also to Ms. Vespa so she has spellings of all the parties. 14 15 So we have representatives and the people 16 providing evidence for the approval officer, 17 A&D Cattle, Willow Creek, Town of Fort Macleod, and 18 then the neighbours, affected parties, Mr. and 19 Mrs. Conner and Mr. and Mrs. Lewis. 20 If I have any errors there, please let me know 09:17 21 now, or if I missed anybody that is participating today that should be on this list. 22 23 MS. VANCE: Mr. Chair, this is Fiona Vance, 24 counsel for Field Services. Mr. Andy Cumming will be 25 briefly giving some evidence, so he should be added to

1 this list. 2 THE CHAIR: Thank you. So, Ms. Vespa, can we 3 add Mr. Andy Cumming? Thank you. 4 Any others? Hearing none. Perfect. We have 5 Thank you, document managers. that. 6 So before we get started on the evidentiary 7 portion, are there any preliminary matters that anyone would like to address, procedural, legal, or others? 8 9 Hearing none. We'll adopt an exhibit list. So Ms. Friend 10 09:18 11 prepared an exhibit list and posted it on the website 12 with exhibit numbers. Document managers, if you could 13 bring up that list, that would be great. There we go. 14 So we have 26 exhibits so far, at least, and what 15 I'd like to do is just through approval or at least no 16 objection adopt this exhibit list as our exhibit list 17 for the hearing. 18 Are there any objections to adopting this list as 19 the exhibit list, which is also available on the 20 website. 09:19 21 I see none and I hear no objections, so that makes 22 it easy, and we'll be referring to those throughout the day. 23 24 Okay. So we can take that down. Thank you,

document managers.

25

1		0kay.	Perfect. So we'll get started with the	
2		evidentiary	portion of the hearing, and as I mentioned	
3		earlier, we	would start with Field Services and	
4		approval of	ficers.	
5		So, Ms	. Vance, I'll pass the mic over to you, and	
6		you can prod	ceed.	
7	MS.	VANCE :	Thank you so much. So my name is	
8		Fiona Vance	. I'm legal counsel for Field Services and	
9		the approva	l officer and	
10	THE	CHAIR:	Ms. Vance, sorry, I should have	09:19
11		asked. I f [.]	igured you might, but we should probably	
12		either now o	or you can ask for swearing in for	
13		Mr. Sonnenbe	erg.	
14	MS.	VANCE :	Yes, I will get there.	
15	THE	CHAIR:	Okay. Perfect. Thank you.	
16	MS.	VANCE :	Thank you. I may need reminding.	
17	THE	CHAIR:	Well, I usually do, so that's why.	
18	MS.	VANCE :	Ms. Vespa is also very good at	
19		reminding.		
20	THE	CHAIR:	Yeah, okay.	09:20
21	MS.	VANCE :	Thank you for the opportunity to	
22		present some	e evidence today.	
23		Just fo	or clarity to couch some context around the	
24		evidence you	u will hear, the approval officer and NRCB	
25		Field Servio	ces are not taking a position on what I	

Examined by Ms. Vance

1	would consider to be the main issues today.	
2	So we're not taking a position on whether the	
3	policy of using the MDP or IDP in place at the time of	
4	decision should remain. We are also taking no position	
5	on whether the Board should uphold, vary, or overturn	
6	the approval officer's decision.	
7	However, there has been some suggestion about	
8	possible delay on the part of the approval officer in	
9	issuing his decision. That is Issue 3 as identified by	
10	the Board in Exhibit 17, which is the RFR decision. It	09:20
11	touches on procedural fairness, and we are entitled to	
12	respond to that.	
13	And so to that end, I will be calling	
14	Mr. Joe Sonnenberg, the approval officer. And to	
15	provide context and also to answer any questions that	
16	parties or the panel may have, I will also call	
17	Andy Cumming to give some evidence.	
18	So, at this point, Ms. Vespa, I wonder if we could	
19	have those two witnesses affirmed or sworn in.	
20		09:21
21	<u>J. SONNENBERG, A. CUMMING</u> (For NRCB Field Services),	
22	affirmed	
23	MS. VANCE EXAMINES THE PANEL:	
24	Q. So I'm going to start with Mr. Sonnenberg.	
25	And, again, for a bit of context and to understand	

h			ন
1		where these questions are coming from, I am not	
2		planning to review the life of this file day by day.	
3		In my view, the Board has lots of factual information	
4		about this, which we will refer to. Several exhibits	
5		have this in, and I feel an oral testimony of	
6		day-by-day rehashing would not be particularly helpful	
7		to the Board and maybe not an efficient use of hearing	
8		time. So I will ask Mr. Sonnenberg to point out some	
9		clarifications, and then, of course, he will be	
10		available to answer questions.	09:22
11		So, Mr. Sonnenberg, I wonder we'll start with	
12		some easy questions for you. What is your position at	
13		the NRCB?	
14	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: So I'm an approval officer out of	
15		the Lethbridge office.	
16	Q.	And how long have you been in that position?	
17	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Just over five years.	
18	Q.	So I'd like to ask you some general questions about	
19		processing application files, and my purpose in asking	
20		these is to assist the Board in understanding the life	09:23
21		of a given application file. So setting amendments	
22		aside, what types of permits do you see applied for?	
23	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: So in general, we see a mixture of	
24		approvals, registrations, and authorizations, which are	
25		essentially just varying from bigger applications where	

Examined by Ms. Vance

h======			= 1
1		animals are being added to small applications where	
2		maybe it's just an addition to a barn or something like	
3		that at an existing site.	
4	Q.	And if you're able to answer this question, could you	
5		give a ballpark estimate of how many files you see in a	
6		given year?	
7	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: So, yeah, it would generally be	
8		around 20 per year with a range of about 15 to 35.	
9	Q.	And, again, if you can answer this, what portion of	
10		those applications are approval applications?	09:24
11	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: It varies a little bit, but for	
12		me, I would say around 75 percent.	
13	Q.	Okay. Thank you. So the file in question was an	
14		approval, so let's just focus on those for a moment.	
15		And I do recognize there is no such thing as a typical	
16		application, but in general, could you, please, tell	
17		the Board the steps that you go through in processing	
18		an application?	
19	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah. So in general, we start out	
20		following, well, what AOPA lays out, so it's a two-part	09:24
21		application process. So what gets the ball rolling is	
22		we would receive a Part 1 early in the process. So	
23		that's the applicant submits it and gives us an idea	
24		of what they're looking to build, the size of the CFO.	
25		At that point, we provide information to the	

Ī

Examined by Ms. Vance

1	applicant. They need to proceed. So maybe the	
2	application forms include things like measurements of	
3	MDS; we do our land-base requirements; if they have	
4	questions, we try to answer them to the best of our	
5	ability at that point; setbacks, other requirements.	
6	So once they have the application paperwork, it's	
7	kind of up to them to get it submitted and filled out,	
8	come up with a plan and get all the information in to	
9	us.	
10	And when we have it, we kind of try to determine	09:25
11	if it's all there. It's not an intensive investigation	
12	at this point, but, you know, we try to make sure it	
13	looks like there's enough manure lands, it looks like	
14	it meets AOPA.	
15	And then once we've kind of determined that, we	
16	proceed to the deem and complete stage when it will	
17	courtesy letters are sent to neighbours, it appears in	
18	the local newspaper.	
19	And then 20 business days to get our responses	
20	back, give it a few days for coming in by mail, make	09:25
21	sure we provide all those responses for their for	
22	the applicant's review and possible response.	
23	And then we kind of get to the point where we	
24	start processing these things and do a more in-depth	
25	assessment, so	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

 			1
1	Q.	And eventually issuing a decision?	
2	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah. And then I guess our	
3		objective, as laid out, is we try to issue a	
4		decision whether, you know, approved or denied	
5		within 65 business days. And, yeah, obviously that	
6		varies a little bit seasonally between files, so	
7	Q.	And, Mr. Sonnenberg, just to be clear, filing a Part 2	
8		application is different from a file being deemed	
9		complete; is that fair to say?	
10	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah, for sure, because when it	09:26
11		comes in, we need to set aside some time to review it	
12		for sure, make sure all the papers there, go through.	
13		And so, yeah, the date can vary. You know, best	
14		case scenario, sometimes it's within a couple of days,	
15		but often it might take a week, week and a half before	
16		we have time to review.	
17	Q.	And, in general, from your five years of experience, is	
18		processing an application for a new CFO any different	
19		from processing an application to expand an existing	
20		CF0?	09:27
21	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah. So, again, it's variable	
22		for sure. Each is different, but in general a new CFO	
23		is a little more in depth. We don't have the	
24		background information. Most likely, you know, we've	
25		never done risk screening for the site. We just don't	

Examined by Ms. Vance

			1
1		have background documents. So, in general, a new site	
2		will be a little bit more time consuming than an	
3		existing site.	
4	Q.	Thank you. I will now move to ask you what could be	
5		considered blunt questions, but I think we all would	
6		like the answers to those.	
7		So, the first question, in processing application	
8		LA21037, did you at any time intentionally delay the	
9		release of your decision?	
10	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: No, there was no intentional	09:27
11		delays.	
12	Q.	And did you know when the Board's Double H decision was	
13		going to be released?	
14	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: No. It wasn't something I was	
15		tracking at the time.	
16	Q.	Do you recall when you found out about the MD of	
17		Willow Creek passing third reading on the IDP?	
18	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah. So I had processed most of	
19		this application. We were in the internal review	
20		process, which spans about four to five business days.	09:28
21		And in that time, I was notified that the IDP had	
22		likely been implemented.	
23	Q.	And who notified you of that?	
24	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: The manager of approvals during	
25		the review process, so	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Examined by Ms. Vance

			1
1	Q.	Mr. Cumming?	
2	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah, Andy.	
3	Q.	So some time, obviously, has flowed under the bridge	
4		since you issued this decision. So in preparing for	
5		your testimony today, what did you do to prepare?	
6	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: So I tried to review all the	
7		documents that I have here, just went through any notes	
8		I have on call logs. And it's been eight months, but I	
9		refreshed everything to the best of my ability and,	
10		yeah, so I think I've reviewed everything that I could	09:29
11		here.	
12	Q.	Thank you.	
13	MS.	VANCE: Document manager, could you kindly	
14		bring up Exhibit 21. Exhibit 21 is the NRCB's	
15		submission in this review, and I am when we get	
16		there, I'm looking for pdf page 4.	
17		Thank you.	
18	Q.	Have you had an opportunity to review this submission?	
19	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yes, I have.	
20	Q.	And specifically the chronology section.	09:29
21	MS.	VANCE: If you could just scroll down a	
22		little bit. There it goes.	
23	Q.	So it goes from paragraph 4 through 23. You reviewed	
24		this as well?	
25	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yes.	

Examined by Ms. Vance

	1	Q.	So at the time this submission was made, which was	
	2		May 26, were you available at the NRCB offices to	
	3		review this before it went out?	
	4	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: No, I was not.	
	5	Q.	And what was the reason for that?	
	6	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I was away on a leave.	
	7	Q.	Okay. So I'd like you to take some time and tell the	
	8		Board, is there anything in this chronology section	
	9		that, to the best of your knowledge, is inaccurate?	
	10	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: So if we could document	09:30
	11		manager, if we could, please, scroll down to Bullet 17.	
	12		In general, I think everything is seems to be	
	13		in place, but on 17, it indicated the applicant	
	14		contacted me and advised there was an issue where he	
	15		drew the well.	
	16		And that's not true. I contacted the applicant	
	17		with questions about the well.	
	18	Q.	Okay. Thank you.	
	19	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Aside from that, I think	
	20		everything looks feasible.	09:30
	21	Q.	Okay. If anything occurs to you later, we can always	
	22		bring this document back up.	
	23	MS.	VANCE: Thank you, document manager.	
	24		Could we kindly have Exhibit 22, now?	
	25		Exhibit 22 is the first submission from A&D Cattle	
- H				11

Ī

Examined by Ms. Vance

1		in this review dated May 26, and I am looking for pdf	
2		page 6 through 8.	
3	Q.	Mr. Sonnenberg, have you had an opportunity to review	
4		the information on these pages?	
5	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yes, I did.	
6	Q.	So this appears to be a summary of events over the time	
7		period. Is there as you've reviewed this, is there	
8		anything in here that you feel is not accurate?	
9	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Most most of it seems to follow	
10		my memory as well. I just have, like, some	09:31
11		clarifications on a couple of the points.	
12		If we could scroll down to the November 24th date.	
13		Yeah, there we are.	
14		So I don't have a great recollection of of this	
15		call. Sorry, I'm just taking a look here. Just making	
16		sure I remember it as I read it the other day.	
17		Yeah, so I do not remember at least the portion of	
18		saying where there's more statements of concern during	
19		December, or as a result of doing notice then.	
20		So maybe I wasn't super clear on how I would	09:32
21		normally understand it, but normally when I kind of	
22		explain this, it's more about just the public notice	
23		and making sure everyone has a fair chance to be able	
24		to comment on it.	
25		So maybe not incorrect; it could just be the way	

1		I a misunderstanding or maybe I didn't explain	
2		things clearly, but that's one thing.	
3	Q.	Are there any others?	
4	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: And then if we scroll down to	
5		January 5th. So it states the first statement of	
6		concern. And this isn't fully accurate, but this kind	
7		of is an internal thing I thought I could explain, is I	
8		did send the Alberta Environment and Parks' response to	
9		the applicant right away, and this was more as a	
10		courtesy than anything because I know the process for	09:33
11		getting water can be quite time consuming.	
12		So I just kind of wanted to give them the benefit	
13		of the doubt and get that ball rolling. So everything	
14		else I didn't send till the end because I didn't quite	
15		see the same timeframe for follow-up.	
16		And also just yeah, yeah. So I mean that's the	
17		basic thing. So the rest of it was sent later on, but.	
18		So it wasn't a statement of concern; it was a referral	
19		response.	
20	Q.	For January the 5th?	09:34
21	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah.	
22	Q.	Okay. Are there any other items you wish to clarify?	
23	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I think I got everything from that	
24		section. If we could scroll down to March 21st.	
25		So the conversation you know, it's been a long	

			1
1		time since March here, but I'm pretty sure I would not	
2		have said I'd be able to get his permit accepted.	
3		So I'm just saying I might have explained the	
4		Board process, and maybe some stuff was lost in	
5		translation. But I mean, I would have said the route	
6		to getting accepted would be through requesting a Board	
7		review and that the rest of my decision, IDP was kind	
8		of out of my control, soI think that about sums up	
9		that section.	
10	Q.	Thank you. Are there any other items in this these	09:35
11		three pages that you wanted to clarify?	
12	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Not at this point. I think that's	
13		all that comes to mind immediately, so	
14	Q.	Okay. Thank you. And just briefly to clear it up, the	
15		pdf pages 9 and 10, if we could just move to those.	
16		So these appear to be reproduction of text from	
17		emails on November 16, March 14, and then further down	
18		the page March 16. And I just wanted to, you know,	
19		ask, is there anything in here does this kind of	
20		square with what you have against your own file?	09:35
21	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah. They look like the same	
22		emails that were sent. I didn't notice anything that	
23		was different.	
24	Q.	0kay. Thank you.	
25	MS.	VANCE: Document manager, kindly	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Ī

1		Exhibit 26. And so this is the second submission from	
2		A&D Cattle. It would be their reply submission and the	
3		review, starting, when we get there, at pages pdf	
4		page 1. Perfect.	
5	Q.	And so there's two pages of sort of chronology at	
6		least a response to chronology. Have you had a chance	
7		to review this chronology?	
8	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yes, I have.	
9	Q.	And I'm going to ask you the same thing. Is there	
10		anything in these pages that you think is inaccurate or	09:36
11		needs clarifying?	
12	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: In terms of these pages, most of	
13		it is kind of fairly accurate, I would say, but I	
14		would say there's some misleading text in terms of the	
15		dates and just the time that had passed between us	
16		receiving things and deeming complete and issuing	
17		decision.	
18		So, as an example, on the first page, Bullet	
19		Number 1 in Approval Officer Chronology where we have	
20		February 2nd as the deadline and then jumping to the	09:37
21		conclusion, February 7th, you know, is a long way for	
22		these responses. It's pretty standard to wait until a	
23		couple of days after the deadline to make sure nothing	
24		has come in post-marked and	
25		So, I mean calling things like that a delay, I	

Examined by Ms. Vance

i			ন
1		think, is a little bit of a misnomer. It's not like	
2		I'm working on one file here, so	
3	Q.	Okay. Are there any other points?	
4	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: And just some of the dates that I	
5		guess if we get into sorry, flipping my	
6		page here down to Bullet 3 in kind of the second	
7		half of the first Bullet (a). The 2 there threw me	
8		off, but.	
9	Q.	0kay.	
10	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Is that where I was?	09:38
11		Yeah. So just saying the application was	
12		completed December 3rd also would be again, that's	
13		when I received application paperwork for some changes	
14		to the application. It doesn't mean it would have	
15		been, like, deemed complete, just to be clear.	
16		Based on that date and newspaper cutoffs, like,	
17		best case scenario, if I would have had a lot of time	
18		on my hands, public notice could have been done	
19		December 15th if we had no other guidance and we	
20		weren't worried about doing notice over the Christmas	09:39
21		holidays and that sort of a thing.	
22		So just to clarify, deemed complete is a little	
23		different from when an application is completed.	
24		And, yeah, I think that was the majority of it	
25		aside from maybe some discussion about the Christmas	

Examined by Ms. Vance

			1
1		closure dates. But I mean that's something I would not	
2		be able to provide a lot of feedback on at this point,	
3		SO	
4	MS.	VANCE: Okay. If we could just move down	
5		to pdf page 4.	
6	Q.	Sorry. I should just that's it for clarifications	
7		of that section?	
8	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah, I believe, so	
9	Q.	Okay, thank you. So pdf page 4. So in this, A&D is	
10		suggesting that you could have delivered your decision	09:4
11		as early as February 22nd.	
12		And I believe in the submission from the MD of	
13		Willow Creek, they suggest March 4th. So I'm just	
14		you know, looking back, do you think that would have	
15		been possible for you?	
16	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: And at that time, neither of those	
17		dates would have been remotely possible.	
18	Q.	Okay. Why is that?	
19	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Basically I was still waiting for	
20		information. There was a lot of other files on my desk	09:4
21		I was reviewing, kind of in the order as I received	
22		information.	
23		I believe I had around a dozen different	
24		applications I was working on at that one point in time	
25		in different stages of completeness. There's no way,	

Examined by Ms. Vance

h			ㅋ
1		like even if I had time getting it out on that	
2		timeframe, just the time you write these documents, do	
3		all the technical review on one especially one that	
4		has statements of concern and neighbours bring up some	
5		things that we have to consider, it's just not	
6		feasible.	
7	Q.	So when they suggest that there were unwarranted	
8		delays, how do you respond to that?	
9	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I respond there definitely was no	
10		delay, definitely nothing intentional.	09:41
11		And in terms of timing, if anything, I'd actually	
12		say this one probably got out a little quicker than it	
13		could because we were I think most of our	
14		organization was working full hands on deck trying to	
15		get caught up at this point, so	
16	Q.	Okay. Thank you very much.	
17	MS.	VANCE: If we could document manager,	
18		you can close that and maybe just bring up Exhibit 1,	
19		briefly, pdf page 1. Exhibit 1, I am hoping, is the	
20		decision summary of the approval officer. There it is.	09:41
21		And, yeah, we even have it on the screen.	
22	Q.	So the fourth full paragraph that starts the "Part 2	
23		application was submitted." I'm just going to give you	
24		a moment to re-read this paragraph.	
25	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah.	

Examined by Ms. Vance

			1
1	Q.	So is there anything you wanted to add in terms of the	
2		holiday closure? Or is this paragraph representative	
3		of why there was no public notice over that time?	
4	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I guess the thing I could be	
5		more a little more clear on in it is, yeah, the	
6		Part 2 came in on December 3rd. That doesn't mean I	
7		could have ran public notice on December 3rd.	
8		Just looking back at my calendar, even it appeared	
9		that though I had a block of time around December 9th,	
10		I actually wouldn't have had any time at all to start	09:42
11		looking at this.	
12		So as I kind of mentioned before, best-case	
13		scenario for deemed complete in terms of how we define	
14		that in AOPA would have been December 15th.	
15		And then, otherwise, yeah, the holiday closure	
16		text, I I put in as I do think it's unfortunate the	
17		way the dates worked up if I was looking at this from	
18		Mr. van Huigenbos's point of view.	
19		And I wanted to just to be totally open with	
20		partially why this happens and that I really have no	09:43
21		control on some of the dates.	
22		But, yeah, just trying not to hide anything on our	
23		process here, so	
24	Q.	Yeah, thank you very much.	
25		And I think we've spoken a little bit about you	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

1		can take Document Exhibit 1 down, thank you.	
2		We have spoken a little you have spoken a	
3		little bit about what I would call the alleged	
4		December delay period, and thank you for your testimony	
5		on that.	
6		The second period that sort of has been questioned	
7		is the January 5th through April 1st period. And	
8		you've spoken a little bit about being busy and having	
9		files on your desk. Is there anything else you would	
10		wish the Board to know about that time?	09:43
11	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I think just in that time period,	
12		I was around the office yeah, I was around the	
13		office. I mean, we were working full out.	
14		If anything, I would say I was probably out of the	
15		office less than normal, you know, as that goes when	
16		you're in the busy season. But but, yeah, and if	
17		anything, I did have some outside help from other	
18		approval officers and stuff on this file even. So	
19		we we were trying to get it out in a very timely	
20		fashion, so	09:44
21	Q.	Okay. That's the last question I have for you.	
22		Is there anything else that I have not asked you	
23		that you would want the Board to know?	
24	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Not that I can think of at this	
25		time.	

1	Q.	Okay. Thank you very much.	
2		My plan was to have Mr. Sonnenberg questioned by	
3		the other parties at this time. However, I'm also	
4		happy to have Mr. Cumming move forward with his direct.	
5		I'm in the Panel's hands on that?	
6	THE	CHAIR: Why don't we move forward with	
7		Mr. Cumming? I'm guessing it's not going to be a long	
8		question period anyway; right?	
9	MS.	VANCE: That	
10	THE	CHAIR: So let's do that.	09:44
11	MS.	VANCE: should be nice and brief.	
12	THE	CHAIR: Thank you.	
13	Q.	MS. VANCE: Okay. Mr. Cumming, thank you for	
14		attending. You already have been affirmed so that was	
15		good .	
16		Again, nice soft questions for you. What is your	
17		position at the NRCB?	
18	Α.	MR. CUMMING: I am the director of Field	
19		Services applications with the NRCB.	
20	Q.	And how long have you held that position?	09:45
21	Α.	MR. CUMMING: I don't know exactly, but it's	
22		been quite a number of years.	
23	Q.	Like, 20 years?	
24	Α.	MR. CUMMING: No. My title has changed over the	
25		20-plus years that I've been with the NRCB, but my	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Ī

Examined by Ms. Vance

1		title of director of Field Services applications, I	
2		think, I've had since around about 2017, but I'm not a	
3		hundred percent certain on that.	
4	Q.	Okay. Thank you for that clarification.	
5		So you've been director for a while and you have	
6		certainly been with the NRCB for a while and you've	
7		processed some applications yourself as an approval	
8		officer. And you heard Mr. Sonnenberg give some	
9		testimony about his understanding of the steps on an	
10		average file, if there is even such a thing.	09:45
11		And I was wondering if you wanted to speak maybe	
12		to some of the parts of those steps that are within or	
13		without the control of the approval officer.	
14	Α.	MR. CUMMING: Certainly, I can I can try to	
15		elaborate a little bit on that.	
16		Our application process consists of a two-part	
17		application form. The Part 1 form essentially declares	
18		the intent of the applicant to do something.	
19		There is an allowance of six months which can be	
20		extended up to a full year for the applicant to then	09:46
21		provide a Part 2 application. The Part 2 application	
22		would contain the excuse me the technical	
23		information to support how what is being proposed can	
24		meet the AOPA requirements. That timeframe is totally	
25		outside of the control of the approval officer, and	

45

1

Examined by Ms. Vance

	1	that's obviously within the the applicant's control.	
	2	So the number of applications that an approval	
	3	officer receives at any one particular time or the NRCB	
	4	as a whole is totally outside of the control of any	
	5	approval officer.	
	6	So we have historically seen a little bit of	
	7	seasonality when with the number of applications	
	8	that we receive with more coming sort of over the	
	9	winter months when farming activities are a little	
	10	slower and generally slightly fewer coming during the	09:47
	11	summer months.	
	12	However, as always, there are exceptions to that.	
	13	What we've also seen is that the complexity of the	
	14	applications is outside of the approval officer's	
	15	control, and it depends on whether the applicant is	
	16	proposing to construct the confined feeding operation	
	17	of for various facilities.	
	18	My screen is jumping around, so I'm not sure who's	
	19	doing that.	
	20	So the information that gets provided to the	09:48
	21	approval officer generally when when the application	
	22	is deemed complete, our approval officers will do	
	23	a a quick overview of the information in the	
	24	application to see that there's sufficient information	
	25	there so that they can start to process that	
I	1		1

Examined by Ms. Vance

ľ			
	1	application. And then that will go out to the public	
	2	notice, assuming it's a registration or an approval	
	3	application.	
	4	There may be things that that come up either	
	5	through responses that are received relating to that	
	6	application or when the approval officer is going	
	7	through the information that would require additional	
	8	information to be supplied by the applicant to support	
	9	what is being proposed.	
	10	This could be, you know, the inclusion of	09:49
	11	additional lands for manure application, it could be to	
	12	provide additional details related to some sort of	
	13	a liner or naturally occurring particle layer	
	14	(phonetic), things like that.	
	15	The other thing that is somewhat out of the	
	16	control of the approval officer I sort of touched on	
	17	it is is the number of applications that that	
	18	come in at any particular time.	
	19	Certainly, our objective and our performance	
	20	measurement is to try and issue our decisions	09:49
	21	85 percent of the decisions within 65 working days of	
	22	deeming the applications complete. So our approval	
	23	officers do their best to account for that and to	
	24	process the applications within that timeframe.	
	25	Sometimes we are delayed by getting responses from	

Γ

Examined by Ms. Vance

7

1		referral agencies and municipalities. It's not often,	
2		but sometimes we do get delayed by them. So those are	
3		also outside the control of our approval officers.	
4		So, generally, whilst they do have some control,	
5		there are many factors in the day-to-day working and	
6		processing of applications that are outside of their	
7		control.	
8		I hope that paints a little bit of an overall	
9		picture of how applications are processed.	
10	Q.	Yeah, thank you very much for that.	09:50
11		I'm going to ask you a similar set of questions to	
12		Mr. Sonnenberg, but I think an abbreviated version,	
13		because this was not your file. You were not the	
14		approval officer. But I wanted to talk a little bit	
15		about clarification of the chronology.	
16		So this is Exhibit 26, which we saw a few minutes	
17		ago. This is the second submission from A&D Cattle	
18		starting on pdf page 1 and 2. Yes. So, again, moving	
19		down a little bit, the chronology here, have you had an	
20		opportunity to review this version of the chronology?	09:51
21	Α.	MR. CUMMING: I have.	
22	Q.	And is there anything you would like to clarify?	
23	Α.	MR. CUMMING: Yes. If we can go to the next	
24		page, please, Item Number 4 and there's (a) and (b).	
25		This section here talks more about the office closure	

Examined by Ms. Vance

1 and makes several statements that aren't correct. The office closure in December, at the end of last 2 3 year, the Christmas closure took place and the office 4 was closed effectively December 24th through January the 3rd inclusive and not as set out in that 5 6 chronology there. 7 December the 24th was the Christmas floater. The 27th is the date in lieu of the Christmas day, the 28th 8 9 was the date in lieu of Boxing Day, the 29th to the 31st is the Christmas closure, and January the 3rd is 10 09:52 11 the day in lieu of New Year's Day. 12 Q. And why do we close for December 29th through 31st? 13 Α. MR. CUMMING: That is a Government of Alberta 14 decision to have a Christmas closure at that period. 15 There is a Government of Alberta policy relating to 16 that. 17 Q. Okay. Thank you. 18 I think those are all the questions I had on 19 chronology. 20 If we could -- I think this might be my last 09:53 21 question. Exhibit 21, this is the -- there it is 22 before, I can even tell you what it is. It is the 23 submission of the NRCB Field Services. Could we, 24 please, go to pdf page 104. 25 So this is part of an excerpt of the 2020, 2021

h			=
1		annual report from the NRCB and on the top right	
2		paragraph there, it talks about the: (As read)	
3		"goal of issuing 85 percent of the	
4		decisions within 65 working days of the	
5		date at which the application is deemed	
6		to be complete."	
7		So my question to you is where did this 65 working days	
8		come from?	
9	Α.	MR. CUMMING: The performance target which	
10		you've just read out there was developed in the early	09:53
11		2000s, and it's a performance target that takes into	
12		account the different types of applications that we	
13		deal with namely authorizations, registrations, and	
14		approvals and tries to come up with a target whereby	
15		we can measure quite easily from performance side, and	
16		that is that we are going to issue 85 percent of those	
17		decisions within that 65 working day timeframe from the	
18		date the application is deemed to be complete.	
19		The 85 percent takes into account that some	
20		applications may get delayed either by request of the	09:54
21		applicant or through some other matter and may take	
22		longer than that.	
23	Q.	Thank you very much. Those are all my questions for	
24		you, Mr. Cumming.	
25		Mr. Sonnenberg and Mr. Cumming, I would ask you to	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

ľ

Cross-examined by Ms. Finlay

1		respond to the questions posed by other parties, Board	
2		counsel and the Board.	
3	THE	CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Vance,	
4		Mr. Sonnenberg, Mr. Cumming.	
5		So we'll begin with questions from	
6		MD Willow Creek. Ms. Finlay, do you have any	
7		questions?	
8	MS.	FINLAY: I have a few questions, but on my	
9		order okay. Then A&D Cattle near the end. Okay.	
10		That's fine.	09:55
11	<u>MS .</u>	FINLAY CROSS-EXAMINES THE PANEL:	
12	Q.	So I have one or two questions. I don't have a lot of	
13		questions. I want to first ask a question about the	
14		Christmas break.	
15		If I could ask for Exhibit 1 to be put up. Pdf	
16		page 1 of Exhibit 1.	
17		Mr. Sonnenberg, this decision summary is intended	
18		to inform the parties, to whom it is provided, your	
19		process for processing and making a decision; is that	
20		correct?	09:56
21	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yes. Yeah.	
22	Q.	Okay. And it's intended to provide an explanation to	
23		those parties of how you processed the application and	
24		then what your ultimate decision is; is that correct?	
25	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: That is the intent, yes.	
11			11

Cross-examined by Ms. Finlay

1	Q.	Okay. And, sir, if I could just get you to turn your	
2		attention to the fourth paragraph on that page that	
3		begins with the "Part 2 application was submitted on	
4		December 3rd"?	
5	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah.	
6	Q.	And you reviewed this with Ms. Friend (verbatim).	
7		In that second sentence and in the subsequent	
8		sentence, so the next two sentences, you talk about the	
9		delay between December 3rd and January 5th. You see	
10		that there?	09:57
11	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah.	
12	Q.	Okay. And would you agree with me that the only reason	
13		you give for that delay in timing is the accommodation	
14		of the NRCB's holiday closure; is that right?	
15	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah, that's the only aspect of it	
16		I included in here that kind of just doesn't occur on	
17		every other file. It's unique to this file for me,	
18		SO	
19	Q.	Okay. Okay. And the holiday closure, we've heard and	
20		we've reviewed, that was to that was from the 24th	09:57
21		of December to January 2nd; is that right? Did I hear	
22		that right?	
23	Α.	MR. CUMMING: Perhaps I can answer that question	
24		for you.	
25	Q.	Sure.	

Cross-examined by Ms. Finlay

			1
1	Α.	MR. CUMMING: The first first day the office	
2		was closed is December the 24th, and the closure	
3		continues through and includes January the 3rd.	
4	Q.	Okay. And is there a policy or anything that provides	
5		guidance to you with respect to processing in	
6		December that goes beyond those holiday closure dates?	
7	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Indirectly, I suppose, the way we	
8		do the public notice, we select the most how would	
9		you put it the most effective local newspaper we	
10		can.	09:58
11		So, indirectly, that would impact when we do the	
12		dates due to their cutoff times for getting the notices	
13		in and for what date that paper is published on.	
14	Q.	Okay. But there's nothing that says to you, if it's in	
15		December, then just wait until January? There's	
16		nothing that provides you with that type of guidance;	
17		correct?	
18	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: No, we've been giving that	
19		guidance internally as direction for management in the	
20		past and with the justification being it's not fair to	09:59
21		neighbours for us not to be in the office when the	
22		files out to public notice.	
23	Q.	Right. And that has to do with being in the office	
24		between December 24th and January 3rd; correct?	
25	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah.	

Cross-examined by Ms. Finlay

1	Q.	Yeah. Okay. So you want to make sure that you time it	
2		so that that public notice goes out at a time when you	
3		will be in the office?	
4	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah, I believe that's the	
5		intention.	
6	Q.	Okay. And so maybe I can ask you this next question.	
7		The Part 2 application, you said there was additional	
8		information that you received after December 3rd that	
9		related to the completeness of the application. Did I	
10		hear that right?	10:00
11	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: No. This is a I don't remember	
12		a hundred percent. I do know, though, that the paper	
13		was submitted on December 3rd, but that doesn't mean	
14		I'd, like, reviewed the paperwork and determined it was	
15		all there on December 3rd. That was just the day it	
16		was submitted or the last information, I should say.	
17	Q.	Okay. And did you need to get any additional	
18		information from the applicant prior to January 5th to	
19		determine that the application was complete?	
20	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I do not believe so, but I can't	10:00
21		remember for certain.	
22	Q.	Okay. Okay. Thank you very much. I'm finished with	
23		that exhibit.	
24		I just want to ask you one or two questions about	
25		the technical document. And we don't need to bring it	

Cross-examined by Ms. Finlay

1		up yet, but I just wanted to better understand the	
2		purpose of that technical document.	
3		That's the document that reflects the work that	
4		you've done to review an application; is that correct?	
5	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Well, it reflects the at least	
6		the part we want to be publicly available and publicly	
7		clear just to give our kind of decision-making process	
8		a good amount what do you how do I put it?	
9	Q.	Transparency?	
10	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah, that's what I'm looking for.	10:01
11		We just try to have the information in there. There's	
12		lots, you know, often that's done behind. You know, we	
13		don't include absolutely every air photo we look at and	
14		thing we review.	
15		But in general, we try to provide that minimum	
16		amount of information so anyone who looks at the	
17		application can understand why we made the decision we	
18		did.	
19	Q.	Okay. And that technical document provides commentary	
20		and then the dates of that commentary; correct?	10:01
21	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I'm not sure what you mean on "the	
22		dates of that commentary."	
23	Q.	Okay. I want to be fair to you. So let's take you to	
24		an exhibit.	
25		If we could pull up Exhibit 20, and if we could	

Cross-examined by Ms. Finlay

			1
1		pull up if we could start with page 9 of the pdf.	
2		So in this technical document and maybe I could	
3		just get us to you'll see that there are sort of	
4		these little comment boxes here.	
5	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Okay.	
6	Q.	Do you see those?	
7	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah.	
8	Q.	Are you familiar with those?	
9	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I'd seen this document, I guess	
10		submitted, yeah.	10:02
11	Q.	Okay. So if we could just maybe scroll down to the	
12		next page. Okay. That's great.	
13		So this, then, is the summary of comments that	
14		appear on the full page photo. Do you understand that?	
15	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Oh yeah, yeah. That makes sense.	
16	Q.	Okay. And then next to that, we are provided with, it	
17		looks like, the dates that those comments are made.	
18	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Okay.	
19	Q.	Have you seen this type of a thing before?	
20	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I have seen it maybe in a	10:03
21		different version when we're in the documents but	
22		not	
23	Q.	Right.	
24	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: this way.	
25	Q.	Okay. Okay. So do you have any information that would	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

			1
1		suggest that those dates for those comments are	
2		incorrect?	
3	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: That's something I couldn't	
4		could not collaborate back to that timeframe, but I	
5		would imagine they would be.	
6	Q.	Okay. So you'd agree with me that this document	
7		provides us with some information of the chronology for	
8		your review of this application?	
9	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah. Yeah, I think we could	
10		infer that.	10:03
11	Q.	Okay. If I can just take a minute, I think those are	
12		all my questions. I just want to take a minute to	
13		confirm that.	
14	THE	CHAIR: That's fine.	
15	MS.	FINLAY: I don't have anything further.	
16		Thank you.	
17	THE	CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Finlay.	
18		And just to be clear, I may not have outlined this	
19		in the start, but if I did, this repetition may be	
20		worth it.	10:0
21		So in terms of the order of questioning, we'll go	
22		MD Willow Creek, Town of Fort Macleod, Mr. and	
23		Mrs. Conner, Mr. and Mrs. Lewis, A&D Cattle, Board	
24		staff, then Panel. So, clearly, when you're up, you	
25		wouldn't be questioning, but other than that, this will	
			11

be the order we'll go through. 1 2 So next up will be Town of Fort Macleod, 3 Ms. Agrios. MS. AGRIOS: 4 I have no questions. THE CHAIR: 5 Okay. Thank you. Mr. and Mrs. Conner? 6 7 MR. CONNER: We have no questions. THE CHAIR: 8 Okay. Thank you. Mr. and Mrs. Lewis? 9 MR. LEWIS: 10 No questions. 10:06 THE CHAIR: 11 Mr. Metheral, A&D Cattle? Hello. 12 You're not coming up on screen there. We've got just a 13 blank. Is your video on? 14 MR. METHERAL: We're getting an alert that 15 suggests we can't post our video because the host has 16 stopped it. 17 THE CHAIR: 0h. Okay. 18 MR. METHERAL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. We were curious if we could have a quick pause for 19 20 a bio break here. 10:07 21 THE CHAIR: Well, I mean, I guess I don't want to have an accident while we're talking. It's a bit 22 23 early, but I certainly don't want to have an accident. 24 I mean, what is your length of questioning that 25 you expect for Field Services?

1

Γ

1	MR.	METHERAL:	Well, perhaps due to the magnitude	
2		of our questions, we	don't want to be interrupted.	
3	THE	CHAIR:	So are you looking can you give	
4		me just a rough esti	mate on time?	
5	MR.	METHERAL:	I would say five minutes.	
6	THE	CHAIR:	No, I mean for the questioning.	
7	MR.	METHERAL:	Oh, for the questions themselves.	
8		Six pages.		
9	THE	CHAIR:	Okay. So let's Ms. Vance, are	
10		you yes, Ms. Vanc	e?	10:07
11	MS.	VANCE :	Yeah, if we're going to take the	
12		break, I just wanted	to remind Mr. Sonnenberg and	
13		Mr. Cumming that the	y should not talk to each other or	
14		to me or to anybody	else about your evidence until	
15		you're done being qu	estioned.	
16	THE	CHAIR:	Thank you, Ms. Vance.	
17	MS.	VANCE :	Thank you.	
18	THE	CHAIR:	Mr. Sonnenberg, Mr. Cumming, you	
19		heard Ms. Vance?		
20		Perfect. Okay.	Great.	10:08
21		So it's 5 after	10 on my watch, so 10 after 10,	
22		we'll return. Thank	S .	
23	MR.	METHERAL:	Thank you.	
24	(AD	JOURNMENT)		
25	THE	CHAIR:	Ms. Friend has notified me that my	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

			1
1		Citizen Ecosmart solar watch is behind a bit. That's	
2		probably not because of the sun; it's probably because	
3		I had it set a little off.	
4		So I'll use my cell phone for time for the rest of	
5		the day. So thanks for that reminder, Ms. Friend.	
6		So, obviously, if you're using your cell phones, I	
7		was probably a little tight on the five minutes. So	
8		I've got 10:13 on my cell now, and I hope everyone	
9		else's cell or watches say 10:13. So I'll use my cell	
10		from now on for timing, especially for quick breaks,	10:13
11		because it makes it a bit short. So thanks for	
12		accommodating.	
13		Mr. Metheral, you can begin, please. Thank you.	
14	<u>MR.</u>	METHERAL CROSS-EXAMINES THE PANEL:	
15	Q.	Thank you, Mr. Chair.	
16		We would direct our first round of questions to	
17		Mr. Sonnenberg, and then perhaps move on to	
18		Mr. Cumming.	
19		I appreciate your update, Mr. Sonnenberg, talking	
20		to us a little bit about your experience with the NRCB.	10:13
21		Just to clarify, do you review your perhaps	
22		deliverables or statistics with your manager on this	
23		65-day process?	
24	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I'm not a hundred percent sure	
25		what you're getting at, but this this permit was	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

			1
1		issued within the 65 days, if that's what you're	
2		interested in.	
3	Q.	Yeah, in general, the idea that you're achieving your	
4		65-day timeframe on your applications, is that reviewed	
5		with your manager annually or over time?	
6	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I think so. I I don't know if	
7		I've had too many that have went over it, so	
8	Q.	Okay. And you would suggest that this spring was a	
9		busy year for you when it comes to applications,	
10		approvals, and authorizations?	10:14
11	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah. Last fall and this spring	
12		were definitely busy time of year, yeah.	
13	Q.	Abnormally busy?	
14	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Well, I mean, based on five years,	
15		it's busy.	
16	Q.	Okay. Can you maybe describe a little bit how you	
17		supported the producer through the approval process,	
18		perhaps starting in as early as July, August?	
19	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I don't remember a ton	
20		specifically. I'm sure I would have tried to answer	10:15
21		any questions on the application process. I know I	
22		made the site visit out there early November. I don't	
23		remember withholding any assistance.	
24	Q.	No, certainly not. What were your original	
25		communications? Were they through phone and email	

ľ

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1		communications?	
2	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I can't say that far back what our	
3		initial contact would have been.	
4	Q.	I'm just trying to understand how I it's	
5		understood that you provided some of the NRCB	
6		application forms, the Part 1, Part 2, some of the	
7		technical documents, waivers and calculations. I'm	
8		just curious when those were delivered to the producer?	
9	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah, and I think you had just	
10		said he submitted Part 1 in July, so I would imagine	10:16
11		around that timeframe. I'm sure I would have taken	
12		forms out again in November if he didn't already have	
13		them. I just can't recall.	
14	Q.	That's fine. Just to understand that you were	
15		supporting his application and that some of this	
16		material was delivered as early as July? Do you agree	
17		with that?	
18	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: It's possible. Yeah, I can't	
19		remember for sure, but I would have provided material	
20		whenever it was requested.	10:16
21	Q.	Okay. Thank you.	
22		When we look at the submissions that were	
23		submitted on behalf of the NRCB, perhaps on behalf of	
24		yourself, did you do you feel comfortable that the	
25		information is your position in those submissions?	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: For the most part, details,	
2		obviously, I mean, I'm not saying I remember everything	
3		a hundred percent, but it looked plausible aside from	
4		that one bullet I clarified earlier where I know I had	
5		contacted Mr. van Huigenbos there. So I know there was	
6		an error there, and that one stuck out to me, but.	
7	Q.	Okay. And I believe Ms. Vance identified a document.	
8		It was a submission from the Board or from the Field	
9		staff, but you were away on leave, so you didn't	
10		actually review that document?	10:17
11	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Not at the time, no.	
12	Q.	I see. Just to clarify, you have in the past,	
13		permitted facilities for 2,000 head beef feedlots	
14		for, we'll say, beef feedlot?	
15	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I've permitted a lot of feedlots.	
16		I'm sure some of them have been 2,000 head.	
17	Q.	Do you have any idea what the largest CFO that you've	
18		permitted, what size that could be, or could maybe	
19		illustrate what you think would be the largest CFO	
20		you've ever permitted?	10:18
21	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Probably, I don't know, somewhere	
22		in the 20 to 25,000 head range. I can't say for	
23		certain, but.	
24	Q.	Okay. And whose responsibility is it to review the MDP	
25		or IDP as these applications come in? Do you believe	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

 			1
1		it's the onus of the producer or yourself.	
2	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: To some extent, I mean if a	
3		producer is looking at a piece of land or something	
4		like that, I would suggest they look at zoning and	
5		stuff. But I mean, if otherwise I've just received an	
6		application, I do it in the application, like at the	
7		time we're processing it.	
8	Q.	Right. Okay. If we can just switch gears here to the	
9		application review process. So it's understood that	
10		you received an engineering report and waivers and all	10:19
11		of the technical documents that you needed to make your	
12		decision?	
13	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Like, when I sorry, you're	
14		going to have to clarify what you mean there. Sorry, I	
15		didn't quite catch what we're looking for.	
16	Q.	The question was related to the technical information.	
17		Did you receive all of the technical information you	
18		needed to make your decision?	
19	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yes. In the end I did, yeah.	
20	Q.	Was there anything that exceeded the six-month timeline	10:19
21		in AOPA to submit the information to complete the	
22		Part 2? Did that timeline get exceeded?	
23	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: To the best of my knowledge, it	
24		did not.	
25	Q.	Okay. And maybe help us better understand when the	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1			3
1		documents when the documents were submitted to you	
2		in November, did you review the documents in their	
3		entirety but not necessarily review them for AOPA	
4		content? Does that make sense?	
5	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: If you mean like when we look at	
6		it when it first comes in, we try our best to just	
7		check if the information is there. It's not an	
8		intensive comb-through, but if there's something	
9		blatantly missing, we try to identify it so there's not	
10		delays later in the process, or we don't have to redo	10:20
11		public notice later on.	
12	Q.	Right. Okay. Do you recall when you completed the	
13		actual review of this application? Can you recall the	
14		timeframe where you're actually comparing the submitted	
15		documents to the AOPA requirements?	
16	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: It would have been into late	
17		February into March, I believe. I would have started	
18		earlier as I had time, just as the way I work through	
19		things. But the bulk of it was done in that timeframe.	
20	Q.	Okay. We see a submission, an email submission from	10:21
21		you that suggests that you needed more information	
22		about the location of the water wells and the manure	
23		land spreading. That email came in March 14th. Do you	
24		recall that email?	
25	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: When I was reviewing here I saw	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

h			-
1		it, yeah.	
2	Q.	When it comes to the public notice process, would you	
3		have reviewed the MDP prior to submitting this document	
4		for public notice submitting the application for	
5		public notice?	
6	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: No. No, not necessarily, unless	
7		it was in an area where I maybe knew there was some	
8		exclusion zones or something.	
9		In general, I mean, we skim it but nothing in	
10		depth.	10:22
11	Q.	And then when it comes to the internal review of your	
12		decisions, who helped you with your review of this	
13		application?	
14	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: It would have been two other	
15		approval officers, manager of applications, Andy there,	
16		and I think Fiona, I'm sure, like, our legal counsel	
17		that had a look at it.	
18	Q.	Okay. Then the permit was issued and posted on the	
19		NRCB website on April 1st?	
20	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yes.	10:23
21	Q.	Okay. When you deem an application complete, is it	
22		related to the public notice process? Are they	
23		interlinked?	
24	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: For an approval application, they	
25		are, because they do go in the newspaper, so in	

Ī

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1

1		circulation in the community.	
2	Q.	Is that an NRCB policy that the deeming complete date	
3		is reflected in the public notice date?	
4	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: It's how we phrase it. So I mean	
5		"deemed complete" is when we put the public notice in.	
6		That's when we start the 20-day countdown for public	
7		and municipal feedback and all that stuff.	
8	Q.	Okay. Can an application be deemed complete without	
9		going to public notice?	
10	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah. Yeah, an application could	10:24
11		be. Not for an approval, though. But for, you know,	
12		an authorization could.	
13	Q.	Okay. An authorization could. An approval can't be	
14		deemed complete without going to public notice? They	
15		can't be separated?	
16	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I'd say the terminology goes along	
17		with the public notice.	
18	Q.	Okay. And you're familiar with the Board decision	
19		regarding Double H Feeders?	
20	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Familiar, but not well versed in	10:25
21		it.	
22	Q.	Okay. It's understood that that virtual hearing was	
23		held on February 10th, 2020, and it talks gives the	
24		approval officer some guidance on how IDPs and MDPs	
25		should be considered.	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1		How did that decision have an impact on your	
2		review of this application?	
3	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: We don't necessarily use past	
4		Board decisions as precedents 100 percent, but it gave	
5		us just direction that we should be looking at all	
6		applicable planning documents that are in effect, so	
7	Q.	Okay. So that document came into or we saw the	
8		results from the Board hearing on March 17th? Would	
9		you agree with that date?	
10	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: It sounds about right. I can't	10:26
11		remember exactly but somewhere in there.	
12	Q.	Okay. So prior to March 17th or perhaps around the	
13		adoption when the municipalities adopted the IDP, which	
14		document were you looking at when you were reviewing	
15		A&D Cattle's application?	
16	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I would have just been looking at	
17		the MDP that was in effect at the time for the	
18		Municipal District of Willow Creek there, so	
19	Q.	Can we try and clarify what those dates are exactly?	
20	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Which dates are you interested in?	10:26
21	Q.	So on March 19th and I'd love to hear from others if	
22		I'm wrong it's understood that the municipality	
23		adopted the IDP.	
24	MR.	VAN HUIGENBOS: March 9th.	
25	Q.	MR. METHERAL: Sorry, on March 9th, it's	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

			1
1		understood the municipality adopted the IDP, and on	
2		March 17th, we heard from the Board.	
3		So just to clarify, prior to March 9th, you would	
4		have been looking at the MDP for guidance?	
5	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I would have been interpreting it,	
6		like we do on every AOPA application.	
7	Q.	Okay. If we can talk a little bit about the	
8		application and the review of the application. When	
9		did you start the review of the application?	
10		Perhaps I already asked this question, but when do	10:28
11		you believe was the first day that you actually	
12		started when you actually compared the AOPA	
13		technical requirements to the application?	
14	MS.	VANCE: I'm going to object because I do	
15		believe you have asked that question.	
16	MR.	METHERAL: Yes. My challenge with this,	
17		these timeline questions, is we are the timelines of	
18		this discussion are crucial to this application. So	
19		please be patient as I try to work through these	
20		timelines.	10:28
21		But I believe I can move on from that. I believe	
22		you answered, later in February.	
23		File manager, if you can pull up Exhibit 21,	
24		page 5 for me, please. I'd like to ask Mr. Sonnenberg	
25		about his visit with the MDP of Willow Creek.	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1			1
1		Page 5.	
2	Q.	Bullet 13 suggests that on January 5th and 6th, you	
3		visited with the manager of Willow Creek. Can you	
4		maybe	
5	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Well sorry.	
6	Q.	Can you describe that can you better describe that	
7		interaction for us?	
8	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I believe we exchanged phone	
9		calls, which is pretty standard on most applications,	
10		and I don't remember when we discussed each thing, but	10:3
11		I do know the municipality had some earlier feedback on	
12		some kind of unique road allowances and	
13		property line sort of information on this one.	
14		I don't remember if that was the exact timing, but	
15		it was yeah, just kind of discussing general project	
16		information, so	
17	Q.	Okay. Do you know what occurred on January 5th, which	
18		would have been different than January 6th?	
19	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I think we just would have had	
20		ongoing discussions, and I think there was some just	10:3
21		emails back and forth.	
22	Q.	Okay. So it was a phone conversation; it wasn't a	
23		visit at the municipal office?	
24	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: No.	
25	Q.	Okay. And you were reviewing some of the County's	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1			1
1		requirements, the land use documents?	
2	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: We at that point in time, we	
3		request any applicable feedback, essentially. So, I	
4		mean we try to uphold things like property line	
5		setbacks, easements. You know, if the County has good	
6		planning concerns, we try to have that back and forth	
7		early on, so we have all the information we can to try	
8		to make the best decision.	
9	Q.	Okay. And that those requirements, they're found in	
10		the MDP? These are the MDP requirements or land use	10:31
11		planning provisions?	
12	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: The main thing we do consider	
13		under AOPA is the municipal development plan, but other	
14		considerations are given to things land use, bylaw	
15		related, and even land ownership. Sort of, like,	
16		everything that you may consider a normal development	
17		permit can come up on AOPA applications.	
18	Q.	Okay. Did the County give any indication that this	
19		application met their municipal development plan at	
20		that time?	10:32
21	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I can't recall at that point in	
22		time.	
23	Q.	Okay. And then, of course, you reviewed the IDP at the	
24		end of April or, sorry, at the no, the IDP was	
25		available for you at the end of April when it was	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

			1
1		provided to you from the municipality.	
2	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I believe you mean in March.	
3	Q.	March? Oh, sorry, of course. End of March.	
4	MR.	METHERAL: Okay. File manager, I'd just like	
5		to ask some questions about the NRCB's internal review	
6		policy. If we can open Exhibit 22, page 9 just to	
7		clarify a few points.	
8	Q.	Yes. At the bottom of the page. Yes, so the bottom of	
9		the page, we see a submission from Mr. van Huigenbos	
10		that is a cut and paste of some emails that were sent	10:33
11		back and forth to you guys. Are you familiar I	
12		guess you confirmed you're familiar with these emails?	
13	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah.	
14	Q.	Okay. If we look at March 16th email, Adrian is asking	
15		to you how long does the decision normally take, and	
16		your response was "Typically 3 months or so" and then	
17		specifically that you're hopeful to have it out in the	
18		next three weeks or so, but no promises, as it's	
19		contingent on internal review and a few other factors.	
20		Can you maybe describe what point in the process	10:34
21		you were at? This appears to suggest that your	
22		document had been was in internal review at this	
23		time.	
24	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah, I won't be able to remember	
25		specific what date I sent it to review, but it would	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1		have been right in that timeframe where I would have	
2		been just completing the final touches and getting it	
3		out for a look-through. So a few factors, just to give	
4		you some clarification. It could just be staff	
5		availability when other people have time to look	
6		through it, when your field office admins have time to	
7		and print and finalize stuff.	
8		So it's not exactly just, you know, I can decide	
9		I'm going to issue a permit and have it all ready to go	
10		in a half hour, so	10:35
11	Q.	No, certainly. But do you send that decision to your	
12		team as one email? The whole document is for their	
13		review?	
14	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah, typically it's three	
15		documents: decision summary, a permit, and a technical	
16		document. So, in this case, I would have sent out, you	
17		know, the technical document and the decision summary.	
18	Q.	Okay. But one email?	
19	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I'm not sure what you mean by "one	
20		email." Like	10:36
21	Q.	Would those documents have been included in one email?	
22	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: 0h, no, it's just we have them on	
23		an internal database, so it's just you give people	
24		directions to where they are, so	
25	Q.	Okay. Thanks for clarifying that.	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1	Do you know the date that you provided or asked	
2	your team members to look at those documents?	
3 A .	MR. SONNENBERG: No, I do not.	
4 Q.	Is that something that we could get our hands on?	
5	Would there be a correspondence where you provided	
6	direction?	
7 A .	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah, I'm sure we could with some	
8	time go back and find it but, I would suggest it's not	
9	going to have any bearing on what you're getting at	
10	here, so	10
11 Q.	The decision summary itself, if you were to have sent	
12	that out and we're talking in March would you	
13	have been looking at the municipal development plan at	
14	that time?	
15 A .	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah, yeah, I would have already	
16	looked at the municipal development plan on the date I	
17	would have been doing that section.	
18 MR.	METHERAL: Okay. File manager, can we jump	
19	to Exhibit 1, page 3.	
20 Q.	This is from the decision summary, down to, yes, your	10
21	Number 5. I won't take the Board's time, but in	
22	essence, this would suggest that the application is	
23	consistent with the municipal development plan. Would	
24	you agree with that?	
		1

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1	Q.	Okay. And if we were to look at the AOPA requirements	
2		below, the document does meet all the technical	
3		requirements under AOPA?	
4	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yes, it did with all the	
5		supplemental information provided.	
6	Q.	Okay. So then the document that you sent to your	
7		colleagues or asked your colleagues to review, that	
8		would have been an approval?	
9	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah, the application would have	
10		been approved.	10:38
11	Q.	Okay. Therein lies a request from A&D. We would like	
12		to know exactly the date that you reviewed the	
13		application, the MDP, and when you approved it for	
14		review. So we would like that date to be clarified.	
15	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Well, for that date, as far as the	
16		AOPA is concerned, it would be the date the permit was	
17		issued, so April 1st, but that's maybe not what you're	
18		looking for there.	
19	Q.	Not exactly. I'd like to now move on to some of the	
20		NRCB office closure and some of the delays that	10:39
21		A&D Cattle believe occurred. This has been reviewed by	
22		you guys. Just to confirm	
23	THE	CHAIR: Just to interrupt, there's been a	
24		request for an undertaking, I think. I think that's	
25		what I'm hearing. Ms. Vance, is there an objection to	

			1
1		the undertaking? Because it's, I think, left in	
2		nowhere land right now.	
3	MS.	VANCE: Yeah, I was wondering where that	
4		might go. I am not clear on what the undertaking is	
5		asking, to be honest.	
6	THE	CHAIR: Mr. Metheral?	
7	MS.	VANCE: And whether Mr. Sonnenberg maybe	
8		answered it with his answer. I'm not sure.	
9	MR.	METHERAL: Okay. We would like clarification	
10		on this point. Can we provide the Board with some	10:4
11		written direction on what that undertaking is to make	
12		it formal?	
13	THE	CHAIR: Well	
14	MS.	VANCE: I would like to know what it is	
15		now so that I can get going on it.	
16	THE	CHAIR: Yeah, I mean, and you know,	
17		depending on what it is, there may be an objection, so	
18		the quicker the better.	
19		What I think I heard was what date was the then an	
20		approval circulated for review to the other staff do	10:4
21		I have that did I hear that right?	
22	MR.	METHERAL: Yes.	
23	THE	CHAIR: Okay. And whether that's able to	
24		be tracked down, I don't know.	
25		Ms. Vance, are you agreeable to at least	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

			1
1		attempting to track that date down, or is that	
2		something that you feel is or are you objecting,	
3		actually?	
4	MS.	VANCE: I'm not objecting. I imagine that	
5		would be pretty quick to track down. I could probably	
6		doe that during a break.	
7	THE	CHAIR: Okay. Thank you very much.	
8		UNDERTAKING - TO ADVISE THE DATE THAT	
9		MR. SONNENBERG REVIEWED THE	
10		APPLICATION, THE MDP, AND WHEN HE	10:41
11		APPROVED IT FOR REVIEW (UNDERTAKING	
12		FULFILLED AT PAGE 94)	
13	THE	CHAIR: All right, Mr. Metheral, continue.	
14	MR.	METHERAL: Thank you.	
15	Q.	If we were to look at the office closure, just to	
16		clarify, Joe, do you recall that the office closure was	
17		from December 24th to January 3rd?	
18	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I think that's what we've decided	
19		on. I couldn't remember for sure, but I think that's	
20		what the expert said.	10:41
21	Q.	Right. Okay. And you were provided direction about	
22		the office closure through NRCB, perhaps, email that	
23		those would be closure dates?	
24	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I don't remember in particular how	
25		we would have been made aware of it this year. It's	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

h			ন
1		generally just something we keep an eye on, and we try	
2		to get things out for public notice around that time.	
3		So I don't remember specific dates or how we	
4		were came to that conclusion, but	
5	Q.	Okay. And did you discuss the office closure dates	
6		with your manager?	
7	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I can't recall, but it's something	
8		we would often discuss internally, so I'd say it's	
9		likely.	
10	Q.	Okay. And did you discuss the reason why you delayed	10:42
11		the public notice because of those closures with your	
12		manager?	
13	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: We've we've discussed that in	
14		the past, yes.	
15	Q.	Do you know when you would have discussed that reason	
16		for delay?	
17	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I don't know what delay you would	
18		be referring to, but in terms of why we don't do public	
19		notice over Christmas, it's I think we may have even	
20		touched on this earlier, but, you know, you want to	10:43
21		have someone in the office so if a neighbour has	
22		concerns or wants to call in, at least we can answer	
23		questions on the application and give them a fair shake	
24		at providing feedback.	
25	Q.	Okay. I just would ask the file manager sorry,	

ľ

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1		quick pause here. This is information I just would	
2		like Joe to respond to, and it's new to me.	
3	THE	CHAIR: Sorry, new information? Is there	
4		new information that we're	
5	MR.	METHERAL: It's a new exhibit. I'm just	
6		trying to pull up the exhibit. It is Exhibit	
7	THE	CHAIR: I'm just trying to understand.	
8		We're still dealing with Exhibits 1 to 26, which are	
9		not new; is that correct?	
10	MR.	METHERAL: No, it's not new, it's just a new	10:44
11		piece that I would like to look at. Exhibit 22,	
12		page page 9.	
13	Q.	Okay. So your decision summary states that on	
14		December 3rd, there was a decision made to delay the	
15		public notice until January 7th, and I believe earlier	
16		in your testimony	
17	MS.	VANCE: I'm just going to object to that.	
18		I want to make sure that the context that you're	
19		providing is factually accurate. I do not believe that	
20		is actually what the decision summary says.	10:45
21	MR.	METHERAL: Okay. Let's pull up Exhibit 1,	
22		page 1 to refresh our memory, down to Part 2. A little	
23		up. There we go.	
24	Q.	So, again, this is the public notice delay. So it's	
25		understood that the document was submitted	
11			1

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

ľ

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1		on documents were submitted on December 3rd and that	
2		the reason there was a delay was to accommodate NRCB's	
3		holiday closure and that the public notice occurred on	
4		January 7th sorry, January 5th. Are we in agreement	
5		January 5th is the date?	
6	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: It was officially deemed complete	
7		January 5th, yeah.	
8	Q.	Okay. Early in your testimony, you suggested that you	
9		wouldn't have been able to do public notice until	
10		perhaps December 15th or 16th by your count, by	10:47
11		your after reviewing, you suggested that	
12		January (verbatim) 15th or 16th would have been as	
13		early as you could have completed public notice.	
14	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah, that would have been	
15		whatever day the newspaper would have ran.	
16	MR.	METHERAL: Okay. Now can we go back to	
17		Exhibit 22, page 9. Okay.	
18	Q.	And it would be the second submission here,	
19		November 16th. Are you familiar with this email?	
20	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah.	10:47
21	Q.	And it looks like you sent Adrian two emails because of	
22		perhaps some computer issues, but specifically, if we	
23		look at the second email.	
24	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah.	
25	Q.	There's a correspondence here where you are talking	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1		about you're asking for additional information like	
2		the engineering report.	
3	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah, correct.	
4	Q.	You're a bit short on perhaps manure spreading lands.	
5		You indicated he was short on manure spreading lands,	
6		and it would be helpful if additional lands were made	
7		available, and that you included a template.	
8	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Okay.	
9	Q.	Okay. If we were to read the last sentence, it says:	
10		(as read)	10:48
11		"I have started preparing the public	
12		notice and is very close to ready to	
13		go."	
14	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah.	
15	Q.	Why do you believe back in as early as November 16th,	
16		that you were ready for the public notice process at	
17		that time?	
18	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Because if I would have got all	
19		the documents within like a day or two of	
20		November 16th, I still could have managed to get the	10:49
21		public notice in that like for last year, but I	
22		didn't get all of the details within enough days.	
23		But I was trying to move it along as quick as we	
24		could, just cognitive of the upcoming Christmas closure	
25		dates.	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1	Q.	The details were provided to you the missing details	
2		were provided to you as early as November 29th and a	
3		minor change was done on the 30th. You weren't	
4		prepared to issue public notice in November at all?	
5	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: As you remember, we discussed when	
6		I got the details, like, even November 29th, or	
7		whatever you suggest it is, it's not you can't	
8		publish public notice that week; it needs to get into	
9		the newspaper. Their deadline I believe for that one	
10		is on a Friday at some point, and then it wouldn't even	10:50
11		appear until the following week.	
12	Q.	Did you express those requirements for public notice to	
13		the applicant?	
14	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I can't recall.	
15	Q.	So	
16	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: But we process applications as	
17		they come in, and I can tell you, it's not like I have	
18		one application coming in at the time. I have had	
19		many, many on my desk and you just work on them as	
20		people submit stuff.	10:50
21	Q.	And do you need a completed application, then, before	
22		you deem the application complete?	
23	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yes.	
24	Q.	And you didn't feel like it was completed by	
25		November 30th?	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

ľ

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I don't remember the exact dates,	
2		but, yeah, there were details missing we needed in	
3		order to call it "deemed complete."	
4	Q.	And how often is the paper the public notice for	
5		Willow Creek the Fort Macleod Gazette, how often is	
6		that published?	
7	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I believe it's weekly.	
8	Q.	So considering you had the application in part in	
9		November, mid November, and you received the rest of	
10		the application, including the technical requirements	10:51
11		from the engineer by the end of November, is it still	
12		your position that December 16th was the earliest that	
13		you can	
14	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah, I would not have had time to	
15		review the information. I looked back at my calendar	
16		and it just would not have been even remotely possible,	
17		because everyone was trying everyone's trying to	
18		beat the rush that time of year. I mean, that's	
19		it's a lot of paperwork we're going through.	
20	Q.	You just testified that the Gazette publishes weekly?	10:52
21	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I said to the best of my	
22		knowledge. I don't even remember the exact dates, but	
23		I know you can't just get it in that date. There's a	
24		lead-up. And I do believe it was weekly and I believe	
25		the cutoff was a Friday, but could be off on what days	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Ī

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1

			1
1		are which.	
2	MR.	METHERAL: Okay. File manager, could we open	
3		Exhibit 1, page 1?	
4	Q.	Again, to justify the closure the NRCB's office	
5		closure and why the delay, it was intended to allow the	
6		public to complete the public notice to be completed	
7		in a time when neighbouring residents and landowners	
8		would be allowed to see the notice.	
9		Okay. And then there's a second part to that, to	
10		when the NRCB offices would be open to take enquiries.	10:53
11		Does the NRCB use any other means to display	
12		public notice aside from the local papers?	
13	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: It's also shown on our website.	
14		But AOPA does direct the newspaper advertisement.	
15	Q.	Okay. The second part of this piece suggests that the	
16		NRCB offices would be open to take enquiries.	
17		Due to the COVID restrictions, it's understood	
18		that the NRCB field offices were and continue to be	
19		closed to the public in 2020 since 2020. Was the	
20		NRCB field office open to the public in December of	10:54
21		2021?	
22	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I don't believe it was, but for	
23		clarification, maybe the wording of that sentence isn't	
24		absolutely perfect, but I mean, NRCB offices were	
25		running remotely. And if we were in business hours and	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Ī

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1

1		you phoned our office phones, we received all those	
2		phone calls, and we that's how we requested new	
3		enquiries.	
4	Q.	Okay. So field staff were working from home? Can you	
5		describe how you were communicating with the public	
6		through remotely?	
7	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Like, generally, phone calls, site	
8		visits, emails, occasional Zoom calls. Whatever they	
9		were comfortable with for the most part.	
10	Q.	Okay. Do you believe you had all the tools that were	10:55
11		required to communicate with the public regarding	
12		public notice?	
13	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: When I would have my work	
14		cellphone on, they could get ahold of me. So yeah.	
15	Q.	Okay. So the requirement for the office to be open is	
16		a bit misleading?	
17	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I'd say not because, I mean, would	
18		you be happy if someone's building next to you, you	
19		tried to phone and you can't get ahold of them, right?	
20		Because office open is you know, people have to be	10:56
21		working to answer a phone.	
22	Q.	The question is more related to your you have the	
23		ability to answer public notice, but the NRCB field	
24		office does not have to be open to do that?	
25	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: That is accurate.	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1	Q.	Okay. Were you working from December 3rd were you	
2		working remotely from December 3rd to December 24th?	
3	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah, I believe so. I might have	
4		been off the odd day here and there, kind of like a	
5		normal thing, but, yeah, we were operating.	
6	Q.	Okay. So the December 3rd to the December 24th	
7		timeframe is approximately 16 work days. Would you	
8		agree, then, that the delay of the public notice	
9		delayed the process by 16 days?	
10	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Absolutely not. As discussed	10:57
11		about four times already, December 15th would have been	
12		the best case scenario for public notice. And for	
13		clarification, that time of year, I do recall I would	
14		not have had any time to work on it, any significance	
15		anyway because we were there was a lot of files in	
16		before. So so there was no there might be a	
17		perceived delay, but there was no actual delay.	
18	Q.	The actual delay is very important to A&D Cattle.	
19	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah, and I understand the	
20		unfortunate timing of how these things correlated, but	10:58
21		there was no actual delay.	
22	MR.	METHERAL: File manager, can we pull up	
23		Exhibit 22, page 7. If we were to look at the comments	
24		from Mr. van Huigenbos, he states that: (as read)	
25		"Joe said it was NRCB policy to have	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

			1
1		office closure and implied that the NRCB	
2		has seen more statements of concern when	
3		public notice happens during December."	
4		That's from the first paragraph.	
5		Would you agree that those two statements from your	
6		decision summary and Mr. van Huigenbos's appear to	
7		contradict each other?	
8	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Well, I would say he is saying	
9		I what is the exact wording you just used there	
10		"implied," and, you know, I didn't imply that	11:00
11		intentionally at all; that would have been his	
12		interpretation. And, you know, I take responsibility	
13		if maybe I could have communicated things clearer.	
14		It's sometimes tough to get things across, but.	
15	Q.	Do you remember making the comment about why it would	
16		be why December might not be a good month for public	
17		notice?	
18	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: In general, and I've been asked	
19		that I would respond that we're just not available	
20		to answer the phone, the office isn't open. And maybe	11:00
21		as far as, like, it's just yeah, that's about all I	
22		can recall. I don't have anything more than that.	
23	Q.	Okay. I'd like to move on to Exhibit 22, page 1, some	
24		of the details about the application.	
25	THE	CHAIR: Mr. Metheral, how much longer do	

J. SONNENBERG, A. CUMMING Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1 you expect for Mr. Sonnenberg and/or Mr. Cumming? 2 MR. METHERAL: I'm on page 4 of 6. 3 THE CHAIR: Okay. Great. You know what, to 4 the extent possible, if you can have your questions 5 succinct and pointed, that would be great, but please 6 proceed. Okay. 7 MR. METHERAL: If we were to -- sorry, 20 -- sorry, is this 8 9 Exhibit 22, page 1? Can we scroll down the page? Sorry, this must be Exhibit 26, page 1. 10 11:02 11 Q. Yes, here we go. Sorry, "Approval Officer Chronology," 12 Item Number 1. 13 Earlier, you had -- in your testimony, you had 14 referred to this document that was submitted that 15 discusses approval officer chronology, and you had kind of clarified some of the timelines. The statements of 16 17 concern deadline was February 2nd. Would you agree 18 with that? 19 MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah. Α. 20 Q. Okay. And then you had indicated in your decision 11:03 21 summary that you had, a couple of days later, sent the statements to the applicant. So that would have been 22 23 February 7th. 24 MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah, that sounds about right. Α. 25 But you don't agree that this should be considered a Q.

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1		delay?	
2	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: No, it's typical process to see if	
3		anything else comes in by mail. And also, I think it's	
4		just we need time to compile when public notice is	
5		over.	
6		Again, we have many applications ongoing at the	
7		same time and we try to send these things in as we can.	
8		But three to five days, I would say, is pretty typical.	
9	Q.	On that note, and with that prompt, did you reach out	
10		to Mr. van Huigenbos to initiate this exchange of	11:04
11		statements of concern?	
12	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: No. No. He had phoned me. I	
13		think he was just trying to keep the process moving	
14		like a lot of applicants do, so	
15	Q.	So in his opinion, perhaps this was he was checking	
16		in on the status of this review and was wondering why	
17		this material wasn't available for him to comment on?	
18	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah. And if we would have	
19		discussed, I think there's a decent chance I would have	
20		explained we wait for things to come in, but I can't	11:04
21		recall for sure, but it's pretty typical.	
22	Q.	Okay. On page 3 if we can scroll down on page 3, to	
23		page 3.	
24		March 10th. Let me just confirm where I'm at	
25		here. So there was some communication about missing	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

			1
1		information, and that email correspondence started on	
2		March 10th, and it specifically asks about the	
3		location sorry, phone call on March 10th. It	
4		specifically asked about the location of the water	
5		wells.	
6		Were you on site in November?	
7	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yes, I was.	
8	Q.	Did you look for or verify the location of the water	
9		wells at that time?	
10	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I did not, and I guess I could add	11:05
11		clarification that it was maybe my misunderstanding at	
12		the time when I first took the documents that both	
13		wells were to be decommissioned.	
14		And, yeah, later on, I yeah, I kind of I	
15		will admit that was missed. But also at the time, it's	
16		just the one well yeah, yeah.	
17		No, it's basically, that was my bad.	
18	Q.	Okay. And the producer had submitted some drawings	
19		that illustrated the location of the water wells?	
20	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah, in that case too, I just had	11:06
21		a little bit of tough time with the when I got back	
22		a few months later being able to tell which dot. So it	
23		was just providing clarification just just the size	
24		of some of the font and their photo quality.	
25	Q.	Okay.	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

			11
1	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: And the other factors, just the	
2		pens that were added December 3rd that changed the site	
3		significantly, and that's something I obviously wasn't	
4		aware of on November 3rd, and it just did not occur to	
5		me until later on when I was reviewing the information,	
6		SO	
7	Q.	Okay. I'd like to move on to some comments about	
8		cultivated land. If we could look at Exhibit 2,	
9		page 9. Oh, that can't be right. Exhibit 22, page 9.	
10		Down to the March 16th email.	11:07
11		The second bullet suggests that you were looking	
12		for lands that were not cultivated. Sorry, the	
13		March 16th suggests non-farmed areas removed, and the	
14		March 14th email looks for to compensate for areas	
15		that were not cultivated. Why was the farming practice	
16		important to you for this application?	
17	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Well, in this case, I did have	
18		some internal assistance.	
19		Like I said, we were trying to get these	
20		applications processed as quick as we could at the	11:08
21		time. But when I have since reviewed it, there's	
22		definitely you know, so maybe non-farmed isn't fully	
23		accurate, but there is setbacks, there's wetland areas,	
24		there's areas with slopes that wouldn't necessarily be	
25		suitable for manure spread. So those are all removed	
1			

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

 			ন
1		when you tally up what is available for every	
2		application.	
3	Q.	Wouldn't you agree, though, that AOPA talks about soil	
4		classification for land base?	
5	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah, for sure.	
6	Q.	Okay. Did you provide Mr. van Huigenbos with the	
7		land-base calculations?	
8	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I'm sure I would have. I don't	
9		recall exactly what I would have provided, but we	
10		generally set that along with the Part 2.	11:09
11	Q.	Okay. And did he meet your requirements? You asked	
12		for a certain amount of acres.	
13	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: At a quick glance, just some of	
14		the landowners give a little bit of optimistic view of	
15		what was available for manure spreading.	
16	Q.	So you provided that calculation first, but you didn't	
17		consider the setbacks at that time?	
18	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I would suggest I mean, we	
19		we provided the applicant we say, you know, it needs	
20		to be spreading land. It's kind of up to an applicant	11:10
21		to make sure they provide lands that are suitable for	
22		manure. So we kind of just take their word for it.	
23		And then in the Part 2, I mean, we look at it much	
24		more closely. And in this case, where we deemed he was	
25		a little short. Some of it wasn't suitable. We had	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

 rare where every acre on a quarter section is available for spreading. Q. And you did that detailed calculation? A. MR. SONNENBERG: That was done internally. I ended up having some assistance with it. But, yes, those detailed calculations were done using aerial photographs and measurements. Q. You delineated riparian area using air photos? A. MR. SONNENBERG: In general, yes, that's how we would do it. We also drive by some of the fields, but, 1 I mean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea of what's available for spreading. Q. When you were on your site visit, did you look at the 	 	
 because of the farming practices. A. MR. SONNENBERG: You could interpret it that way. Q. Is it possible that he provided you enough land base that this calculation could have proceeded based on soil classification? A. MR. SONNENBERG: In a hypothetical world where maybe you remove wetlands and slopes and setbacks to neighbours and waterbodies, but in reality, it's very rare where every acre on a quarter section is available for spreading. Q. And you did that detailed calculation? A. MR. SONNENBERG: That was done internally. I ended up having some assistance with it. But, yes, those detailed calculations were done using aerial photographs and measurements. Q. You delineated riparian area using air photos? A. MR. SONNENBERG: In general, yes, that's how we would do it. We also drive by some of the fields, but, 1 I mean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea of what's available for spreading. Q. When you were on your site visit, did you look at the 	1	e opportunity to provide us more.
 A. MR. SONNENBERG: You could interpret it that way. Q. Is it possible that he provided you enough land base that this calculation could have proceeded based on soil classification? A. MR. SONNENBERG: In a hypothetical world where maybe you remove wetlands and slopes and setbacks to neighbours and waterbodies, but in reality, it's very rare where every acre on a quarter section is available for spreading. Q. And you did that detailed calculation? A. MR. SONNENBERG: That was done internally. I ended up having some assistance with it. But, yes, those detailed calculations were done using aerial photographs and measurements. Q. You delineated riparian area using air photos? A. MR. SONNENBERG: In general, yes, that's how we would do it. We also drive by some of the fields, but, I mean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea of what's available for spreading. Q. When you were on your site visit, did you look at the 	2 Q.	ay. But you've indicated that it's not suitable
 Q. Is it possible that he provided you enough land base that this calculation could have proceeded based on soil classification? A. MR. SONNENBERG: In a hypothetical world where maybe you remove wetlands and slopes and setbacks to neighbours and waterbodies, but in reality, it's very rare where every acre on a quarter section is available for spreading. Q. And you did that detailed calculation? A. MR. SONNENBERG: That was done internally. I ended up having some assistance with it. But, yes, those detailed calculations were done using aerial photographs and measurements. Q. You delineated riparian area using air photos? A. MR. SONNENBERG: In general, yes, that's how we would do it. We also drive by some of the fields, but, I mean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea of what's available for spreading. Q. When you were on your site visit, did you look at the 	3	ause of the farming practices.
 6 that this calculation could have proceeded based on soil classification? A. MR. SONNENBERG: In a hypothetical world where 9 maybe you remove wetlands and slopes and setbacks to 10 neighbours and waterbodies, but in reality, it's very 11 rare where every acre on a quarter section is available 12 for spreading. 13 Q. And you did that detailed calculation? 14 A. MR. SONNENBERG: That was done internally. I ended 15 up having some assistance with it. But, yes, those 16 detailed calculations were done using aerial 17 photographs and measurements. 18 Q. You delineated riparian area using air photos? 19 A. MR. SONNENBERG: In general, yes, that's how we 20 would do it. We also drive by some of the fields, but, 1 21 I mean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea 22 of what's available for spreading. 23 Q. When you were on your site visit, did you look at the 	4 A .	SONNENBERG: You could interpret it that way.
 soil classification? A. MR. SONNENBERG: In a hypothetical world where maybe you remove wetlands and slopes and setbacks to neighbours and waterbodies, but in reality, it's very rare where every acre on a quarter section is available for spreading. Q. And you did that detailed calculation? A. MR. SONNENBERG: That was done internally. I ended up having some assistance with it. But, yes, those detailed calculations were done using aerial photographs and measurements. Q. You delineated riparian area using air photos? A. MR. SONNENBERG: In general, yes, that's how we would do it. We also drive by some of the fields, but, I mean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea of what's available for spreading. Q. When you were on your site visit, did you look at the 	5 Q.	it possible that he provided you enough land base
 A. MR. SONNENBERG: In a hypothetical world where maybe you remove wetlands and slopes and setbacks to neighbours and waterbodies, but in reality, it's very rare where every acre on a quarter section is available for spreading. Q. And you did that detailed calculation? A. MR. SONNENBERG: That was done internally. I ended up having some assistance with it. But, yes, those detailed calculations were done using aerial photographs and measurements. Q. You delineated riparian area using air photos? A. MR. SONNENBERG: In general, yes, that's how we would do it. We also drive by some of the fields, but, I mean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea of what's available for spreading. Q. When you were on your site visit, did you look at the 	6	at this calculation could have proceeded based on
 maybe you remove wetlands and slopes and setbacks to neighbours and waterbodies, but in reality, it's very rare where every acre on a quarter section is available for spreading. Q. And you did that detailed calculation? A. MR. SONNENBERG: That was done internally. I ended up having some assistance with it. But, yes, those detailed calculations were done using aerial photographs and measurements. Q. You delineated riparian area using air photos? A. MR. SONNENBERG: In general, yes, that's how we would do it. We also drive by some of the fields, but, 1 I mean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea of what's available for spreading. Q. When you were on your site visit, did you look at the 	7	l classification?
 neighbours and waterbodies, but in reality, it's very rare where every acre on a quarter section is available for spreading. Q. And you did that detailed calculation? A. MR. SONNENBERG: That was done internally. I ended up having some assistance with it. But, yes, those detailed calculations were done using aerial photographs and measurements. Q. You delineated riparian area using air photos? A. MR. SONNENBERG: In general, yes, that's how we would do it. We also drive by some of the fields, but, 1 I mean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea of what's available for spreading. Q. When you were on your site visit, did you look at the 	8 A.	SONNENBERG: In a hypothetical world where
 rare where every acre on a quarter section is available for spreading. Q. And you did that detailed calculation? A. MR. SONNENBERG: That was done internally. I ended up having some assistance with it. But, yes, those detailed calculations were done using aerial photographs and measurements. Q. You delineated riparian area using air photos? A. MR. SONNENBERG: In general, yes, that's how we would do it. We also drive by some of the fields, but, 1 I mean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea of what's available for spreading. Q. When you were on your site visit, did you look at the 	9	/be you remove wetlands and slopes and setbacks to
 for spreading. Q. And you did that detailed calculation? A. MR. SONNENBERG: That was done internally. I ended up having some assistance with it. But, yes, those detailed calculations were done using aerial photographs and measurements. Q. You delineated riparian area using air photos? A. MR. SONNENBERG: In general, yes, that's how we would do it. We also drive by some of the fields, but, 1 I mean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea of what's available for spreading. Q. When you were on your site visit, did you look at the 	10	ighbours and waterbodies, but in reality, it's very 11:10
 13 Q. And you did that detailed calculation? 14 A. MR. SONNENBERG: That was done internally. I ended 15 up having some assistance with it. But, yes, those 16 detailed calculations were done using aerial 17 photographs and measurements. 18 Q. You delineated riparian area using air photos? 19 A. MR. SONNENBERG: In general, yes, that's how we 20 would do it. We also drive by some of the fields, but, 1 21 I mean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea 22 of what's available for spreading. 23 Q. When you were on your site visit, did you look at the 	11	e where every acre on a quarter section is available
 A. MR. SONNENBERG: That was done internally. I ended up having some assistance with it. But, yes, those detailed calculations were done using aerial photographs and measurements. Q. You delineated riparian area using air photos? A. MR. SONNENBERG: In general, yes, that's how we would do it. We also drive by some of the fields, but, I mean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea of what's available for spreading. Q. When you were on your site visit, did you look at the 	12	`spreading.
 up having some assistance with it. But, yes, those detailed calculations were done using aerial photographs and measurements. Q. You delineated riparian area using air photos? A. MR. SONNENBERG: In general, yes, that's how we would do it. We also drive by some of the fields, but, I mean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea of what's available for spreading. Q. When you were on your site visit, did you look at the 	13 Q.	you did that detailed calculation?
 detailed calculations were done using aerial photographs and measurements. Q. You delineated riparian area using air photos? A. MR. SONNENBERG: In general, yes, that's how we would do it. We also drive by some of the fields, but, I mean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea of what's available for spreading. Q. When you were on your site visit, did you look at the 	14 A .	SONNENBERG: That was done internally. I ended
 photographs and measurements. Q. You delineated riparian area using air photos? A. MR. SONNENBERG: In general, yes, that's how we would do it. We also drive by some of the fields, but, I mean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea of what's available for spreading. Q. When you were on your site visit, did you look at the 	15	having some assistance with it. But, yes, those
18Q.You delineated riparian area using air photos?19A.MR. SONNENBERG:In general, yes, that's how we20would do it.We also drive by some of the fields, but,121I mean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea22of what's available for spreading.23Q.When you were on your site visit, did you look at the	16	ailed calculations were done using aerial
19A.MR. SONNENBERG:In general, yes, that's how we20would do it.We also drive by some of the fields, but,121I mean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea222of what's available for spreading.223Q.When you were on your site visit, did you look at the	17	otographs and measurements.
 20 would do it. We also drive by some of the fields, but, 21 I mean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea 22 of what's available for spreading. 23 Q. When you were on your site visit, did you look at the 	18 Q.	ı delineated riparian area using air photos?
21I mean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea22of what's available for spreading.23Q. When you were on your site visit, did you look at the	19 A .	SONNENBERG: In general, yes, that's how we
 22 of what's available for spreading. 23 Q. When you were on your site visit, did you look at the 	20	ald do it. We also drive by some of the fields, but, 11:11
23 Q. When you were on your site visit, did you look at the	21	nean, air photos usually give you a pretty good idea
	22	what's available for spreading.
	23 Q.	en you were on your site visit, did you look at the
24 land base that he had indicated?	24	nd base that he had indicated?
25 A. MR. SONNENBERG: I looked at the home like, his	25 A .	SONNENBERG: I looked at the home like, his

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Γ

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1

			11
1		home quarter there.	
2	Q.	And so you were confident you could delineate riparian	
3		areas from a drive-by setting and Google Earth review?	
4	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I'd say in that case, there's	
5		definitely some areas that you can delineate as not	
6		suitable for spreading based on an air photo.	
7	Q.	Okay. Well, in this case, this action, the request for	
8		more information to determine water well location and	
9		to question the farming practices resulted in a six-day	
10		delay from March 10th to 16th.	11:1:
11		Had these details been collected earlier, do you	
12		think this delay could have been avoided?	
13	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I'd say if those details were	
14		collected earlier, it just would delay me getting out	
15		for notice on the other end, because, I mean, you only	
16		have so much time when we look at these. So there is a	
17		perceived delay, but there was no actual delay.	
18	Q.	Again, these actual days are important to the	
19		applicant.	
20		Just a quick question. Were you away on holidays	11:1:
21		at any time in December?	
22	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Christmas closure is discussed	
23		earlier.	
24	Q.	Okay. How about during the public review process from	
25		January until April?	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

			71
1	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: I may have had a couple of days	
2		off, but it would have been less than I was actually	
3		working, if anything, way more than we normally would.	
4	Q.	Okay. And did you include the Town of Fort Macleod as	
5		a directed (verbatim) affected party?	
6	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Initially, I did not.	
7	Q.	Why is that?	
8	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: So we request addresses and who	
9		lives within the prescribed radius, so directly	
10		affected radius from the farm. And at the time, it	11:
11		didn't fall into that radius, and there was no planning	
12		documents that would have brought them into the fold,	
13		so prior to March 9th.	
14	Q.	So when would you have exactly determined affected	
15		party status?	
16	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Well, it depends. If you live	
17		within the prescribed distance of a CFO, you're	
18		automatically presumed, you know, to be directly	
19		affected. Other other people can make a case,	
20		though.	11:
21		So, you know, if Town of Fort Macleod would have	
22		wanted to, let's say, respond to the public notice,	
23		maybe they would have made a case, and you find them	
24		directly affected. Or in other cases, people who draw	
25		water downstream of a site. There's a lot of other	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1		factors.	
2		So it's kind of a during the same review	
3		process when we're looking at MDP, we're looking at the	
4		technical documents. That's when we make those	
5		decisions.	
6	Q.	Okay. Thank you, Joe or thank you, Mr. Sonnenberg,	
7		for your time. That would conclude my questions for	
8		you.	
9		I would ask to speak to Mr. Cumming for to	
10		clarify a few points.	11:15
11	THE	CHAIR: Mr. Cumming is ready.	
12	Q.	MR. METHERAL: Yes, hi.	
13		I'd like some just some clarification on NRCB	
14		65-day delivery policy. Just to confirm, that's an	
15		internal NRCB policy?	
16	Α.	MR. CUMMING: Are you talking about the	
17		performance measure that I provided evidence on	
18		earlier? It is an NRCB performance measure.	
19	Q.	Okay. And perhaps I missed this, but the 65 days, how	
20		did you arrive at the 65-day number?	11:16
21	Α.	MR. CUMMING: Back in the early 2000s, I did	
22		some calculations based on the information that we had	
23		at the time to develop and propose that to our	
24		management group, and the management group accepted it	
25		and adopted it as a performance measure for the NRCB.	
11			1

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1	Q.	Okay. It appears like, though, that the approval	
2		officer can delay the start of the countdown. Is that	
3		accurate?	
4	Α.	MR. CUMMING: The performance measure is from	
5		the date that the application is deemed complete, so	
6		I I don't know what you mean by "delay the start of	
7		the countdown."	
8	Q.	Well, it's A&D's position that this application was	
9		complete in November and would like the countdown to	
10		have started when the submission was finished. But the	11:17
11		NRCB chose to delay the start date until the deemed	
12		complete day, until January 5th.	
13		Can you explain why that countdown is relevant, if	
14		the approval officer can simply delay the start date?	
15	Α.	MR. CUMMING: Perhaps a better way to respond to	
16		this is to look at the approvals policy that we have.	
17		And I must apologize, because I don't know what the	
18		exhibit number is, but I do have the section number	
19		and, again, we'd have to look at that.	
20		But it's Section 7(11)(3), which essentially	11:18
21		says and this is for approvals and registration	
22		applications. And it refers to Sections 19(4) and	
23		21(3)(a) of AOPA: (as read)	
24		"Persons may apply for directly affected	
25		parties within 20 working days after an	
11			

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

			1
1		approval officer has deemed an	
2		application for an approval or	
3		registration to be complete. Approval	
4		officers will consider the date the	
5		public notice appears in the local paper	
6		as the official date the application is	
7		deemed to be complete. This approach	
8		ensures that all parties have the full	
9		20 working days to respond after being	
10		notified of the application."	11:18
11	MS.	VANCE: I might just step in to assist. I	
12		believe that that portion of the approvals policy is	
13		contained within Exhibit 11.	
14	Α.	MR. CUMMING: Thank you.	
15	THE	CHAIR: Unless other parties need it, I	
16		think from the Board's perspective, we're good.	
17	Q.	MR. METHERAL: Okay. So to confirm,	
18		Mr. Sonnenberg has said that the earliest that public	
19		notice could be maintained, in his opinion, was perhaps	
20		December 16th 15th, 16th. Do you recall that date?	11:19
21	Α.	MR. CUMMING: I recall that Mr. Sonnenberg	
22		mentioned that. I believe that the Macleod Gazette	
23		gets published on a Wednesday, so I believe that date	
24		would be the 15th.	
25	Q.	The 15th. Okay. So it's an internal NRCB policy that	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

			11
1		requires that these notifications go out according to	
2		publication dates for local newspapers. So in essence,	
3		then, we can argue that this application was delayed	
4		based on an NRCB policy.	
5	Α.	MR. CUMMING: I I disagree with that. We	
6		have an internal practice that has been a long-standing	
7		practice since the early 2000s, again, where notice is	
8		not provided if it's not provided if it will fall	
9		over the Christmas break.	
10		And that that internal practice came about	11:20
11		after getting a lot of feedback from parties when we	
12		originally started AOPA and we did provide notice over	
13		that break.	
14		So the decision was made that it would be	
15		the we would delay decision until as soon as	
16		possible after the Christmas break if notice was going	
17		to fall over that Christmas break.	
18	Q.	I'd like to speak to the Christmas break timeframe in a	
19		bit. Specifically now, I'm focusing on the NRCB's	
20		decision to wait for public notice until it reflected	11:21
21		on the Gazette submission date. Would you agree the	
22		NRCB delayed this application until it reflected on the	
23		publication date?	
24	Α.	MR. CUMMING: No, I wouldn't agree that we	
25		delayed the decision. I think that the the policy	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

			ส
1		is quite clear that 7(11)(3) as that the date the	
2		application would be deemed complete would be the date	
3		that the notice was published in the paper.	
4	Q.	The notice could not be published until it reflected on	
5		the Gazette submission timelines; is that accurate?	
6	Α.	MR. CUMMING: The public notices as I've	
7		mentioned a little earlier, and I think I've already	
8		answered this question, when the notice is published in	
9		the newspaper, that would be the date that the	
10		application would be deemed complete.	11:22
11	Q.	And the NRCB waits to reflect publication dates, yes or	
12		no?	
13	Α.	MR. CUMMING: I I don't know what your	
14		question is. I think I've already answered it several	
15		times.	
16	Q.	Joe indicated that he could have published on the 16th.	
17		Did he delay publishing this application until at least	
18		the 16th?	
19	Α.	MR. CUMMING: No, I believe what Mr. Sonnenberg	
20		said is that if if he had received this decision or	11:23
21		the the application, the Part 2 application on	
22		December the 3rd, that the very earliest that it would	
23		be possible to publish in the newspaper given the	
24		timeframes that are required to provide the information	
25		to the newspaper would be December the 15th.	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

h			키
1		However, because of our practice not to have	
2		notice cover that Christmas break, the notice was	
3		actually placed on January the 5th in the Macleod	
4		Gazette.	
5	Q.	I'm not looking for the justification. I'm looking to	
6		better understand if NRCB policy delayed this	
7		application to reflect the Gazette timeframe.	
8	MS.	VANCE: I'm just going to object	
9	THE	CHAIR: Ms. Vance, please	
10	MS.	VANCE: I believe the question has been	11:2
11		answered and asked a number of times, and I was going	
12		to jump in on the previous question, but I waited.	
13		And some of these questions are more appropriate	
14		for Mr. Sonnenberg, who you've already questioned. So	
15		just bear that in mind, please.	
16	THE	CHAIR: Ms. Vance, thank you. I would	
17		agree, and I was going to ask Mr. Metheral as well, you	
18		know, from the Board's perspective from terms of	
19		information we need, I believe we have heard the	
20		question and answer a number of times.	11:2
21		So if you could please move on to your next	
22		question, it would be appreciated.	
23	MR.	METHERAL: Okay.	
24	Q.	The next question is about the timeframe for NRCB	
25		office closure from I understand you corrected it to	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

			1
1		be December 24th until January 3rd.	
2	Α.	MR. CUMMING: That's included, yes. Both of	
3		those dates are included.	
4	Q.	Is December 24th a statutory holiday?	
5	Α.	MR. CUMMING: The way that the Province does it,	
6		it's December 24th is the floater day for the	
7		Christmas day.	
8	Q.	A floater day for Christmas day? What about	
9	THE	CHAIR: Excuse me, Mr. Metheral. I	
10		believe the if I have it right, Mr. Cumming answered	11:25
11		the Christmas closure days, including the statutory	
12		days, the days in lieu, and the floaters in a previous	
13		answer. So if there's a new question, maybe we could	
14		have that.	
15	MR.	METHERAL: Yes.	
16	Q.	I'm trying to determine if the closure period delayed	
17		my applicant's review. Does January does	
18		December 29th, 30th, and 31st how would you describe	
19		those days? They're not statutory holidays. How does	
20		the Government view those days?	11:26
21	MS.	VANCE: I believe that has also been	
22		answered. And in terms of do you think this delayed, I	
23		think that's a piece for argument rather than evidence.	
24		We're trying to anyway.	
25	MR.	METHERAL: Okay. Perhaps I'll move on. But	

Ī

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1		for the Board's position, I am trying to determine if	
2		NRCB and Government practice delayed my client's	
3		application.	
4	Q.	Mr. Cumming, can you confirm the location of the NRCB	
5		field office in Lethbridge?	
6	Α.	MR. CUMMING: It's at the Agriculture Centre off	
7		of 1st Avenue south. It's actually in the county.	
8	Q.	Is that a provincial building or a federal building?	
9	Α.	MR. CUMMING: We are located in the provincial	
10		wing of the federal building.	11:27
11	Q.	Is the NRCB field office open to the public?	
12	Α.	MR. CUMMING: I'm not sure. Are you talking	
13		about specific dates? Are you talking about right now,	
14		or are you talking about when?	
15	Q.	Is the NRCB field office open to the public today?	
16	Α.	MR. CUMMING: Yes.	
17	Q.	Was the NRCB field office open to the public in	
18		December of 2021?	
19	Α.	MR. CUMMING: I don't believe it was.	
20	Q.	It was?	11:27
21	Α.	MR. CUMMING: No, I said I don't believe it was.	
22	Q.	Thank you. The field staff have been working remotely	
23		since early 2020. Do you believe the field staff have	
24		the tools they need to complete their job remotely?	
25	Α.	MR. CUMMING: I do believe so.	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1	Q.	Okay. Thank you for your time, Mr. Cumming. That	
2		would conclude my questions for the	
3	THE	CHAIR: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Metheral,	
4		Mr. van Huigenbos.	
5	MS.	VANCE: Mr. Chair, I'm sorry to interrupt.	
6		I do have a possible response to the undertaking	
7	THE	CHAIR: Oh, okay.	
8	MS.	VANCE: if that would be helpful.	
9		I understood the undertaking was the date that the	
10		then approval was sent out for internal review. I'm	11:28
11		not here to give evidence. I'm happy to let	
12		Mr. Sonnenberg do it, but he would have to search his	
13		emails as well. I was fortunate enough to be copied on	
14		that email, and I can tell you that it was March the	
15		16th.	
16	THE	CHAIR: So March the 16th is when the	
17		decision was circulated for further review by other	
18		approval officers. Do I have that right?	
19	MS.	VANCE: Yes.	
20	THE	CHAIR: Thank you. Mr. Metheral, you	11:29
21		heard and understood? Is Zoom still online?	
22	MR.	METHERAL: Yes, we're on, and just one minute	
23		to better understand that response.	
24	THE	CHAIR: Just more curious as long as you	
25		heard the response. You'll have an opportunity in	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Ī

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1		closing or final arg	ument. I think you're closed	
2		you indicated you're	closed with your questions, so	
3		we're ready to move o	on.	
4	MR.	METHERAL:	Sure.	
5	THE	CHAIR:	So, Mr. Kennedy, do you have any	
6		questions?		
7	MR.	KENNEDY:	I thought if Mr. van Huigenbos,	
8		A&D Cattle, had ques	tions from arising from the	
9		undertaking, this wo	uld be the time to do that. I	
10		think they were pure	ly asking for the date. I don't	11:30
11		know whether any que	stions might arise from that.	
12	THE	CHAIR:	Yeah, sorry, my assumption is they	
13		asked for the date, a	and that's what was provided, so I	
14		didn't see other que	stions.	
15		Mr. Metheral, d	id you have a question about the	
16		date?		
17	MR.	METHERAL:	No, that answers our questions.	
18		Perhaps if we can add	d that to public record, the email	
19		or correspondence, t	hat would be great.	
20	THE	CHAIR:	That will be part of the	11:30
21		transcript and part of	of	
22	MR.	KENNEDY:	Well, I'm not sure	
23	THE	CHAIR:	Is that going to be an exhibit?	
24	MR.	KENNEDY :	I suspect it may not I mean,	
25		internal deliberation	ns on these things are often not	

Questioned by Mr. Ceroici

made as exhibits, so... 1 2 MS. VANCE: Yeah, the undertaking was not to 3 introduce a piece of exhibit, which I cannot do anyway. 4 It would have to go through Mr. Sonnenberg. And I would agree with Mr. Kennedy. This is not 5 something we would likely be inclined to give a copy of 6 7 anyway, but I can tell you the date, which is March 16th. 8 MR. METHERAL: 9 Thank you. THE CHAIR: I think we're good, thank you. 10 11:31 11 Mr. Kennedy? MR. KENNEDY: 12 I have no questions, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIR: 13 All right. Thank you. 14 Ms. Roberts? 15 MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chair, I have no questions. THE CHAIR: 16 Mr. Ceroici? 17 MR. CEROICI: Yes, I have just hopefully a short 18 question. 19 MR. CEROICI QUESTIONS THE PANEL: 20 Q. On the issue of completeness of a permit, I just wanted 11:31 21 to get maybe a little more explanation on what does it mean when a permit is complete in the review process? 22 23 Α. MR. CUMMING: Is that question directed at me, 24 Mr. Ceroici? Will I answer it? 25 Yes. Yes, please. Q.

Questioned by Mr. Ceroici

			1
1	Α.	MR. CUMMING: Thank you. I think I touched on	
2		this when when I gave evidence initially, but	
3		essentially what we are looking at when we determine an	
4		application to be complete, that there is sufficient	
5		information included in that application for it to	
6		start to be processed.	
7		It does not preclude that more information may be	
8		required as questions or further review of the	
9		application may generate some questions. But it in	
10		order to be deemed complete, it would have to have	11:32
11		sufficient information for it to begin to be processed.	
12		I trust that would answer your question.	
13	Q.	So I guess I just want to probe a bit about about	
14		the missing water well information and the extra	
15		acreages for manure spreading.	
16		So would that be considered information required	
17		to decide if an application is complete, or would it be	
18		considered information that could you know, would be	
19		required later on after further analysis?	
20	Α.	MR. CUMMING: So typically with applications, we	11:33
21		will see and there will be an overview done to see that	
22		there has been some lands provided for manure	
23		application, assuming that that's how they are	
24		proposing to utilize that manure.	
25		When it comes down to the detailed review of those	

Questioned by The Chair

<u> </u>			7
1		lands, that's when things such as slopes, waterbodies,	
2		setbacks from property lines and and things like	
3		that would be looked at in in far greater detail,	
4		and it's at those points in time when additional	
5		information may be required.	
6		We have had situations as well in the past with	
7		with some applications where information has changed	
8		over time, and so that that information would then	
9		come in and add to or change what what was included	
10		in the original application.	11:34
11	Q.	Okay. Thanks very much, Mr. Cumming. I have no	
12		further questions.	
13	THE	CHAIR: Okay. I have just a couple of	
14		questions.	
15	THE	CHAIR QUESTIONS THE PANEL:	
16	Q.	Mr. Sonnenberg, you indicated that, I believe, on	
17		December 21st, you sent a letter to parties as a	
18		courtesy I think I have that right indicating	
19		that public notice would occur on January 5th. Do I	
20		have that right?	11:34
21	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: That that sounds sounds	
22		about right. I don't remember the exact date, but it	
23		did go out particular the closure.	
24	Q.	So my understanding, then, and also based on what	
25		Mr. Ceroici has asked, then, if you were able to	

Ī

Questioned by The Chair

1		provide that letter on December 21st that notice would	
2		be coming January 5th, the application would have	
3		been you know, from your perspective is complete on	
4		the 21st, because you know already you can issue the	
5		notice on January 5th. Is that fair?	
6	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yeah. Yeah, that's fair.	
7	Q.	And so the reason that those dates were linked later is	
8		more for, I suppose, (a) administrative purposes to	
9		start the clock but also to tie the deemed complete to	
10		the timelines that the legislation allows for public	11:35
11		notice. Is that fair?	
12	Α.	MR. SONNENBERG: Yes, hundred percent.	
13	Q.	Okay. Thank you.	
14		Mr. Cumming, we heard a lot about Christmas	
15		closure and timelines and the reasons and just a couple	
16		of quick questions surrounding that. It's a government	
17		policy, yes, but NRCB doesn't have to follow and we	
18		don't necessarily follow I work for the same	
19		organization all government policy when it comes to	
20		human resource type policies, but we have on this one,	11:36
21		and do you recall why?	
22	Α.	MR. CUMMING: I do not recall the exact details	
23		why, no. Sorry.	
24	Q.	Okay. And part of it might revolve around some	
25		questions from Mr. Metheral around working remotely and	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Questioned by The Chair

1		working from the office, but, you know, my recollection	
2		is that offices need to be open if we use them, and	
3		they're shut down, and we use many provincial	
4		buildings. That might be a reason.	
5		I'm not here to provide some evidence, but I'm	
6		just sort of thinking out loud for a little bit partly	
7		because we all work for the NRCB in the end. But the	
8		interesting part is working remotely and working from	
9		the office, are those the same thing, in your mind?	
10	Α.	MR. CUMMING: Yes. Yeah, the physical location	11:36
11		of where the person is sitting and doing the work would	
12		be different but that they would be doing the same work	
13		and having the same access to NRCB resources, data	
14		basis, things like that, yes, they would have that from	
15		both locations.	
16	Q.	And over the last two years of working remotely, you	
17		haven't or have you seen issues around getting the	
18		job done, responding to clients?	
19	Α.	MR. CUMMING: No. In fact, our approval	
20		officers and all of our staff have been very positive	11:37
21		about communications with with clients. We do	
22		have or we have had a pandemic response plan in	
23		place, which does give guidance with respect to	
24		meetings and and things like that, but respect is	
25		being provided through the period that we had the COVID	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Questioned by The Chair

1		outbreak.	
2	Q.	And so my earlier question, working remotely is sort of	
3		the same as a facsimile of working from the office, so	
4		would that mean that during Christmas closure if we're	
5		working remotely, would staff be expected to work	
6		differently or be working because they're working	
7		remotely rather than from the office that is closed?	
8	Α.	MR. CUMMING: I'm not sure that I fully	
9		understand your question. I think what I'm	
10		understanding from you is that if there's a Christmas	11:38
11		closure, would we expect staff, if they were in the	
12		remote location, to work on their Christmas closure,	
13		and the answer would be no.	
14	Q.	Yeah, that was my question.	
15	Α.	MR. CUMMING: It would be the same it would	
16		be the same as a statutory holiday. We wouldn't expect	
17		staff to work in the office on a statutory holiday, and	
18		we would not expect them to work remotely on a	
19		statutory holiday.	
20	THE	CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. Those are my	11:38
21		questions.	
22		Ms. Vance do you have any redirect?	
23	MS.	VANCE: I don't. Thank you, sir.	
24	THE	CHAIR: Okay. Well, thank you. So we're	
25		sort of at a natural break potentially, because we've	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

1	got	
2	Mr. Metheral, you and Mr. van Huigenbos are up	
3	next, but it's a little bit early I'm not sure if	
4	lunch is in Lethbridge. I think it was going to be	
5	delivered, I'm not sure if it's ready or not. I'm just	
6	trying to figure out if we should kind of start the	
7	next section, because	
8	Mr. Sonnenberg, Mr. Cumming, by the way, thank	
9	you. You are now released from the panel.	
10	(PANEL STANDS DOWN)	11:39
11	THE CHAIR: So it's whether or not we kind of	
12	start the next section with A&D Cattle. Can anybody	
13	advise if lunch is ready for Lethbridge? I think we	
14	had some lunch coming in, so	
15	MS. VANCE: They just took my order, so I	
16	would think it's not here yet. Unless they're very	
17	good .	
18	THE CHAIR: Okay. Okay. Well, I think we	
19	ought to start then to use the time effectively, but,	
20	Mr. Metheral, we'll have sort of the same rule. We'll	11:39
21	probably need to I'm guessing we'll need to break in	
22	between, so Mr. van Huigenbos will be under oath at	
23	that time.	
24	So let's start with direct evidence.	
25	Mr. Metheral, if you're ready to go I presume you're	

ready to go. 1 MR. METHERAL: 2 Yes. 3 THE CHAIR: And we'll kind of aim for noon, 4 but I guess I'll look for a hand up or perhaps, 5 Ms. Friend, if you find out earlier through text or 6 something if there's an obvious time we can break in 7 terms of lunch at Lethbridge, because I think we have quite a few people at Lethbridge office. 8 9 Sorry, please proceed. Okay. So Adrian and I will -- are MR. METHERAL: 10 11:40 11 in the same room. I will try and present some of the 12 questions for him to respond to. 13 THE CHAIR: Right. And, Mr. Metheral, you're 14 not presenting any direct evidence because we need to 15 have the court reporter swear in -- so the court 16 reporter will need to swear in Mr. van Huigenbos for 17 sure, and if you're presenting evidence, I think we 18 need you to be sworn in as well. 19 MR. METHERAL: We were uncertain how this would 20 be best suited for the Board. Certainly, 11:41 21 Mr. van Huigenbos and I worked on these documents 22 together. He and I are both capable of responding to 23 the material presented. Perhaps --24 THE CHAIR: I guess if you're doing more than 25 asking questions and if you're going to be answering

Ī

Examined by Mr. Metheral

1

1	some of our questions, then you need to be swo	rn in.
2	So if the answer to those is yes, this gets yo	u sworn
3	in.	
4	MR. METHERAL: If it benefits the Board,	I can
5	certainly answer questions, and so perhaps I s	hould.
6	THE CHAIR: Well, you need to tell me	that.
7	I'm just asking the question.	
8	MR. METHERAL: Yes.	
9	THE CHAIR: Okay. So, Court Reporter	, please
10	swear in both. Don't tell me we lost	11:4
11	THE COURT REPORTER: No, I'm here.	
12		
13	<u>C. METHERAL, A. VAN HUIGENBOS</u> (For A&D Cattle Ltd.)),
14	affirmed	
15	MR. METHERAL EXAMINES THE PANEL:	
16	THE CHAIR: The floor is yours, Mr. M	etheral.
17	MR. METHERAL: If I can be in the screen	here.
18	Q. Mr. van Huigenbos, how long have you lived in	the
19	Fort Macleod area?	
20	A. MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: I was born in 1999 and I'	ve lived 11:43
21	in Fort Macleod my whole life.	
22	Q. Okay. And when did you buy your property?	
23	A. MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: We bought it in the sprin	g of 2021
24	and we got possession in September of 2021.	
25	Q. Okay. And why do you think it's important to	ask the

Ī

Examined by Mr. Metheral

1

1		Board for a review of this decision?	
2	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: There were goals I was working	
3		toward, and I feel that I was not treated fairly and	
4		with enough wasn't treated fairly by the NRCB.	
5	Q.	0kay.	
6	MR.	METHERAL: File manager, can we pull up	
7		Exhibit 22, page 6? That's right.	
8	Q.	So to provide a bit of evidence on your behalf, if we	
9		can go through your submission here. Please confirm	
10		I asked you to complete a timeline that you believed	11:44
11		was that you believe illustrated your activities	
12		with the NRCB?	
13	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes, that's my timeline. I	
14		completed it with you, yes.	
15	Q.	And to confirm, you submitted your application on	
16		July 17th. Engineering work proceeded in September, a	
17		submission of an engineering report on October 19th,	
18		or, perhaps, the engineers working on October 19th.	
19		And then to confirm, Joe Mr. Sonnenberg was on site	
20		on November 3rd?	11:44
21	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes.	
22	Q.	Okay. At that time, I'm trying to understand awareness	
23		about the municipal development plan and perhaps	
24		changes that the county and town were going through.	
25		You indicate here that you did discuss the town	

Examined by Mr. Metheral

<u> </u>			1
1		and the county's proposed intermunicipal development	
2		plan. Do you recall that visit?	
3	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes.	
4	Q.	And do you recall that discussion about the IDP?	
5	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes, I talked to Joe about it and	
6		he was aware that it was coming into place, and or	
7		that there was the talk of negotiations between the	
8		town and the MD.	
9		And he also told me that there was another client	
10		of his that was also having the same problems or was	11:45
11		also affected by it.	
12	Q.	Okay. And did you feel any pressure to get your	
13		application through the system as quickly as you could?	
14	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes. I had quite a bit of	
15		pressure and felt the need to get the permit done.	
16	Q.	And then in November, we see some submissions and some	
17		response from the NRCB about the engineering report,	
18		manure spreading lands, but, ultimately, everything was	
19		submitted on November 23rd. Is that accurate?	
20	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes. And then I was told that the	11:46
21		public notice could not happen in 2021, so at that	
22		point, I decided, since I was waiting anyway, I would	
23		add four more corrals, and this was completed, I	
24		believe it was in end of November, and the all this	
25		information was in on December 3.	
1			

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Examined by Mr. Metheral

b			ត
1	Q.	Okay. So some minor changes were completed to your	
2		application, but it was based on Joe's direction that	
3		public notice wouldn't occur in December. Is that	
4		accurate?	
5	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes. If public notice was still	
6		going to go through, I would never have made any	
7		changes and I would have had all my all my documents	
8		in on the 23rd, and it would have been deemed complete	
9		at that time.	
10	Q.	Okay. And it's your position here that you, on	11:47
11		December 3rd, you had sent in what would be kind of a	
12		final email that said there's nothing more that I'll be	
13		submitting. You approved the application as you had	
14		submitted it?	
15	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes. The NRCB asked me if that's	
16		all and said that they they had it all, so I	
17		confirmed with them, yes.	
18	Q.	Okay. Moving forward into January, we saw that Joe	
19		provided you with some statements of concern, and	
20		perhaps referrals. The first one came quite quickly,	11:48
21		January 5th, but there was there's an indication	
22		here that he told you he would send these comments as	
23		soon as you were to get them or as soon as he was to	
24		get them; is that accurate?	
25	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes. So on January 5, Joe I	

Examined by Mr. Metheral

			1
1		believe Joe had called me, unless it was through an	
2		email no, we had a phone call, and we discussed that	
3		first concern, which was from Alberta Environment, and	
4		we had discussed that the concerns would come in to me	
5		as soon as I as they came into the NRCB. That way I	
6		could have a quick chance to look at it and keep the	
7		ball rolling as fast as we could.	
8	Q.	Okay. So you believed he was working as diligently as	
9		he could to get your application reviewed and through	
10		the approval process?	11:
11	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: In the beginning, when he came for	
12		the site visit, I believed that he was doing a good	
13		job. He helped me with filling out the paperwork.	
14		Throughout the permitting process, I lost faith. I	
15		never received any phone calls. I was always making	
16		the phone calls.	
17		I had people telling me that, hey, this is taking	
18		too long, something is not right. I said, well, I need	
19		he told me he's doing this, so I believed it, but as	
20		time went on, it took quite long.	11:
21		On March 10, I called Joe, seeing where the permit	
22		was, how far it was, didn't hear anything back from him	
23		for a month, or since the January February 7 phone	
24		call, and I just wanted to see how far things were. At	
25		that phone call, he asked for more information about	

49

Examined by Mr. Metheral

1

1		the water well and the manure spreading lands.	
2		This this made me think was I the one that	
3		should be doing the work or at what point was Joe going	
4		to ask me for this information. Like, if I didn't call	
5		him that day, how long was he going to wait? Yeah.	
6	Q.	So to clarify, you initiated contact with Joe asking	
7		about the statements of concern, and it was only then	
8		that you felt like you prompted Joe into sending you	
9		those statements for review?	
10	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes, I called him and then that's	11:51
11		when he said, "Oh, I'll send them out later in the	
12		day."	
13	Q.	Okay. So from February 7th to March 10th, the process	
14		has basically the review process sorry. After	
15		February 7th, the statements of concern are in and it's	
16		now more of a review process. So when you reached out	
17		a month later, did you have some expectations that the	
18		permit would have been complete?	
19	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes, I was hoping to hear that he	
20		was very close to a decision, and that, in the next	11:51
21		week or so, I would be getting an approval.	
22	Q.	Okay. So the idea that you prompted Joe and then he	
23		asked for more information regarding the water wells	
24		and land base, does that seem like something that could	
25		have been done perhaps in January or February?	

Examined by Mr. Metheral

			1
1	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes, most definitely.	
2	Q.	Because what we're finding out here is that these minor	
3		delays really impacted the outcome of your decision?	
4		Would you agree with that?	
5	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes.	
6	Q.	Okay. Let's maybe talk about your communication with	
7		the municipality. When did you become aware that the	
8		municipality had approved the IDP?	
9	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: So I became aware when I spoke	
10		with Derrick about the adoption of IDP in March '22.	11:53
11	Q.	And then you received your decision on April 1st, and	
12		you reached out to the NRCB, both the approval officer,	
13		and the director?	
14	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes.	
15	Q.	Is that accurate? And you expressed your concern about	
16		the decision?	
17	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yeah.	
18	Q.	Okay. I think that would you did ask a little bit	
19		about office closure and were provided the reasons why	
20		some of the delays occurred?	11:53
21	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes, I called or had a chat	
22		with Andy and, yeah, he stated what we've been hearing	
23		the last little bit. And Andy also told me that it was	
24		unfortunate the timing of this permit was very	
25		unfortunate for me.	

Examined by Mr. Metheral

1	Q.	Okay. On that note, did you feel like sorry, I lost	
2		my page here. Here we go.	
3		When we look at the December delay, do you feel as	
4		part of the public and a producer that can ask for a	
5		timely decision, do you feel that the December delay	
6		was appropriate considering there were working days in	
7		December where the public could have provided notice?	
8	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: No, I I believe that in	
9		December there was lots of time when neighbours could	
10		have a time to look at it. I don't believe that in	11:55
11		NRCB, in the rules it says that they have to be 20	
12		consecutive days.	
13		So what could have happened is the public notice	
14		could have gone for, for example, ten days in	
15		December and ten days after with a space, a break	
16		during Christmas.	
17	Q.	As a member of the public, that makes sense to you,	
18		that	
19	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes.	
20	Q.	the public notice could have proceeded irregardless	11:55
21		of the NRCB closure?	
22	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes.	
23	Q.	I might ask you a little bit about the way Joe was	
24		supporting or Mr. Sonnenberg was supporting your	
25		application. What did he provide you to start with?	

Examined by Mr. Metheral

h			=1
1	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: So he provided me the information	
2		to fill out on the Part 1. I believe Sylvia gave me	
3		the Part 1 information. I filled that out. Then that	
4		prompted discussions with Joe Mr. Sonnenberg, and we	
5		got the soil testing done. And then the discussions	
6		between the engineer and Joe Mr. Sonnenberg and	
7		myself were started, which was in October.	
8		In November, I sent out or end of October, I	
9		believe, I sent out my first Part 2 which had was	
10		not all the way complete, so that prompted Joe to come	11:56
11		out, do a site visit, help me fill out the Part 2	
12		information to skip having to send emails back and	
13		forth fixing information.	
14		At this time, I felt confident in Joe	
15		Mr. Sonnenberg, that he was doing a good job and was	
16		working for me. But as time went on, it felt like I	
17		was not a priority anymore.	
18	Q.	Did it feel like the approval officer had taken	
19		responsibility in any way for the completeness of your	
20		application?	11:57
21	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: No. It's I ended up getting a	
22		denial and there all the blame goes on to AOPA rules	
23		and regulations and time and that it was completed in	
24		61 days out of their goal of 65. I I understand	
25		that. But that that timeline was too long for me,	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

ľ

Examined by Mr. Metheral

1		and it ended up a denial.	
2	Q.	Okay. Did the approval officer ever talk to you about	
3		the municipal development plan	
4	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes.	
5	Q.	in 2021?	
6	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes, we had we had discussed it	
7		at the site. So the MDP it was in line with the MDP	
8		and DP, yes, and that because my permit was	
9		in line with the MDP, that prompted public notices and	
10		to continue working on my permit. And if if my	11:58
11		permit was not in line with the MDP, I would have had a	
12		denial way sooner.	
13	Q.	Would the approval officer have even proceeded with the	
14		public notice if the application was not consistent	
15		with the MDP?	
16	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: No.	
17	MS.	VANCE: I'm going to object to that	
18		question, because I don't think that's evidence that	
19		Mr. van Huigenbos can give. That was a question about	
20		the approval officer. But you've already answered it,	11:59
21		SO	
22	Q.	MR. METHERAL: I wish I would have asked the	
23		approval officer.	
24		Was there anything that would talk about a denial	
25		in any form or fashion?	

Examined by Mr. Metheral

			1
1	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: No.	
2	Q.	Okay. And as far as you're aware, you were required to	
3		submit, based on the MDP, and no other information was	
4		required. It was in essence, the application was	
5		out of your control?	
6	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes. It was I understand that	
7		Joe was looking at the MDP and basing it off of that.	
8	Q.	Okay. I would offer to the Board that those are the	
9		end of our submissions and testimony. We are available	
10		to answer some of the written submissions. I don't	12:
11		think the Board wants us to go through those details at	
12		this time.	
13	THE	CHAIR: Thanks, Mr. Metheral. And, yes,	
14		we've all read them thoroughly, so thanks for your	
15		comment and appreciate you being succinct on your	
16		direct.	
17		So we will proceed with some questions, and I'm	
18		just kind of waiting for a potential break. We might	
19		get through anyway before lunch break.	
20		But MD of Willow Creek, Ms. Finlay, do you have	12:
21		any questions for A&D Cattle?	
22		I'm not sure if Ms. Finlay I'm just looking for	
23		her icon here. Is Ms. Finlay on? I see MD of	
24		Willow Creek. I'll loop back.	
25		Ms. Agrios, Town of Fort Macleod?	

<u> </u>			7
1	MS. AGRIOS:	No questions from me.	
2	THE CHAIR:	Okay. Thank you.	
3	Mr. and	Mrs. Conner?	
4	Oh just	I see Ms	
5	MR. CONNER:	Yeah, I don't think we have any	
6	questions.		
7	THE CHAIR:	Okay. And, sure. Are you certain	
8	about that?	I was going to loop back to Ms. Finlay. I	
9	see that she	's here now. So why don't you just take	
10	one minute, a	and I'll get back to you.	12:01
11	Ms. Fin	lay, do you have any questions?	
12	MS. FINLAY:	No questions, sir.	
13	THE CHAIR:	Oh, okay. Thank you.	
14	So I'm	back to you, Mr. and Mrs. Conner.	
15	MR. CONNER:	There. Can you hear me now?	
16	THE CHAIR:	Yeah, there you go. Yeah.	
17	MR. CONNOR:	Okay.	
18	THE CHAIR:	I can see you looking for the mute	
19	button. No	problem.	
20	MR. CONNER:	No, we don't have any questions	12:02
21	for Mr. van I	Huigenbos.	
22	THE CHAIR:	Okay. And Mr. and Mrs. Lewis?	
23	MR. LEWIS:	No questions.	
24	THE CHAIR:	Okay. Ms. Vance?	
25	MS. VANCE:	Just, I hope, two quick questions.	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Cross-examined by Ms. Vance

			1
1		These are for Mr. van Huigenbos.	
2	MS.	VANCE CROSS-EXAMINES THE PANEL:	
3	Q.	In your testimony, you spoke of pressure to get your	
4		application through the system. You'll agree that that	
5		pressure did not come from Mr. Sonnenberg?	
6	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: No. I I wanted to get the	
7		permit done myself. I want tried to put a bit of	
8		pressure on and made frequent phone calls. Never did	
9		I, from the time the application was deemed complete,	
10		so which is January 5 to the day or till the	12:03
11		April 1, I did not receive a phone call from Joe, even	
12		when he needed information. I got a phone call from	
13		Joe on April 1 saying that my permit was denied.	
14	Q.	And you understand, you'll agree with me, that	
15		Mr. Sonnenberg works for the NRCB, not for you?	
16	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: I understand that he works for the	
17		NRCB as representing my application and being that it	
18		is the NRCB is the only option for producers to get	
19		their permit. This would mean that they should the	
20		NRCB should be trying their best to meet the producer's	12:04
21		goals.	
22		If I understand I understand I was not	
23		paying Joe, but there is a level of "service" is not	
24		the word a level of expectation that I believe the	
25		NRCB should meet when working with producers/clients.	

Questioned by Mr. Kennedy

i			1
1	Q.	Thank you. Those are my questions.	
2	THE	CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Vance.	
3		Mr. Kennedy?	
4	MR.	KENNEDY: Yes.	
5	<u>MR.</u>	KENNEDY QUESTIONS THE PANEL:	
6	Q.	I only have a couple of questions, and they're both for	
7		you, Mr. van Huigenbos, and just to clarify the	
8		evidence you gave in your direct. And part of it may	
9		be a follow-up or a partial follow-up in the question	
10		posed to you by Ms. Vance.	12:
11		Two things that you mentioned: One is you said	
12		people were telling you that the processing of the	
13		application was taking too long. Who told you that?	
14	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: So I had a phone call with my dad,	
15		and he suggested that the the approval officer was	
16		delaying and taking his time. I just that day that	
17		was the day I had a phone call with him, and then	
18		I it that was when he asked for more information.	
19		And I've had my I've had a brother that said	
20		he should I say it? He is a member so he also	12:
21		was really pushing for my permit, but I don't want to	
22		get him in difficult position. So but he was really	
23		for my permit, and he yes. So there was several	
24		people.	
25	Q.	When you say "several," so it was your brother and your	

12:05

12:05

Questioned by Mr. Kennedy

			1
1		father. Anybody else?	
2	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: And then I have other brothers	
3		representative another a neighbour, a	
4		representative of the MD of Willow Creek. They were	
5		all wanting me to get the permit done and have it go	
6		fast to get a decision.	
7	Q.	And in terms of explanation, understanding of the NRCB	
8		process, what expertise do these people possess?	
9	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: So my dad, he's applied for	
10		permits, and so has my I have another brother	12:07
11		that's I have two other brothers that are have	
12		feedlots. They've gone through the NRCB process, and	
13		they've got their permit. This one, I applied I put	
14		in my Part 1 on July 19th. I had all my information in	
15		on November 3.	
16	Q.	And, sorry, I'm going to stop you, because that's not	
17		my question. My question was related to who was	
18		telling you that the NRCB process was taking too long?	
19	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Okay. Yeah, so that was my dad	
20		and some brothers and other feedlot owners.	12:07
21	Q.	Okay. And then at one point in your evidence, it	
22		seemed to me that in 2021, somebody advised you and	
23		I think you said the name Derrick advised you of the	
24		IDP process that was in development.	
25	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yes.	

Questioned by Mr. Kennedy

h			না
1	Q.	And I also got the sense that you, at that stage,	
2		identified that advice as creating a deadline for your	
3		application to be approved. Did I hear that correctly?	
4	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: So I talked to Derrick. He is	
5		from the MD of the Willow Creek. That phone call with	
6		him was because I received a phone call from Joe saying	
7		that my permit would be denied was going to be	
8		denied. I received that phone call on the 17th or the	
9		18th of March, I believe. I tried to call Derrick that	
10		weekend or Friday, but it was too late.	12:09
11		So then I got ahold of him on Monday, and that's	
12		where he said, Well, your permit should not get a	
13		denial, because he's, like, the IDP was not fully	
14		adopted yet.	
15		It what happened is the MD, they did their	
16		first, second, and third reading, but the IDP was not	
17		adopted as in was never signed by the Minister of	
18		Agriculture, I believe, or Environment had to sign that	
19		first. So that's where he said that you should not be	
20		receiving a denial.	12:09
21	Q.	Okay. I thought I heard in your earlier in your	
22		direct that that conversation with Derrick might have	
23		taken place in 2021. I gather from your comments that	
24		you did not have	
25	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: No.	

Ī

Questioned by Ms. Roberts

T

1	Q.	that conversation with Derrick?	
2	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: No. That was in March of 2022.	
3	Q.	MR. KENNEDY: Okay. Thank you. And those are	
4		my questions, Mr. van Huigenbos, Mr. Chair.	
5	THE	CHAIR: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Kennedy.	
6		Mr. Ceroici?	
7	MR.	CEROICI: I don't have any questions, thank	
8		you.	
9	THE	CHAIR: Ms. Roberts?	
10	MS.	ROBERTS: Yeah, I have a question or two.	12:10
11	<u>MS.</u>	ROBERTS QUESTIONS THE PANEL:	
12	Q.	Mr. van Huigenbos, you had said that you had purchased	
13		the property in the spring of '21, but you did not get	
14		possession of it until September '21; is that correct?	
15	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Correct.	
16	Q.	Okay. So can you just explain to me, then, if you	
17		actually didn't have full possession of the property,	
18		how you were able to submit a Part 1 application on	
19		July 17, 2021?	
20		And in your chronology chronology you talk	12:10
21		about September 1 as being as having the report on	
22		soil samples done, so presumably those soil samples	
23		were done prior to you having possession of the	
24		property.	
25		So can you just explain to me how that all worked	

Ī

Questioned by Ms. Roberts

1		out?	
2	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: So in spring or summer I forget	
3		which month it was, maybe June or so we ended up	
4		getting yeah, our bid was the highest, and we got	
5		we got the property.	
6		I got a waiver from the owner previous owner	
7		allowing me to put in a Part 1 application and to do	
8		soil samples on the property. So that's how I ended up	
9		starting on the permit earlier. I felt the need to	
10		start earlier and wanted it to get complete, and it	12:11
11		ended up taking a very long time.	
12		The September one was the day we got possession,	
13		and I also believe that was the day that the driller	
14		came out to start drilling, if I'm not mistaken. So	
15		that's what I mean. September 1, the driller was	
16		there.	
17	Q.	Okay. Thank you. It's also my understanding that this	
18		IDP has says that within the exclusion zone, no new	
19		or expansion to existing CFOs are allowed.	
20		Now, if this Board did overturn the approval	12:12
21		officer's decision and permitted what you're asking	
22		for, 2,000 head beef feedlot, does it cause you any	
23		concern for the operation's future that the IDP says no	
24		expanding CFOs?	
25	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: So this is where I originally,	

Questioned by Ms. Roberts

1		my dad had told me apply for 1,000 head feedlot, and	
2		I I felt the need for 2,000. I did a 2,000 head	
3		feedlot. And I I understand that unless I'm	
4		upgrading and modernizing, I won't be able to add more	
5		numbers.	
6		This is not at this point, it is not much of a	
7		concern, since I'm happy with 2,000 head at this point.	
8		I'm a young farmer, and I'm not planning to become a	
9		big guy in in a year or two.	
10		I we paid over market value on the property,	12:13
11		and now we've received extra costs on on Board	
12		review, time, hiring people to help me. So using or	
13		having all these extra costs, I I don't know how I	
14		would be able to pay for that without getting income	
15		from a feedlot.	
16		But concerning the question, down the road, if	
17		I I won't be able to upgrade or expand, then	
18		having to look for another location with another	
19		feedlot and using this as a starter feedlot or	
20		something, I could accommodate that. Hopefully down	12:14
21		the road if I if all goes well.	
22	Q.	And one last question. When do you recall when you	
23		were aware that this IDP process had begun and that	
24		this land you were either considering to purchase or	
25		had already purchased, depending on the timing, was	

123

Questioned by Ms. Roberts

			11
1		located on the mapping within this CFO exclusion zone?	
2	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: So when I bought the property, I	
3		heard it didn't occur to me really that you are	
4		going to be in there and you won't be able to get a	
5		feedlot. I knew that town was working with the MD and	
6		that there was a little bit of a battle, let's say, on	
7		who gets what, but it never occurred to me that town	
8		would get so much say that far outside of town. It's	
9		1 1/2 mile added onto town's already big boundaries.	
10		And I'm not even if we go with the southwest	12:15
11		winds, I'm not even really downwind of where town is	
12		located right now. Maybe in many, many years when town	
13		develops lots, I may potentially be downwind, but at	
14		this point, I'm not anywhere close to downwind of them.	
15		And I can't even when I looked at the property	
16		you don't even know town is there. There's actually a	
17		big hill in the way. So you can't unless you really	
18		look at Google Maps and you measure it out, you don't	
19		know where town is.	
20		So so when I bought the place, I didn't	12:16
21		have didn't know what was going on and it wasn't	
22		till I think January is when I really started seeing	
23		the full extent of, hey, I'm in here and this could	
24		affect me. But at that point, I still didn't believe	
25		that the town and MD would come to an agreement with	

Questioned by Ms. Roberts

			1
1		the boundary I'm in. I believe that it would be cut	
2		off a half a mile or so.	
3		And with that, the reason I believe that is	
4		because they only went southwest of Fort Macleod and	
5		any other direction north, east, or west, they didn't	
6		go they didn't even go half a mile that way. So I	
7		thought it's a little bit crazy almost that they went	
8		that far just to the southwest. I thought we don't	
9		only receive or only get southwest winds out here. So	
10		I thought this is	12:17
11	Q.	And then just a follow-up question to that, then. Had	
12		you seen any public notices or were you aware of or did	
13		you attend any public hearings with regard to the	
14		proposed IDP?	
15	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: So I did attend a public hearing	
16		prior to so prior to March so I think it was	
17		maybe middle of February I would have seen the	
18		notices in the paper, toward the end of February, that	
19		there was hearings for the town and MD.	
20		I did not attend the MD public hearing. I did	12:18
21		attend the town public hearing. We went there with	
22		several neighbours, all within a half a mile or last	
23		quarter all the way along. If you follow if town	
24		would have backed off half a mile, all of us neighbours	
25		would have not been in the CFO exclusion area. And we	

Questioned by Ms. Roberts

1	went to town, and the town listened but did not really	
2	accommodate any of our requests.	
3	I so I stated, when it was my turn to speak, I	
4	said that town was shutting down an industry, and they	
5	said, Well, we're not shutting down, we're just	
6	stopping. If you're there, you're allowed, if you're	
7	not, then no more new feedlots or CFO exclusions.	
8	So then I asked them, Well, if you'd cut back half	
9	a mile, all of us would be happy, we'd all go home.	
10	She said, Well, that wouldn't be then a councillor	12:19
11	wanted to reply. She said, That wouldn't be fair to	
12	everyone else that would still be in it.	
13	And I said well, no one else that's in that	
14	that mile is here. It's just everyone that's on that	
15	last half mile. And then she didn't know what to say.	
16	And I thought, Well later I thought, Well, if we're	
17	going to talk about fair, then I think we should be	
18	going 1 half miles all the way around town, not only	
19	southwest.	
20	But town, they went and they put it in	12:19
21	through there was another neighbour that they said,	
22	Well, we'll consider your request, but in that same	
23	night, they passed Hearing Reading 1, 2, and 3 without	
24	any discussion or any idea of looking to accommodate	
25	any requests.	

Ī

Questioned by The Chair

1

1	Q.	Okay. Thank you, Mr. van Huigenbos.	
2	MS.	ROBERTS: Mr. Chair, that's all my	
3		questions.	
4	THE	CHAIR: Good old mute.	
5		I'm sorry, just a quick couple of follow-ups.	
6	THE	CHAIR QUESTIONS THE PANEL:	
7	Q.	One is, the meeting you were just speaking about to	
8		Ms. Roberts that you attended on the IDP with the town.	
9		When was that meeting? You may have said it, but I	
10		just missed it.	12:20
11	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: The beginning of March. It	
12		was I think it was the 1st or 2nd of March. The MD	
13		had theirs the end of February, and then the town had	
14		theirs the beginning of March.	
15	Q.	Of 2022?	
16	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yeah, 2022, yes.	
17	Q.	And that meeting was to gain input on the IDP or to	
18		tell you that it was done?	
19	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: There was never a meeting to gain	
20		input. These were just readings.	12:21
21	Q.	It was a council meeting on the readings?	
22	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: It was a council meeting and they	
23		were doing the readings and they passed Reading 1, 2,	
24		and 3.	
25	Q.	Thank you. And just a quick follow-up question. We	

127

Questioned by The Chair

25		feedlot development compared to other developments?	
24	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: So are you wondering about the	
23		that might approach you in the future?	
22		Or why it will not be a nuisance to that development	
21		to development that might approach you over the future?	
20		operation may provide or perhaps be more of a nuisance	12:22
19		want to think about in terms of why or why not your	
18		development in the future, what is it the Board might	
17		terms of if there is an exclusion zone and there's	
16		So is there something you want to add to that in	
15		used for perhaps other purposes.	
14		do that, you're correct, but the exclusion zones are	
13		environment for setting the CFO. So the MDS is used to	
12		appropriate protection to neighbours and the	
11		But on Number 4, you state AOPA provides the	
10		going to ask you that.	12:22
9		about their rationale to the exclusion zone, so I'm not	
8		So we'll hear potentially from the County and the town	
7		encouraged within IDP, but no reasons of CFO growth.	
6		Number 3 is other non-agricultural activities were	
5		four bullet points.	
4		despite an inconsistency with the IDP, and you provided	
3		would be the potential reasons that it be approved	
2		were to, you know, find that the IDP was relevant, what	
1		had asked parties and, of course, A&D, if the Board	

Questioned by The Chair

1	Q.	Well, or just specifically why your feedlot ought to be	
2		approved despite an inconsistency?	
3	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: So like I said already, I'm not	
4		directly downwind or upwind of town, and it's a 2,000	
5		head feedlot. We're not talking 25,000 head feedlot	
6		with lots of smell and all of that.	
7		Town, in their IDP, or in the IDP, it's mentioned	
8		that the town never or is not planning to develop on	
9		the other side of the proposed highway that they have	
10		going in that area. So they are never planning to	12:23
11		develop close to my land.	
12		So and another thing, if town would smell me,	
13		being southwest, we also receive north and east winds,	
14		but the town has argued or the IDP argues by	
15		only by not adding any CFO exclusion on those sides	
16		of town that from the north and the east, you don't	
17		smell feedlots; only from southwest.	
18	Q.	Okay. Thank you	
19	MR.	METHERAL: Mr. Chair, can I support your	
20		question on that?	12:24
21	THE	CHAIR: Well, you can I mean, you're	
22		providing testimony, so I mean you can add to it. I'm	
23		not sure I'm looking for support to my question. If	
24		you have further to in terms of an answer to Mr.	
25		van Huigenbos, continue.	
			Į

Questioned by The Chair

			71
1	Α.	MR. METHERAL: I think your question asked why	
2		if this application were to be approved, what other	
3		impacts it might have on that area or that development,	
4		the zone?	
5		Can we pull up the it's page 4 of Exhibit 22.	
6		So with this submission, we did include a picture	
7		of the IDP and the area identified as a CFO exclusion	
8		zone. We hope the Board does consider this map and the	
9		location of the CFO. It is right on the boundary.	
10		Had it been on the other side of the	12:25
11		property line, which is only a few	
12	Α.	MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: 100 metres.	
13	Α.	MR. METHERAL:100 metres away, this would	
14		have been in another quarter and we might not be having	
15		this discussion.	
16		So what we did notice about the IDP and I'd	
17		love to question the town and municipality about this,	
18		is this CFO exclusion zone seems to accommodate the	
19		development of the highway. While it does mention	
20		odour and prevailing winds perhaps, we do know that	12:26
21		there is accommodation for highway.	
22		So, on that note, this facility is actually	
23		further southwest of that highway. It won't be inside	
24		or near that highway. So when we talk about impact of	
25		other facilities or other developments, I think this	

1 falls out of that zone. 2 And a curiosity, which I'll ask, is that this zone 3 appears only -- the exclusion zone appears only to 4 impact CFOs. While the municipality does promote 5 agriculture, CFOs are targeted. The IDP does promote things like commercial growth, solar farms, wind farms, 6 7 but I would question why an exclusion zone isn't included for those? 8 If we were to put a solar farm where the new 9 highway was going, I don't think that would be proper 10 12:27 11 planning. So I would like to --12 Q. I'll maybe just stop you there. I'm the wrong guy to 13 ask, so you'll have an opportunity to ask the town and 14 the MD after lunch. 15 So I think -- unless you have other comments to 16 add in terms of the CFO facility itself, my questions 17 have been asked and answered. Thank you. 18 MR. METHERAL: No, very good. Thanks. 19 Q. And did you have any redirect because that's the end of 20 the questions? Did you have any redirect based on the 12:27 21 questions that were asked you? MR. METHERAL: 22 Not at this time, thanks. 23 THE CHAIR: Okay. All right. Thank you. 24 (PANEL STANDS DOWN) 25 THE CHAIR: And maybe lunch is cold now in

h

		1
1	Lethbridge. I hope not, but I think this would be an	
2	appropriate time to break. I assume things are ready	
3	for everyone.	
4	Just before we do that, Ms. Agrios and Ms. Finlay,	
5	how long on your direct do you think you will be? I'm	
6	just trying to figure out if we need to scrunch lunch	
7	at all or not.	
8	MS. FINLAY: I can address that. I can tell	
9	you that in direct I don't expect to be very long.	
10	Obviously, we'll address a couple of the things that	12:28
11	came up this morning and get that direct evidence out	
12	so that we're as efficient as possible, but I would say	
13	like half an hour would be generous.	
14	I think it will probably be less than half an hour	
15	in direct, and otherwise they'll be available for	
16	questions from the parties.	
17	THE CHAIR: Questions, of course, yeah. And,	
18	Ms. Agrios?	
19	MS. AGRIOS: Less than 10 minutes.	
20	THE CHAIR: Okay. I think we're going to be	12:28
21	fine for time. We've said that before. So I hope I'm	
22	right here. Let's break is 45 minutes or an hour	
23	I'm not sure if anybody has to run out or not, but if	
24	45 minutes works for everyone, we could reconvene at	
25	1:15. Is there any objections to that? Can people	

3 MS. 4 THE 5 6 7 8 9 10 (PRO 11	get to, maybe not rig there and we'll hook hour.	No objections. I have no objection. Let's start back at 1:15. And, nk there is a breakout room we can ght away, but maybe just enter up a little bit over the lunch much. See you at 1:15, everyone. T 12:29 P.M.)
3 MS. 4 THE 5 6 7 8 9 10 (PRO 11 12 PROC	AGRIOS: CHAIR: Panel members, I thin get to, maybe not rig there and we'll hook hour. Thank you very n CEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT	I have no objection. Let's start back at 1:15. And, nk there is a breakout room we can ght away, but maybe just enter up a little bit over the lunch much. See you at 1:15, everyone. T 12:29 P.M.)
4 THE 5 6 7 8 9 10 (PRO 11 12 PROC	CHAIR: Panel members, I thin get to, maybe not rig there and we'll hook hour. Thank you very n CEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT	Let's start back at 1:15. And, nk there is a breakout room we can ght away, but maybe just enter up a little bit over the lunch much. See you at 1:15, everyone. T 12:29 P.M.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 (PR0 11 12 PR0C	Panel members, I thin get to, maybe not rig there and we'll hook hour. Thank you very n CEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT	nk there is a breakout room we can ght away, but maybe just enter up a little bit over the lunch much. See you at 1:15, everyone. T 12:29 P.M.)
6 7 8 9 0 (PR0 1 2 PR0C	get to, maybe not rig there and we'll hook hour. Thank you very r CEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT	ght away, but maybe just enter up a little bit over the lunch much. See you at 1:15, everyone. F 12:29 P.M.)
7 8 9 0 (PRO 1 2 PROC	there and we'll hook hour. Thank you very r CEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT	up a little bit over the lunch much. See you at 1:15, everyone. F 12:29 P.M.)
8 9 0 (PR0 1 2 PR0C	hour. Thank you very n CEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT	much. See you at 1:15, everyone. Γ 12:29 Ρ.Μ.)
PROC	Thank you very n CEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT	Г 12:29 Р.М.)
0 (PR0 2 PR0C	CEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT	Г 12:29 Р.М.)
2 PROC		
2 PROC	EEDINGS ADJOURNED TO	4.45 D M
	EEDINGS ADJOURNED TO	4.45 D M
3		1:15 P.M.
4		
5		
i l		
;		
)		
)		
2		
3		
1		
5		

1

Γ

1	Volume 1	
2	July 7, 2022	
3	P.M. Session	
4		
5	(PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 1:18 P.M.)	
6	THE CHAIR: Okay. Let's get started. We	
7	completed direct evidence and questioning for	
8	A&D Cattle, and next on the list is MD of Willow Creek.	
9	And, Ms. Finlay, are you ready to present?	
10	MS. FINLAY: Yes, if perhaps we could get a	13:19
11	screen up that shows the MD of Willow Creek and Diane	
12	and then myself. So are all those people if they	
13	can all turn on their video?	
14	THE CHAIR: Now, we may not be able to have	
15	them all show up at one spot. Is that okay or	
16	MS. FINLAY: That's fine. That's fine.	
17	THE CHAIR: Okay.	
18	MR. FINLAY: Perhaps what we can do is proceed	
19	to have Mr. Derrick Krizsan, Cindy Chisholm, as well as	
20	Diane Horvath sworn in.	13:20
21		
22	<u>D. KRIZSAN, C. CHISHOLM, D. HORVATH</u> (For MD of Willow	
23	Creek), affirmed	
24	THE CHAIR: Ms. Finlay, I would say just	
25	before you get started. I think it's Ms. Chisholm that	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Ī

134

1		is a little difficult t	o hear.	
2	Α.	MS. CHISHOLM: I'	ll make sure the mic is right in	
3		front of my mouth. Is	that better?	
4	THE	CHAIR: Ma	rginally. Still a little quiet,	
5		for sure.		
6	Α.	MS. CHISHOLM: I'	ll do my best to talk louder.	
7	THE	CHAIR: Th	ank you.	
8	Α.	MS. CHISHOLM: Th	ank you.	
9	MS.	FINLAY: Th	ank you.	
10	<u>MS.</u>	FINLAY EXAMINES THE PAN	<u>EL</u> :	13:22
11	Q.	I don't think that we'l	l be too long this morning	
12		before we open it up fo	or cross-examination, but I just	
13		wanted to have you all	address a couple of questions.	
14		This first questic	on perhaps I can ask Derrick or	
15		Cindy to respond to.		
16		Can you, please, t	ell the Panel about any public	
17		meetings or meetings wi	th the public with respect to	
18		the IDP process with th	ne Town of Fort Macleod that	
19		preceded the process be	efore the Land and Property	
20		Rights Tribunal?		13:22
21	Α.	MS. CHISHOLM: I	can speak to the open house that	
22		was held in the town of	Fort Macleod in council	
23		chambers on June 11th c	of 2019. And public was notified	
24		of that. Addresses wer	e given to ORSC of all the	
25		landowners within the M	1D, and those notifications were	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

1		sent out, and also there was publication in the	
2		newspaper the local newspaper, the Fort Macleod	
3		Gazette.	
4	Q.	Thank you. I refer to the Land and Property Rights	
5		Tribunal process. Derrick, can you speak to the	
6		engagement of that process as it relates to the	
7		development of the IDP between the MD of Willow Creek	
8		and the Town of Fort Macleod?	
9	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: You bet. The town and the MD had	
10		been engaged for prior to my arrival in September of	13:23
11		2019 through an IDP process. It was the two	
12		communities went through mediation process, and	
13		mediation was not successful. And the parties applied	
14		to the Minister of Municipal Affairs for this matter	
15		to to proceed to the Municipal Government Board, as	
16		a decision could not be reached.	
17		And so the ministerial order was issued.	
18		MSD 04521 was issued on May 17th, 2021, referring the	
19		matter to the Municipal Government Board for	
20		recommendation.	13:24
21	Q.	And the Municipal Government Board became the Land and	
22		Property Rights Tribunal; is that correct?	
23	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: It did, yes.	
24	Q.	And can you tell me about the public notification	
25		process that was provided in connection with that LPRT	

136

			1
1		process?	
2	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: From the from the time that	
3		the the process was turned over to the Land and	
4		Property Rights Tribunal, both communities provided	
5		address information, the name information of all the	
6		affected parties within an area that the Land and	
7		Property Rights Tribunal deemed to be appropriate.	
8		All that information was provided to the to the	
9		Tribunal, who then distributed notifications and public	
10		notices from that point forward.	13:25
11	Q.	Okay. And in connection with that process, were there	
12		documents that the MD posted on its website in	
13		connection with that process?	
14	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Yes, I believe both the town and	
15		the MD were required to post notices and information	
16		pertaining to the process as well as there were	
17		there were display ads placed in the Fort Macleod	
18		newspaper, Fort Macleod Gazette, indicating that if	
19		residents had any questions, that they were to contact	
20		the town or the MD to to seek questions to their	13:25
21		enquiries.	
22	Q.	And can you tell me around what the timeframe was of	
23		that public notice and the posting of those notices on	
24		the MD's website?	
25	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Well, those those all the	

Examined by Ms. Finlay

h			ন
1		public notices, we posted them as the as the LPRT	
2		required. There was a number of them, including the	
3		the final draft through correspondence with LPRT. We	
4		received notice that we were to post the the draft	
5		documents on our website and make them available at our	
6		offices.	
7	Q.	And did that posting include previous versions of IDPs?	
8	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: I can't recall. I think it was	
9		the most current version that was to be that was to	
10		be posted, if I recall correctly.	13:26
11	Q.	Thank you.	
12	MS.	FINLAY: If I could just one second.	
13		If I could if I could have Exhibit 19 brought	
14		up. And, actually, I think page 2 of the pdf. Great.	
15		Thank you.	
16	Q.	Mr. Krizsan, could I just ask you to review what is	
17		identified as paragraph 2?	
18	MS.	FINLAY: So if we could just scroll up a	
19		little bit in the document so that the whole	
20		of paragraph 2 is available. That's great, that's	13:27
21		great, thank you.	
22	Q.	Mr. Krizsan, could you, please, review that paragraph?	
23	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Okay. Yes.	
24	Q.	Okay. Can you, please, tell me, the first sentence	
25		indicates that the town and the MD reached an agreement	

D. KRIZSAN, C. CHISHOLM, D. HORVATH Examined by Ms. Finlay

with respect to the form of the IDP on November 19th, 1 2 2021. Can you tell me what needed to occur in order 3 for that form of the IDP to be formally adopted by the 4 MD? 5 Α. MR. KRIZSAN: In order for that to be adopted in 6 accordance with the Municipal Government Act, there 7 would have to be a first reading, a public hearing, and then the second and third readings. 8 9 Q. And between November 19th and the third reading, were 10 there changes and steps that needed to be completed, 11 and can you describe them? 12 MR. KRIZSAN: There was some additional Α. 13 municipal matters between the two communities that were 14 continually ongoing, a water services agreement that 15 was negotiated between the two communities, which was 16 concluded on or about January 19th or 20th, I believe. 17 But in interim, the MD's first reading was conducted on 18 January 19th to consider that bylaw. 19 At that meeting, the MD set a public hearing date 20 for February 23rd, and at that meeting, we became aware 13:29 21 there were was additional amendments to -- requested as 22 a result of the agency notifications that we sent out. 23 We received a notice back from the NRCB that there was 24 some suggestions in wording that they wanted to amend 25 the -- suggestions for amendment to the IDP.

13:28

1		So that public hearing on February 23rd was	
2		recessed without the second and third reading, because	
3		we understood that the town's public hearing was being	
4		conducted on February 28th as well, and we	
5		were didn't want to go ahead and adopt a document	
6		until after we were certain that there was no further	
7		amendments coming from the town as well.	
8		On March 9th, that that public hearing was	
9		recessed until March 9th, and at that meeting, the	
10		amendments were considered. And second and third	13:30
11		readings were passed, and the bylaw was officially	
12		adopted.	
13	Q.	Great. Thank you.	
14		So just to confirm, the form of the IDP as of	
15		November 2021 was not the same one as was finally	
16		adopted?	
17	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: It was not. There was an	
18		amendment that was proposed by the suggested by the	
19		NRCB and was considered by both municipalities and were	
20		adopted as part of the final IDP.	13:31
21	Q.	Okay. Perhaps I can ask maybe I'll address this	
22		now. Previously in this hearing, you heard some	
23		commentary from some evidence from Mr. Huigenbos	
24		about a conversation that he'd had with you.	
25		First of all, do you recall when that conversation	

ľ

Examined by Ms. Finlay

			1
1		might have occurred?	
2	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Yes, on March 22nd.	
3	Q.	0kay. Of 2022?	
4	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: That's correct.	
5	Q.	Okay. And can you tell me what you recall of that	
6		conversation?	
7	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Sure. I received the phone call	
8		from Mr. Huigenbos late in the afternoon on March 22nd	
9		and had indicated to him that the IDP was adopted on	
10		March 9th and also indicated as this matter was under	13:32
11		the purview of the Land and Property Rights Tribunal, I	
12		was not certain as to how that process would affect the	
13		adoption of the IDP.	
14		And it wasn't until later that we received a	
15		letter that was issued by the Land and Property Rights	
16		Tribunal on March 23rd and that was sent to the	
17		Minister I believe it was the letter from the	
18		Minister was dated April 7th and indicating that the	
19		Minister agrees with the recommendations of the	
20		Tribunal. And we received that on April 14th.	13:33
21		So I did, indeed, have a conversation with	
22		Mr. Huigenbos and indicated that although the IDP was	
23		adopted, we were not certain how that process is	
24		impacted with with this process being under the	
25		purview of the LPRT.	
1			1

141

1	Q.	Okay. And just so that we're very clear, did you say	
2		to Mr. Huigenbos that you thought the NRCB had	
3		incorrectly or should not have refused the application	
4		or the approval?	
5	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: I don't remember the exact words I	
6		used, but I don't believe I used those words. I the	
7		uncertainty surrounding how this the adoption of the	
8		IDP and the LPRT raised some questions in my mind as to	
9		what the official adoption date or what the Minister	
10		was going to say in terms of the this the	13:34
11		adoption of the IDP by the two communities.	
12	Q.	Thank you.	
13		Now, if I could just ask Ms. Horvath, if I	
14		could ask you I could ask you one or two questions.	
15		Can you tell the Tribunal generally the purpose of and	
16		the role of having a CFO exclusion area in an IDP?	
17	Α.	MS. HORVATH: Yeah, normally IDP exclusion areas	
18		are considered by urban and rural municipalities. We	
19		don't we sometimes will find them between rural and	
20		rural municipalities, but the idea behind them is to	13:34
21		limit and the severity of any nuisances that may be	
22		produced by confined feeding operations.	
23	Q.	I'm just going to stop you right there. Can you hold	
24		on for one second? I'm really sorry.	
25		Sorry, I really apologize.	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

ľ

1		Sorry, please continue.	
2	Α.	MS. HORVATH: I mean, I think one of the	
3		comments I'd heard earlier was why do we why as	
4		municipalities do we only look at confined feeding	
5		operation exclusion zones and not other types of	
6		development; for example, industrial or group country	
7		residential?	
8		And if, given that opportunity in some of the	
9		other provincial legislation, AOPA allows for	
10		municipalities to have input into the approvable	13:36
11		process through these CFO exclusion zones and,	
12		therefore, municipalities, both urban and rural,	
13		utilize them to their benefit.	
14	Q.	So if I could ask you to speak specifically to the	
15		planning considerations in this IDP that relate to the	
16		establishment of the CFO exclusion zone and the	
17		particular location that it is that it's been put	
18		into place.	
19	Α.	MS. HORVATH: I may defer this to Mr. Krizsan.	
20		Again, I also entered the process late, and I	13:37
21		understand there was many versions of what the CFO	
22		exclusion area may look like until it was finally	
23		decided upon. So perhaps if Derrick could provide some	
24		information on that, that might be more relevant.	
25	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Certainly. Like any negotiation,	

143

1		there's two opposing views, and, over a course of time,	
2		compromises are made.	
3		There was a significant change in the size of	
4		the reduction in size of the exclusion zone from the	
5		first draft that we saw to where we ended up,	
6		and but that's a pretty typical type process for	
7		these types of negotiations.	
8	Q.	And either Diane or Derrick, can you speak to some of	
9		the planning considerations? I guess, to some degree,	
10		we'll have to wait for the town also to speak to that,	13:38
11		but any of the planning considerations that support the	
12		fact that this is a reasonable or it is the CFO	
13		exclusionary that was agreed to. So specifically the	
14		planning considerations.	
15	Α.	MS. HORVATH: I think I can probably answer that	
16		one as well, and maybe if Derrick or Cindy has	
17		something to add to that.	
18		I think things that were considered when we're	
19		looking at CFO exclusion zones are the types of	
20		development that is in close proximity. So in this	13:38
21		case, there were fewer CFOs in this location.	
22		Part of the discussions between the two	
23		municipalities was this water agreement that was	
24		considered, and, in such, which would allow water to be	
25		extended into the municipal district in this location	
1			1

1		which again would promote residential or	
2		non-residential development and that would not be	
3		agriculturally-related. So, again, that could cause	
4		conflict, I guess, between additional CFOs in this	
5		location.	
6		There is a map that is provided by the town within	
7		the IDP that does show all of the existing CFOs in	
8		close proximity to the town, as well as their minimum	
9		distance separation to each between them.	
10		So, again, there is a large concentration to the	13:39
11		north and to the east of the community, and as such,	
12		with the size of Fort Macleod, which has 9 square miles	
13		within its boundaries, some of those the river is	
14		also to the north. So there is some topography that	
15		was taken into consideration when this CFO exclusion	
16		zone was considered by both municipalities.	
17	Q.	Great. Thank you. That's all the additional evidence	
18		that we intend to provide, but at this point if the MD,	
19		Derrick, and Cindy, as well as Diane, could answer the	
20		questions of any of the other parties as well as the	13:40
21		Panel, that would be great.	
22	THE	CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Finlay. Thank you,	
23		panel.	
24		Ms. Agrios, do you have any questions?	
25	MS.	AGRIOS: I do have a few questions for	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

D. KRIZSAN, C. CHISHOLM, D. HORVATH Cross-examined by Ms. Agrios

Mr. Krizsan. 1 2 MS. AGRIOS CROSS-EXAMINES THE PANEL: 3 Q. And these are some more just specific questions 4 relating to the public notices that were provided 5 regarding the IDP process. And so if I could have put 6 up Exhibit 19, page 63. 7 So, firstly, Mr. Krizsan, you can confirm, then, that on November 19th, 2021, the town and the MD 8 9 reached an agreement with respect to the form of a draft IDP; correct? 10 13:41 11 Α. MR. KRIZSAN: Yes. 12 Q. And that particular draft of the IDP, that was posted 13 to both the MD's website and the town's website either on November 19th or within a day or two after? 14 15 MR. KRIZSAN: I believe so. That's correct. Α. 16 yeah. 17 Q. And if I could then have you go -- we'll go to page 65 18 in Exhibit 19. And you can see this is cover page of a 19 draft intermunicipal development plan, November 2021. 20 So can you confirm that this would have been the 13:42 21 version posted to -- posted to the MD's website on or 22 shortly after November 19th of 2021? 23 Α. MR. KRIZSAN: That appears to be the version, 24 correct. 25 Okay. And I know you indicated -- and I appreciate Q.

Cross-examined by Mr. Conner

1

1		that there were some changes that were made to the	
2		draft IDP between November 19th and when it was finally	
3		adopted on March 9th, but I'd like to go to page 78 now	
4		in Exhibit 19.	
5		And so you'll see the map of the CFO exclusion	
6		area. And I take it that you can confirm that the CFO	
7		exclusion area, that was not changed between	
8		November 19th and final adoption on March 9th?	
9	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: That's correct.	
10	Q.	Okay. Thank you. Those are my questions.	13:43
11	THE	CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Agrios.	
12		Mr. and Mrs. Conner, do you have any questions?	
13	<u>MR .</u>	CONNER CROSS-EXAMINES THE PANEL:	
14	Q.	MR. CONNER: The only question we had really	
15		was in relation to, more or less, that the town just	
16		talked about was the fact that the MD was working on an	
17		IDP CFO setback zone and more or less had the draft	
18		done in November but still put in a letter of support	
19		to A&D Cattle to more or less backing them.	
20		I was more or less just wondering why they would	13:43
21		work on having a setback zone and then still supporting	
22		A&D for having a review of decision, like what their	
23		purpose was on that, but.	
24		I don't know if this is the right time to ask this	
25		question, but	

146

Ī

147

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

				1
1	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN:	Certainly, I can answer that. The	
2		letter of support tha	at the MD provided by way of	
3		council resolution wa	as related to the process, and no	
4		other reason.		
5		They believe that	at, based upon the information that	
6		Mr. Huigenbos provide	ed to council, that there appeared	
7		to be an unusually lo	ong time period of time taken to	
8		review what appears	to be what appeared to be a	
9		relatively simple app	olication.	
10		And so it was no	ot it was a very specific letter	13:44
11		of support and it was	s concerned about process and no	
12		other reason.		
13	Q.	That was the only cor	ncern we had was more or less the	
14		letter of support on	one side only. But other than	
15		that, I don't think w	we have anything.	
16	THE	CHAIR:	No other questions?	
17	MR.	CONNER:	No, nothing now, no.	
18	THE	CHAIR:	Mr. and Mrs. Lewis?	
19	MR.	LEWIS:	No, not yet.	
20	THE	CHAIR:	Mr. Metheral.	13:45
21	<u>MR.</u>	METHERAL CROSS-EXAMIN	ES_THE_PANEL:	
22	MR.	METHERAL:	Hello?	
23	THE	CHAIR:	Yes.	
24	Q.	MR. METHERAL:	Yes. Hi. We do have a couple of	
25		quick questions.		
				Į

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

D. KRIZSAN, C. CHISHOLM, D. HORVATH Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1

1		Just to confirm, we see some adoption some	
2		readings and some adoptions. What would be the	
3		official date, then, that we should consider the IDP	
4		was adopted by the municipality?	
5	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: The final third reading was	
6		undertaken on March the 9th.	
7	Q.	And you spoke about the Minister signing off. What's	
8		the relevance there?	
9	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Well, this process was during	
10		the from the time period of May 17th, 2021, until we	13:46
11		received the concluding letter from the LP from the	
12		Minister on April 14th, 2022. This matter was under	
13		the purview of the the Municipal Government Board,	
14		which later became the Land and Property Rights	
15		Tribunal.	
16		And so this process is, you know frankly, was	
17		very new to us, and we were not certain how the what	
18		impact the consideration of this the adopted IDPs	
19		would have when the final adoption date would be.	
20		Would the Minister have to approve it?	13:47
21		Would the Land and Property Rights Tribunal have	
22		to issue a recommendation for approval, but the	
23		correspondence we received on April 14th indicated that	
24		the that the Minister accepts the recommendations	
25		of of the that the Minister agrees with the	

148

Ī

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1

1		recommendation of the Tribunal that the MD and the town	
2		were able to successfully complete and adopt an IDP.	
3	Q.	Thank you. You suggested that that letter was dated	
4		April 14th?	
5	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: The letter from the let me just	
6		check my date here.	
7		The letter the Land and Property Rights	
8		Tribunal correspondence was dated March 23rd. The	
9		letter was sent by the Minister which contained the	
10		LPRT correspondence on April 7th, and the communities	13:48
11		received it on April 14th.	
12	Q.	Okay. These dates are after March 9th. Are any of	
13		these dates relevant? Should we be actually looking at	
14		some of the approval dates from the Minister?	
15	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Based upon the correspondence,	
16		upon review of the correspondence on April 14th, I	
17		don't believe those dates are relevant, given that the	
18		Minister simply agrees with the Land and Property	
19		Rights Tribunal notice that the MD and the town have	
20		adopted the IDPs. And so I don't believe that those	13:49
21		dates are relevant today. The relevance is with	
22		respect to the conversation that I had with	
23		Mr. Huigenbos on March 22nd.	
24		At that time, we were unaware of what impacts, if	
25		any, the LPRT and the Minister's decision would have on	

Ī

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

			1
1		the adoption of the IDP, but the correspondence is	
2		quite clear that there is no impact on that March 9th	
3		adoption date.	
4	Q.	Okay. Can you maybe clarify the need for a tribunal?	
5		It was just because you were new to the process?	
6	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: No. The two communities had been	
7		in the process of negotiating the IDP, they entered a	
8		voluntary mediation, and the communities agreed that	
9		the mediation was not proceeding in an expeditious	
10		fashion so an application was made to the Minister to	13:49
11		take this matter to the Municipal Government Board. At	
12		that at that point in time, it fell under the Land	
13		and Property Rights Tribunal process.	
14	Q.	Okay. If a tribunal was needed to get you guys to work	
15		together, shouldn't their signoff have a priority,	
16		then?	
17	MS.	FINLAY: I'm going to object to that	
18		question. From my perspective, that's a legal	
19		conclusion that you're asking the witness to draw.	
20		He's not qualified to give a legal opinion.	13:50
21	MR.	METHERAL: Is there anybody from the	
22		municipality that can answer that question?	
23	MS.	FINLAY: We can certainly address it in	
24		argument.	
25	MR.	METHERAL: Thank you.	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

h			न
1	Q.	Moving on to the exclusion zone itself, let's pull that	
2		exhibit up. I think we found it on Exhibit 19,	
3		page 65. Oh, sorry, page 78.	
4	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Yes.	
5	Q.	Okay. In this illustration, we see the town boundary,	
6		and that's identified by the green area. Is that	
7		accurate?	
8	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: That appears to be correct, yes.	
9	Q.	Okay. And we see an exclusion zone in the town	
10		boundary. Is that accurate?	13:51
11	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Correct.	
12	Q.	Okay. And we see an exclusion zone that extends south	
13		and west?	
14	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Yes.	
15	Q.	Okay. Can you help confirm why there's no CFO	
16		exclusion zone on the east and north side of the town?	
17	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Through the process of	
18		negotiation, this was where the two councils landed and	
19		the town felt my memory, if my memory serves, the	
20		town felt that this was the most important area for the	13:52
21		exclusion zone given the southwest winds, was what was	
22		indicated to us through the negotiations.	
23		This area was significantly smaller from the first	
24		version. I believe at one time, the exclusion zone was	
25		2 miles at least 2 1/2 miles surrounding the town,	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

			1
1		and through the process of negotiation, this version	
2		was deemed to be the most appropriate by the town.	
3	Q.	Sorry. To confirm, you say 2 1/2 miles outside the	
4		city boundary?	
5	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: That's correct. That was the	
6		version that was reviewed at the open house in June of	
7		2019.	
8	Q.	Okay. To confirm, then, the IDP appears to only be 2	
9		miles outside of the town boundary. How would a	
10		2 1/2-mile exclusion zone fit that map?	13:53
11	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Well, this is the this is the	
12		final version. The and that intermunicipal	
13		development plan boundary that's a consultation	
14		boundary, that's where the information is shared by the	
15		MD with the town regarding development, land use bylaw	
16		amendments, et cetera.	
17		The June 2019 version was it larger, Cindy?	
18		I'll just check in our yes, the boundary on the	
19		on the west side was as it is exists or, sorry, on	
20		the east side is 2 miles. The boundary on the west	13:54
21		side was 2 $1/2$ miles. The boundary on the south was 3	
22		miles. And the boundary on the north was 2 miles.	
23		So through the process of negotiation, that	
24		intermunicipal development plan boundary was reduced to	
25		the size as shown on this map.	

D. KRIZSAN, C. CHISHOLM, D. HORVATH Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

i			7
1	Q.	I see. And to accommodate the large area to the	
2		southwest, the reason for that exclusion zone there is	
3		because of the winds?	
4	MS.	FINLAY: I think to some degree some of	
5		these questions would have to also be posed to the	
6		town. I think this witness can only answer questions	
7		as it relates to the MD's position and reasoning on	
8		these.	
9	Q.	MR. METHERAL: Well, perhaps I can hear the	
10		municipality's and town's position on why.	13:55
11	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: That the prevailing winds	
12		was we were if my memory serves, the predominant	
13		factor here was the prevailing wind.	
14	Q.	Okay. And it appears to be quite lopsided.	
15		This was in the IDP, it also was I'm also	
16		aware of an interchange, a large highway. This seems	
17		to also fit the CFO exclusions and also seems to fit	
18		where that highway will be constructed. Is that	
19		accurate?	
20	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: There is a conceptual plan that	13:55
21		Alberta Transportation has as a bypass around the town	
22		of Fort Macleod that would be included within this	
23		the south portion of the town of Fort Macleod as well	
24		as through the the southeast quadrant of the town	
25		itself, yes.	

D. KRIZSAN, C. CHISHOLM, D. HORVATH Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1	Q.	Okay. And maybe, lastly, we see a map distinctly	
2		outlining CFO exclusion zones. Why are there no other	
3		exclusion zones that talk about limiting or	
4		encouraging maybe "exclusion" isn't right. Why are	
5		there no other maps that indicate exclusion areas for	
6		other industrial or commercial or other growth?	
7	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Through the IDP process, there is	
8		a great deal of flexibility for communities to address	
9		numerous different types of issues, and and for this	
10		particular IDP, a CFO exclusion area was the primary	13:56
11		issue that was brought forward by by the town.	
12		There are other maps in the town. I believe we	
13		have a map that indicates, if I'm not incorrect,	
14		important intersections and transportation routes. But	
15		insofar as a map for exclusion zone, this is the only	
16		map that appears in that IDP.	
17	Q.	Okay. So is it plausible, then, we could see a	
18		large-scale wind or solar farm in the location of where	
19		the proposed highway is going to be?	
20	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Could you repeat that question?	13:57
21	Q.	Without any clear direction for some of this stuff, is	
22		it possible that we could see the construction of a	
23		large industrial scale wind or solar development where	
24		the proposed road network is going to be?	
25	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: The approval of wind and solar	

Ī

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1		is is through the Alberta Utilities Commission.	
2		Municipalities have very little input. But I would	
3		suspect that that type of development in that location	
4		would be unlikely.	
5	Q.	But there's no restrictions in your IDP?	
6	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: There's there's no	
7		restrictions; however, wind development in proximity to	
8		the town of Fort Macleod airport would lead to concerns	
9		by the AUC for safety of aviation and and I and I	
10		doubt that type of application would be would be	13:58
11		approved at that location.	
12	Q.	Okay. But you believe that it's appropriate to have a	
13		CFO exclusion zone but not exclusion zones or areas for	
14		other developments?	
15	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: We have a land use bylaw	
16		that that permits certain development, makes certain	
17		development discretionary and prohibits certain	
18		development, but there are certain types of development	
19		that fall out of our ability to regulate such as wind	
20		and solar.	13:59
21	Q.	Okay. I guess, lastly, when we talk about prevailing	
22		winds, why does the 1.5 how did the how did the	
23		process arrive how did the review process arrive	
24		that 1.5 kilometre 1.5 miles was an appropriate	
25		distance to mitigate the impacts of CFOs in the	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

155

Ī

D. KRIZSAN, C. CHISHOLM, D. HORVATH Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

٦

1		exclusion zone?	
2	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Through the process of	
3		negotiation, the the two communities, where we	
4		started, was a much larger area from the town's	
5		perspective and a much very much smaller area from	
6		the MD's perspective. And through the process of	
7		negotiation, that existing version was adopted by both	
8		communities.	
9		It was a matter of it was a matter of trying to	
10		seek the best possible solution inter-municipally while	14:00
11		trying to balance potential loss of opportunity for	
12		development by by our agricultural community.	
13	Q.	Okay. Prevailing winds suggests a scientific tone, but	
14		you're saying that this was more of a negotiation	
15		between the public. Was there any science that helped	
16		you arrive at this conclusion?	
17	MS.	FINLAY: That's not that's not	
18		accurately what that's not what he said. That	
19		wasn't his evidence.	
20	MR.	METHERAL: Let me rephrase.	14:01
21	Q.	Was science used to arrive at the 1.5 mile setback?	
22	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: What we used was the experience of	
23		the Town of Fort Macleod and the knowledge of their	
24		local conditions and community.	
25	Q.	Their experience. What type of experience would allow	

156

F

D. KRIZSAN, C. CHISHOLM, D. HORVATH Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

someone to take make that sort of judgment? 1 MS. FINLAY: 2 Are you asking him to speak for 3 the Town of Fort Macleod? 4 MR. METHERAL: Perhaps that's a better 5 question -- you're right. Perhaps that's a better question for the Town of Fort Macleod. Thank you. 6 7 And just to confirm, earlier, you were presented with Q. this map. You said -- you were asked if this map came 8 9 from the November 21st draft, which was published on 10 your web page? 14:02 11 Α. MR. KRIZSAN: Yes. 12 Q. It was published on your November 21st web page, Good. 13 and I believe the question was whether the CFO area had 14 changed. And I believe your response was that there 15 were no changes to this map between November and today. Correct. 16 Α. MR. KRIZSAN: 17 Q. Okay. I also understood that the public notice and 18 readings occurred in March of 2021 -- sorry, 2022. How 19 did the town -- or how did the municipality consider 20 that feedback when you talk about give and take and not 14:02 21 changing the draft CFO exclusion zone? 22 Α. MR. KRIZSAN: Through the course of a public 23 hearing, the public has an opportunity to provide 24 comments to council on draft bylaws, and there were a 25 number of individuals in attendance who discussed the

Cross-examined by Ms. Vance

j			ন
1		IDP with council. And council heard those comments,	
2		the and considered amendments that were proposed by	
3		the NRCB at that meeting and then further at the	
4		March 9th meeting when the when the public hearing	
5		was resumed.	
6		So there's an opportunity for the public to	
7		communicate their thoughts regarding draft bylaws.	
8	Q.	So they were able to provide their comments, but,	
9		ultimately, there were no changes to the proposed	
10		exclusion zone?	14:04
11	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: To the exclusion zone, no. There	
12		was to the draft document, though.	
13	Q.	Okay. Thank you. That would conclude my questions,	
14		Mr. Chair. Thank you.	
15	THE	CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Metheral.	
16		Ms. Vance, does Field Services have any questions?	
17	MS.	VANCE: Yes, very short questions.	
18	<u>MS.</u>	VANCE CROSS-EXAMINES THE PANEL:	
19	Q.	This was Mr. Krizsan's evidence characterizing the NRCB	
20		as suggesting proposed changes. Now, this question may	14:04
21		be more appropriately answered by Ms. Horvath, so	
22		whoever can answer this.	
23		Isn't it true that the NRCB did not propose	
24		changes but rather encouraged clarification?	
25	Α.	MS. HORVATH: I would say you are correct.	

Questioned by Mr. Kennedy

		1
1	MS. VANCE: Thank you. That's my question.	
2	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Vance.	
3	Mr. Kennedy?	
4	MR. KENNEDY: Thank you.	
5	MR. KENNEDY QUESTIONS THE PANEL:	
6	Q. I only have a couple of questions, and I'm not sure who	
7	from the MD is best suited to answer them, so I'll	
8	simply ask the questions and leave it to you.	
9	So in Exhibit 20, pdf page 2, so this is your	
10	submission to the hearing, and you start off your	14:05
11	submissions saying: (as read)	
12	"If the decision to deny the approval	
13	proceeded without delay resulting from	
14	either and you list two items, (a)	
15	and (b) 1(a) and (b) then Willow	
16	Creek takes no position on the issuance	
17	of the approval."	
18	I think the Panel might be interested in why you would	
19	take a position in any event.	
20	And in terms of a little background. It's pretty	14:06
21	common that we get municipal participation municipal	
22	government participation in situations where they are	
23	taking issue with the Board's approval of a CFO	
24	application. But I think it's fair to say this is the	
25	first time the Board will have seen a municipality	

h			5
1		coming forward advocating for an approval of an	
2		operation.	
3		·	
		So my question simply is why are you taking why	
4		does the municipality take a position on the NRCB's	
5		decision approval decision?	
6	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Could could you repeat that,	
7		Bill? I'm not quite sure thank you.	
8	Q.	So as we see the introduction, so paragraph 1, it says	
9		if these two items if these two possible sources of	
10		delay don't exist, then the municipality takes no	14:07
11		position on the Board's consideration and decision to	
12		deny this approval.	
13		And my question to the municipality is why are you	
14		taking a position in any event?	
15	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Well, as indicated in our in	
16		our request for review, our concern is about process.	
17		And if the Board determines that that the process	
18		has been followed and that the applicant received a	
19		fair review of his application and a timely review,	
20		then we have take then we are neither in favour	14:08
21		or or opposed to the decision of the Board. Our	
22		concern here is is process.	
23	Q.	So and not to be difficult, but the MD has a process if	
24		you're receiving an application. The NRCB process,	
25		which we've heard much of this morning, we heard about	

161

1		the NRCB's process. What specifically is the MD's	
2		concern with respect to the NRCB's consideration of	
3		this particular application, and how does that affect	
4		the municipality?	
5	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Unlike the NRCB, the MD's process	
6		for review and approval of development applications	
7		is is very specifically defined within the Municipal	
8		Government Act. There are very specific dates and	
9		timelines that the municipality must meet.	
10		This the same process, from what we've heard,	14:09
11		is, you know, a guideline that that 85 percent or	
12		80 percent of the applications should be reviewed	
13		within or be approved within 65 days. That that	
14		seems a bit different than what municipalities	
15		are are required to do. And understanding, of	
16		course, that the NRCB is not a municipality.	
17		But these types of timelines arise lead to	
18		situations that we see here. Some of our ratepayers,	
19		the clarity on when they can receive an approval,	
20		it's it's not clear.	14:10
21		And certainly we believe that the NRCB would	
22		benefit from more strict timelines and which would	
23		enable our citizens, who make applications, to to be	
24		able to be sure that they don't have to make repeated	
25		phone calls to see that a process continues on a path	

D.	KRIZSAN,	С.	CHISHOLM,	D.	HORVATH	
		•••	••,			

162

Questioned by Mr. Kennedy

1		to decision. And so our concern is is with process	
2		specifically.	
3	Q.	And am I fair to say so I made the observation that	
4		this is a bit of a unicorn in that it's unique. I've	
5		never seen it before, and I think it's fair to say I'm	
6		relatively familiar with the municipal participation in	
7		NRCB reviews.	
8		Do you think what the municipality has done here	
9		in terms of an intervention is in the ordinary course	
10		of municipal responsibility?	14:11
11	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Well, oftentimes, you know, we are	
12		placed in a position where we do not have authority for	
13		many of the developments that occur within our	
14		municipalities, NRCB being one, processes defined why	
15		the Alberta Utilities Commission being another. And	
16		so, often times, we are thrown into these types of	
17		into these types of situations where we have little	
18		authority to comment or regulate.	
19		Our concern is and I believe that the MD's	
20		position on NRCB regulation of feedlots and confined	14:11
21		feeding operations is long long understood by those	
22		in the industry. Our concern is is that the rules of	
23		the game should be well understood, particularly by the	
24		applicants.	
25		These are costly applications. Many of them have	

			1
1		timelines involved for their operations, and we have	
2		concerns that the process must be fair and consistent.	
3	Q.	So, essentially, your intervention deals with oversight	
4		of the NRCB timelines; is that fair?	
5	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: That's our primary concern in this	
6		case.	
7	Q.	And in paragraph 2 you say, "although there was ample	
8		time to review the application." And then you go on.	
9		And how did you come to the conclusion of what	
10		"ample time to review the application" was? What	14:13
11		sources did you access, who did you speak to, and why	
12		did you come to the conclusion that you did?	
13	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: We have we are made aware of	
14		these applications when we receive Part 1 and Part 2	
15		applications from the approval officer. We're given	
16		opportunity to provide comment.	
17		So part of this comes from our own observations,	
18		but also from information provided by the applicant,	
19		and some of the experience that we've had with	
20		other other applications through time.	14:13
21	Q.	So this application, I think we saw that it kind of met	
22		the performance measures that the NRCB has in place.	
23		How many other instances have there been where the MD	
24		of Willow Creek has stepped forward and said, "We want	
25		to talk about your timelines?"	

Questioned by Mr. Kennedy

h			1
1	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: As far as I know, this is the	
2		first time, given given the circumstances	
3		surrounding this the adoption of the IDP.	
4	Q.	And when did you first become concerned about the	
5		timelines associated with this application?	
6	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Well, we were aware that there was	
7		this and other applications that have been submitted.	
8		And, of course, we don't receive information on the	
9		decision until after the fact, and I became aware of	
10		the timeline for this situation on March 22nd when the	14:14
11		applicant contacted us.	
12	Q.	And so that's the first time you had any concern	
13		about despite the ample time to review, there were	
14		delays inappropriate delays?	
15	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: That was the the information	
16		that the applicant provided to us following March 22nd,	
17		and based upon the information that we received from	
18		him, the council felt that there was a need to express	
19		our concerns about timelines.	
20	Q.	So is it fair to say you're relying on communications	14:15
21		from Mr. van Huigenbos about the timing of the NRCB	
22		review as opposed to other sources or to the exclusion	
23		of other sources?	
24	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: That was the only source of	
25		information primary source of information that we	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Γ

Questioned by Ms. Roberts

1

1		had with respect to this matter, yes.	
2	Q.	And when did you first reach out to the approval	
3		officer and express concerns about the timeliness of	
4		the review process?	
5	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Perhaps I could turn this to	
6		Cindy Chisholm for answering.	
7	Q.	Sure.	
8	Α.	MS. CHISHOLM: Yes, I believe it was March 22nd	
9		of 2022. That's emails between myself and	
10		Joe Sonnenberg.	14:16
11	Q.	And before you sent that email, did you have a	
12		discussion about the timeliness of the NRCB review	
13		process?	
14	Α.	MS. CHISHOLM: Not myself, I have not.	
15	Q.	Are you aware of anybody else within the municipality	
16		that might have?	
17	Α.	MS. CHISHOLM: Not that I am aware of.	
18	Q.	Thank you, panel. Those are my questions.	
19		Thank you, Mr. Chair, for your indulgence.	
20	THE	CHAIR: Ms. Roberts, do you have any	14:16
21		questions?	
22	MS.	ROBERTS: I have one question.	
23	<u>MS.</u>	ROBERTS QUESTIONS THE PANEL:	
24	Q.	A couple of times comments were made about amendments	
25		to the IDP resulting from NRCB input. Ms. Vance	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Īī

D. KRIZSAN, C. CHISHOLM, D. HORVATH Questioned by Ms. Roberts

il .			
1		commented or made a comment about that they were for	
2		clarification.	
3		Can someone tell us what those amendments are?	
4		I'm curious.	
5	Α.	MS. HORVATH: I can probably speak to that.	
6		Again, what our process is when we are adopting a	
7		new planning document is we do circulate to agencies,	
8		NRCB, Alberta Transportation, in this case, the school	
9		district as well that's within the close confines.	
10		The response back from Mr. Cumming was, as	14:17
11		indicated, that he takes no position on the IDP one way	
12		or the other. But for clarification for their approval	
13		officers to review applications in accordance with our	
14		IDP or MDP, he indicated that we had in our	
15		Section 4 and I don't have the page number here, I'm	
16		sorry of our intermunicipal development plan which	
17		speaks about confined feeding operations, we had	
18		previously referred to approvals, meaning everything,	
19		as in registration approvals. And he indicated that	
20		permits would be a more appropriate verbiage that would	14:18
21		make it more all-encompassing. And the second one was	
22		clarification on future expansions of permits.	
23		So, previously, we had indicated that new confined	
24		feeding operations were not to be established as well	
25		as anything beyond their current animal numbers. And	
11			11

IF-				
	1		so that was a little bit clunky language so it was	
	2		changed to be quite clear in our first policy 3.1. And	
	3		it was to read: (as read)	
	4		"New confined feeding operations and	
	5		expansions to existing permits which	
	6		would increase livestock numbers are not	
	7		permitted within the confined feeding	
	8		operation policy area."	
	9	Q.	Okay. And so these clarifications to the IDP	
	10		had then had nothing to do with the outline of the 1	4:19
	11		CFO exclusion zone; is that correct?	
	12	Α.	MS. HORVATH: It was just wording changes, not	
	13		map changes.	
	14	MS.	ROBERTS: Okay. Thank you.	
	15		That's all my questions, Mr. Chair.	
	16	THE	CHAIR: Thank you. Mr. Ceroici?	
	17	MR.	CEROICI: I do have one question for	
	18		Mr. Krizsan.	
	19	<u>MR.</u>	CEROICI QUESTIONS THE PANEL:	
	20	Q.	Just maybe to follow up on Bill's probing a bit about 1	4:19
	21		the expertise of the town to get involved or comment or	
	22		make suggestions with respect to the suitability of a	
	23		particular CFO.	
	24		In Exhibit 20, the MD indicates that there's a	
	25		suggestion that the this A&D Cattle application may	

T

1		not be complex.	
2		I just wanted to maybe probe a bit as to what	
3		expertise was relied upon to make, you know, that	
4		statement or that suggestion?	
5	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: What section is that?	
6	Q.	It's 9 sorry 10.	
7	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: I think that was a judgment	
8		that that the MD used based upon review of prior	
9		applications.	
10		This is a relatively small feedlot operation, not	14:21
11		terribly complex in terms of size or location or	
12		topography, and given the location and the size, it	
13		really given the compare a number of applications	
14		that we've seen that are significantly larger or have	
15		challenges, including topography or transportation,	
16		et cetera, it was the consensus of of the council	
17		and the staff that this was a relatively simple	
18		application.	
19	Q.	So, essentially, it's a best-case scenario without	
20		really, you know, considering other potential factors	14:21
21		of other complexities involved in the application	
22		process that you may not have been aware of or those	
23		types of issues; is that correct?	
24	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: Based upon the information we had.	
25	Q.	0kay.	
1			11

Questioned by The Chair

			1
1	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: We were unaware of any	
2		complexities that may have arisen as a result of of	
3		the questions, but from what we saw of the Part 1 and	
4		Part 2 applications, it appeared to be to us relatively	
5		simple.	
6	Q.	Okay. Thank you, Mr. Krizsan.	
7	MR.	CEROICI: I have no further questions.	
8	THE	CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Ceroici.	
9		I have one question.	
10	THE	CHAIR QUESTIONS THE PANEL:	14:
11	Q.	Mr. van Huigenbos raised an issue around the highway	
12		that is proposed by the Alberta Transportation, and I	
13		think, Mr. Krizsan, you answered a question that, based	
14		on the highway that it would be in the exclusion zone	
15		on the southern or near the southern portion.	
16		I presume, then, it would fall between the	
17		proposed site for this CFO and the town of	
18		Fort Macleod; is that right?	
19	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: That's correct.	
20	Q.	And does it primarily go east-west, then, or?	14:
21	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: It does. From the west boundary,	
22		it travels southeast to the south boundary, and then it	
23		extends from the southeast southwest boundary of the	
24		town to the to the northeast to reconnect to	
25		Highway 3.	

Re-examined by Ms. Finlay

			1
1		So it's a loop around the south edge of the town.	
2	Q.	Okay. All right. So probably that's great	
3		clarification.	
4		I might ask the town, then, if there's any	
5		development or is the town boundary right to the	
6		southern end of the CFO exclusion zone?	
7	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: I believe on that map, it is	
8		outlined, the town boundary.	
9	Q.	Yes, but does it go right to the southern edge of the	
10		exclusion zone?	14:24
11	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: It does not, no.	
12	Q.	It does not. So is there municipal plans to develop	
13		residential or other activities that would be in	
14		conflict with a CFO south of the highway up to the edge	
15		of the exclusion zone?	
16	Α.	MR. KRIZSAN: As far as I'm aware of, no.	
17	THE	CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. I have no	
18		further questions.	
19		Ms. Finlay, do you have any redirect?	
20	MS.	FINLAY: Just give me a minute.	14:24
21	THE	CHAIR: Sure.	
22	<u>MS.</u>	FINLAY RE-EXAMINES THE PANEL:	
23	Q.	Mr. Krizsan, can you perhaps just describe maybe	
24		I'll just back up for a minute.	
25		Is there a council resolution that relates to this	

request for review? 1 2 MR. KRIZSAN: Α. I would have to check our records, 3 but I believe we did have a resolution, yes. 4 Q. Okay. Who was it that directed you to -- that made the decision with respect to the participation of the MD of 5 Willow Creek in this review? 6 7 MR. KRIZSAN: Council of the MD of Willow Creek. Α. Q. Thank you. Those are my questions. 8 THE CHAIR: 9 Thank you. Any follow-up or questions of Ms. Finlay based on 10 redirect? 11 12 Hearing none. 13 Okay. Well, thank you very much, Ms. Finlay and to your panel. The panel is now released, and thank 14 15 you very much. (PANEL STANDS DOWN) 16 17 THE CHAIR: So we turn to Town of 18 Fort Macleod. 19 Ms. Agrios, the virtual floor is yours if you and your panel are readv? 20 MS. AGRIOS: 21 Yes. Thank you. My name is Janice Agrios. I am counsel for the 22 Town of Fort Macleod, and the witnesses on behalf of 23 24 the town are Adrian Pedro, who is the town's director 25 of operations, Kelly Sanford who is the town planning

14:26

14:26

1	and development officer, and Gavin Scott who is a	
2	senior planner with the Old Man River Regional Services	
3	Commission.	
4	And so I'd ask that the witnesses be sworn.	
5		
6	<u>A. PEDRO, K. SANFORD, G. SCOTT</u> (For Town of Fort Macleod),	
7	affirmed	
8	MS. AGRIOS EXAMINES THE PANEL:	
9	Q. Thank you. Now, I'm just going to outline how I	
10	propose to proceed in the interest of making this as	14:28
11	efficient as possible.	
12	The town's submissions are at Exhibit 19 and so my	
13	proposal is to just briefly review some of the	
14	attachments in that exhibit and just direct the Board's	
15	attention to some of those exhibits?	
16	I will then have Mr. Scott outline the planning	
17	rationale for the CFO exclusion area and then, of	
18	course, all of the witnesses will be available to	
19	respond to any questions about the exhibits.	
20	THE CHAIR: That sounds like a great plan.	14:29
21	Thank you very much.	
22	MS. AGRIOS: Thank you.	
23	So the first document, and these are all in	
24	Exhibit 19, and so the first page, it's pdf	
25	page number 13.	
1		d

	1
And so then just again for the Board's reference,	
this is the final version of the IDP that was adopted	
by both the town and the MD.	
And if I could then go to page 15. For the	
Board's reference, again, you'll see with respect to	
the town, you can see at the bottom there's an	
indication as to dates of the first, second, and third	
reading, and the final adoption date of February 28th,	
2022.	
On page 16 and, again, this is with respect to	14:30
the MD now, you'll see the dates of first, second, and	
third reading, final adoption date of March 9th, 2022.	
I now turn to page 63 in the pdf. And page 63,	
and the pages that follow are the correspondence that	
was leading up to adoption of the IDP by both the town	
and the MD. And so you'll see there's the email	
exchanges that were occurring on November 19th, 2021,	
indicating the agreement reached between the town and	
the MD with respect to a draft IDP.	
That is followed by and if you'll bear with me,	14:30
I did not note the page number it is page 65. 65 in	
the pdf, that is the version, the draft that had been	
agreed to in November 2021 and was the version that was	
posted to both the town and the MD's website on or	
within a day or two after November 19th of 2021.	
	this is the final version of the IDP that was adopted by both the town and the MD. And if I could then go to page 15. For the Board's reference, again, you'll see with respect to the town, you can see at the bottom there's an indication as to dates of the first, second, and third reading, and the final adoption date of February 28th, 2022. On page 16 and, again, this is with respect to the MD now, you'll see the dates of first, second, and third reading, final adoption date of March 9th, 2022. I now turn to page 63 in the pdf. And page 63, and the pages that follow are the correspondence that was leading up to adoption of the IDP by both the town and the MD. And so you'll see there's the email exchanges that were occurring on November 19th, 2021, indicating the agreement reached between the town and the MD with respect to a draft IDP. That is followed by and if you'll bear with me, I did not note the page number it is page 65. 65 in the pdf, that is the version, the draft that had been agreed to in November 2021 and was the version that was posted to both the town and the MD's website on or

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

1		As you've heard, there were some amendments based	
2		on a circulation and some of the feedback. The CFO	
3		exclusion area from the November 2019 draft is at	
4		page 778 of the pdf, and that is the version that was	
5		posted in November of 2019, and that remains the same	
6		throughout.	
7		If you go to page 103 in the pdf, and, again, this	
8		is correspondence from myself going to the Land and	
9		Property Rights Tribunal, and as you heard from	
10		Mr. Krizsan, actually, there was the delay or the lag	14:32
11		time between November of 2019 and the adoption because	
12		there were steps that needed to be taken in order to	
13		have the IDP adopted.	
14		And so that is all I wanted to say in terms of the	
15		documents that I wanted to draw to the Board's	
16		attention.	
17		I will now turn it over to Mr. Scott and ask that	
18		he address the planning rationale for the CFO exclusion	
19		area.	
20	Α.	MR. SCOTT: Thank you, Janice.	14:32
21		The planning rationale for the exclusion zone, I	
22		guess a few things that have been said prior to by both	
23		Mr. Krizsan and Diane Horvath really do cover off the	
24		nature and basis of the beast of what is a exclusion	
25		zone for CFOs.	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Examined by Ms. Agrios

			1
1		The question of whether or not they're scientific,	
2		I would say that that is unlikely as these are	
3		political processes for the most part.	
4		Prevailing wind is one of the key factors in the	
5		design of these things, and prevailing wind, as a	
6		planner, in my estimation, is much larger and perhaps a	
7		rationale as to why we started with a larger format for	
8		capturing who should be in the exclusion zone. But as	
9		political process take place, that gives you what you	
10		have currently for the boundary.	14:3
11		The exclusion zone certainly is not an uncommon	
12		feature in IDP. It is common across hundreds of	
13		municipalities in Alberta. And as such, it is one of	
14		the few mechanisms the AOPA provides us in controlling	
15		feedlots around communities.	
16		And as one of the few mechanisms, it is certainly	
17		taken seriously by municipalities in negotiation, and	
18		as a result, very few would avoid the opportunity to	
19		implement.	
20		So that's my answer to that question. Thank you.	14:3
21	Q.	And, Mr. Scott, I'm going to ask you to comment on one	
22		other aspect of the IDP.	
23	MS.	AGRIOS: And if we could go to, it's in	
24		Exhibit 19, page 32 of the pdf, and this is the	
25		"Servicing Policy Area" map.	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

1	Q.	And, Mr. Scott, could you just comment again on how the	
2		servicing policy area how that area relates to the	
3		CFO exclusion area? Are they covering different areas	
4		or similar?	
5	Α.	MR. SCOTT: They are exactly the same except	
6		that the town boundary is not included in the servicing	
7		area, as the servicing area was meant for the town to	
8		provide water to that area indicated in the hatch.	
9	Q.	Yes.	
10	Α.	MR. SCOTT: As such, with the negotiation	14:35
11		between the two councils, it was identified that if the	
12		MD was going to give up a portion of land for CFO	
13		exclusion, something was to be given in return, and	
14		that was to provide water to a certain area of the	
15		plan, being the exclusion zone.	
16		From that, you can understand that with water	
17		capabilities, you could expect a furtherance of	
18		residential development, perhaps other development,	
19		depending on the processes that would follow. Thank	
20		you.	14:35
21	Q.	Yes. And thank you, Mr. Scott. Those are all my	
22		questions for you.	
23		And, Mr. Chair, that is all the direct evidence on	
24		behalf of the town, so the town's witnesses are	
25		available to answer any questions.	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

<u> </u>			1
1	THE CHAIR:	Thank you, Ms. Agrios.	
2	Ms. Finlay	, do you have any questions for the	
3	town?		
4	MS. FINLAY:	No, we have no questions. Thank	
5	you.		
6	THE CHAIR:	And Mr. and Mrs. Conner?	
7	MR. CONNER:	No, we have no questions.	
8	THE CHAIR:	Mr. and Mrs. Lewis?	
9	MR. LEWIS:	No .	
10	THE CHAIR:	Mr. Metheral?	14:36
11	MR. METHERAL CROSS-	EXAMINES THE PANEL:	
12	MR. METHERAL:	Yes, hi. You can hear us?	
13	THE CHAIR:	Yes, we can.	
14	Q. MR. METHERAL:	We appreciate the municipality's	
15	review of our o	questions or responses to our questions,	
16	and we'd like t	to forward the majority of them on to the	
17	town also.		
18	So to conf	firm, the official date that the town	
19	adopted the IDF	was February 28th; is that accurate?	
20	A. MR. SCOTT:	That's correct.	14:37
21	THE COURT REPORTER:	Sorry, was that Mr. Scott? Did	
22	you answer? Wa	as it	
23	A. MR. SCOTT:	Yes.	
24	THE COURT REPORTER:	Sorry. Okay. Thank you.	
25	THE CHAIR:	Scott, yes.	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1			
1	MR.	METHERAL: I would direct my questions to	
2		Mr. Scott.	
3	Q.	We'll move on from the questions about the Alberta	
4		Environment and Minister.	
5		Perhaps, though, we could ask a bit about why the	
6		exclusion zone there is no CFO exclusion zone on the	
7		east side of the town.	
8	Α.	MR. SCOTT: I believe I did answer that	
9		question. This is a political negotiation. At one	
10		time, it was included. There was a large boundary	14:3
11		included around the entire town. And as negotiations	
12		happened, that boundary was reduced.	
13	Q.	Okay. And, again, to the it's larger to the west,	
14		southwest. Again, a political negotiation is how we	
15		ended up with that area?	
16	Α.	MR. SCOTT: Yes.	
17	Q.	Okay. And the exact distance of 1.5 miles, how did we	
18		end up with that setback from the town boundary?	
19	Α.	MR. SCOTT: Same process.	
20	Q.	And what was it based on, the 1.5 mile?	14:3
21	Α.	MR. SCOTT: Negotiation.	
22	Q.	Where does the prevailing winds come in?	
23	Α.	MR. SCOTT: Through a discussion that was held	
24		in camera with council.	
25	Q.	Did town council bring in an expert to talk about	

ľ

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1			
1		prevailing winds?	
2	Α.	MR. SCOTT: Not to my knowledge.	
3	Q.	Does the town have anybody in employment that is an	
4		expert in wind direction or climatologist?	
5	Α.	MR. SCOTT: Not to my knowledge.	
6	Q.	So then who would have provided the recommendations	
7		from the town for 1.5 miles setback?	
8	Α.	MR. SCOTT: Town council.	
9	Q.	Are any of the council members experts in weather	
10		patterns?	14:39
11	Α.	MR. SCOTT: They may be. I don't know.	
12	Q.	Why do you think why do you suppose town council	
13		picked prevailing winds to as a reason for their	
14		setbacks?	
15	Α.	MR. SCOTT: Prevailing winds is a typical	
16		discussion regarding a noxious odour problem with this	
17		industry. So, again, like I said, the prevailing wind,	
18		from my point of view, would have been much larger as	
19		an advisor, but this was negotiated downwards from	
20		there.	14:40
21	Q.	I see. Much larger. So the prevailing winds bring	
22		dust and odour from CFOs? That's the logic?	
23	Α.	MR. SCOTT: I believe so.	
24	Q.	Did they provide any other reasons other than	
25		nuisances CFO nuisances as to how or why the setback	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

Ī

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

1

1		was determined?	
2	Α.	MR. SCOTT: Not to my knowledge.	
3	Q.	Does the town realize the AOPA addresses odour and dust	
4		from CFOs?	
5	Α.	MR. SCOTT: The town was given the opportunity	
6		to understand issues under AOPA as well as a full	
7		understanding of the MDS and its pseudoscience.	
8	Q.	You believe that AOPA is based on pseudoscience?	
9	Α.	MR. SCOTT: I believe MDS is.	
10	Q.	And what is your belief on how your councillors	14:41
11		determined the setback? How is their science made?	
12	Α.	MR. SCOTT: Politically based, not	
13		scientifically based.	
14	Q.	Thank you. Can we have a minute here?	
15	THE	CHAIR: Just take a minute.	
16	MR.	METHERAL: Sorry for the delay. This	
17		information is critical to us, and we wanted to make	
18		these questions pointed.	
19	Q.	Mr. Scott, when we talk about prevailing winds, was	
20		there any studies or technical information that was	14:43
21		provided to council on what a prevailing wind is?	
22	Α.	MR. SCOTT: No.	
23	Q.	So do we even know what the prevailing wind is for the	
24		town of Fort Macleod?	
25	Α.	MR. SCOTT: As answered, I preferred that it	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

			1
1		was a much larger area.	
2	Q.	AOPA provides us with some direction on how to	
3		calculate MDS. For this application, the CFO size is	
4		2,000 head, and that makes the setback of about	
5		4,268 feet. The exclusion zone that you've created is	
6		over is approximately 8,000 feet. And, sorry, this	
7		is to Category 4 residences.	
8		Do you have any idea if there will be developments	
9		in the intermunicipal development plan area? Does the	
10		town plan to develop in the CFO exclusion sorry.	14:44
11		Let me repeat. Does the plan town (verbatim) to	
12		develop in the intermunicipal development plan area?	
13	Α.	MR. SCOTT: The town does not.	
14	Q.	Does the town plan to build any residences in the	
15		CFO or in the intermunicipal development plan area?	
16	Α.	MR. SCOTT: I should clarify. The	
17		intermunicipal development plan area does include the	
18		town boundary. So the answer is, yes, they do plan to	
19		build.	
20	Q.	Okay. The developments that would occur, residents	14:45
21		that are built, if there are any, would be based on the	
22		municipality; the municipality would be permitting	
23		those residences.	
24	Α.	MR. SCOTT: Which municipality?	
25	Q.	The Municipality of Willow Creek?	

Cross-examined by Mr. Metheral

			1
1	Α.	MR. SCOTT: In the CFO exclusion zone, that is	
2		correct.	
3	Q.	Okay. So based on the site that we have here,	
4		approximately I'm just guessing here, was it 3 miles	
5		from your house to the town?	
6	MR.	VAN HUIGENBOS: Closest residence, 2.8.	
7	Q.	MR. METHERAL: 2.8 miles from the	
8		residence sorry, it's about 2.8 miles from the	
9		CFO proposed CFO to the town boundary?	
10	MR.	VAN HUIGENBOS: To the town residence.	14:46
11	MR.	METHERAL: To the town residence. This would	
12		suggest that there's ample room under AOPA for this	
13		type of facility. Why should a CFO exclusion zone be	
14		in place if AOPA if there's no impact from as	
15		looked at from AOPA?	
16	Α.	MR. SCOTT: I think you're trying to ask	
17		have me answer a question that was politically answered	
18		by the negotiation of the town and the MD.	
19	Q.	Okay. We won't proceed further on that line of	
20		questioning. I can see the dilemma you're in.	14:46
21		Lastly, when we talk about odour and dust from	
22		agricultural operations, there is actually a	
23		feedlot or, sorry, an auction market located inside	
24		the town boundary.	
25		Why does a CFO exclusion zone why is it	

j			
1		appropriate when there is already an acceptance for	
2		agricultural activities even within the town of Fort	
3		Macleod?	
4	Α.	MR. SCOTT: The auction mart is not regulated	
5		by NRCB, and the numbers of animals at that location do	
6		not meet the threshold of an NRCB approval.	
7		Yes, if possible, that is in a bad location, and,	
8		in my opinion, it would be nice to be moved, not likely	
9		in the near future.	
10	Q.	Thank you for your responses. I would conclude my	14:48
11		questioning. Thank you, Mr. Chair.	
12	THE (CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Metheral.	
13		Ms. Vance, do you have questions on behalf of	
14		Field Services?	
15	MS. V	VANCE: I do not. I do not, thank you.	
16	THE (CHAIR: Okay. Thank you.	
17		Mr. Kennedy, do you have questions?	
18	MR. I	KENNEDY: I do not. The questions I had	
19		have all been addressed. Thank you.	
20	THE (CHAIR: Mr. Ceroici.	14:48
21	MR. (CEROICI: Just a minor clarification.	
22	<u>MR. (</u>	CEROICI QUESTIONS THE PANEL:	
23	Q.	I'm not sure who to address the question to, but it	
24		relates to the last page of Exhibit 19 where it refers	
25		to suggest that the review is inconsistent with the	

Questioned by Mr. Ceroici

1	ag	preement to the CFO exclusion area with the MD. And
2	it	carries on in Bullet 44 sorry, it would be the
3	la	ast page of the main submission. I don't know it I
4	th	nink it's page 9. It's Bullet 44. Thank you.
5		There it talks about suggests that the MD's
6	ag	greement to the IDP, including the CFO exclusion zone,
7	pr	re-dated submission of the Part 2 application on
8	De	ecember 3rd.
9		So is there a suggestion there by the town that
10	so	omehow the IDP should have had precedence over the
11	mu	unicipal development plan at that point? I'm not sure
12	wh	nat the reason for that statement is.
13	MS. AGF	RIOS: I can respond, sir, because that
14	do	bes come from the legal argument that I drafted. And
15	th	ne basis for that statement, it simply refers to the
16	MD)'s position.
17		And the MD, as of November 19th, had agreed to a
18	CF	O exclusion area, so it seemed inconsistent that the
19	MD) would then subsequently be supporting a CFO in an
20	ex	clusion area that they had previously agreed to. 14
21	MR. CEF	ROICI: So, legally, there's no obligation
22	or	no impact on the existing MDP; is that correct?
23	MS. AGF	RIOS: Once adopted, it does.
24	MR. CEF	ROICI: Yes, but not at this point?
25	MS. AGF	RIOS: Not as of that point in time,

49

7

		1
1	that's correct. So it was simply commenting on the	
2	MD's support the support for it, and the support did	
3	seem to be inconsistent with the MD having already	
4	agreed.	
5	And, again, it still had to work its way through a	
6	process. So there's necessarily a time lag between	
7	when the agreement is reached and between when there	
8	can be advertising and three readings of the bylaw in a	
9	public hearing, but in that intervening timeframe, it	
10	does seem inconsistent that the MD would then support a	14:51
11	CFO when they've already reached an agreement and are	
12	in the process of passing the necessary bylaws to	
13	implement that agreement.	
14	MR. CEROICI: Okay. Thank you.	
15	That's all my questions, Mr. Chair.	
16	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you.	
17	Ms. Roberts?	
18	THE COURT REPORTER: Ms. Roberts, you're muted.	
19	Ms. Roberts, you're muted.	
20	MS. ROBERTS: Thank you.	14:51
21	MS. ROBERTS QUESTIONS THE PANEL:	
22	Q. I have one question and it's with regard to planning,	
23	so probably Mr. Scott's perhaps to answer.	
24	I would like to know how the town plans for future	
25	expansion are considered when you're working towards	

Questioned by The Chair

h			ন
1		developing an IDP with the county, and how that all	
2		kind of fits together with the CFO exclusion zone and	
3		such.	
4	Α.	MR. SCOTT: Well, early on in the process, it	
5		was clearly discussed between the town and the MD that	
6		the MD certainly should not expect an annexation on	
7		behalf of the town at any time soon. We have ample	
8		land within the boundaries of the town to grow. That	
9		growth will extend to the southern boundary with	
10		residential, so that was discussed, as well as other	14:52
11		growth plans that had been provided in our own MDP and	
12		other concept plans that had been drafted for	
13		consideration by town council, all of which was	
14		discussed with the MD to give an indication of what	
15		exactly the town would look like out into the future as	
16		far as its own internal growth.	
17	Q.	Thank you.	
18	MS.	ROBERTS: That's all, Mr. Chair.	
19	THE	CHAIR: Thank you.	
20	THE	CHAIR QUESTIONS THE PANEL:	14:52
21	Q.	So, Mr. Scott, just to follow up on Ms. Roberts'	
22		question, then, and also I guess a follow-up to a	
23		question I have with the MD about development, you've	
24		answered that part.	
25		So it seems to me I just want you to confirm	

187

Re-examined by Ms. Agrios

i			7
1		whether my understanding is correct or not, it seems to	
2		me that the exclusion zone is a method or politically	
3		agreed-to, as you put it, distance for excluding CFOs	
4		to protect the existing town, but not future	
5		development or development within the existing	
6		boundaries of the town, not future development or	
7		future potential annexations?	
8	Α.	MR. SCOTT: I think that would be true, and	
9		yet the exclusion zone would also protect MD residents,	
10		which you have a few here today.	14:53
11		Anybody building a residence in the proximity of	
12		this exclusion zone, those MD residents would also be	
13		protected.	
14	Q.	Thank you. Thank you very much.	
15	THE	CHAIR: Ms. Agrios, do you have any	
16		redirect based on questions?	
17	MS.	AGRIOS: Yes, sir, I did have just a few	
18		questions.	
19	<u>MS.</u>	AGRIOS RE-EXAMINES THE PANEL:	
20	Q.	The first one and if we could go to Exhibit 19,	14:54
21		page 28, this is the CFO exclusion area map.	
22		And, Mr. Scott, I just wanted to clarify, there	
23		was some questions of you as to whether or not it would	
24		be the MD dealing with permitting in the CFO exclusion	
25		area.	

Re-examined by Ms. Agrios

<u> </u>			า
1		I just wanted to clarify, based on the map,	
2		firstly, the extent of the CFO exclusion area and,	
3		again, who would be dealing with permitting within the	
4		CFO exclusion area?	
5	Α.	MR. SCOTT: Yeah. So, quite clearly, there's	
6		two jurisdictions here within the town boundary,	
7		decisions made by the town and within the MD of	
8		Willow Creek side of things, the decisions would be by	
9		the MD of Willow Creek.	
10	Q.	Thank you. Now, there was also, through questioning,	14:54
11		discussion about how the CFO exclusion area is, to some	
12		extent, a political process.	
13		As a planner and I believe you touched on this,	
14		but I just want to make sure that we that I heard	
15		this correctly as a planner, what would have been	
16		your recommendation with respect to the size of the CFO	
17		exclusion area?	
18	Α.	MR. SCOTT: Now you're trying to make me a	
19		politician.	
20		Certainly, we started from a point of view of what	14:55
21		was the maximum extent that we've seen in other	
22		municipalities that was acceptable to the NRCB.	
23		I had understood from conversations with other	
24		planners, and perhaps even with the input from Andy,	
25		that should a municipality seek to go out beyond	

Re-examined by Ms. Agrios

1		5 miles, that may be viewed by the NRCB as being an	
2		egregious distance.	
3		So we did start at 3 miles in the south and the	
4		west and 2 miles on the north and the east. Those	
5		parameters were working parameters, but not necessarily	
6		recommendations.	
7		Certainly, from the point of view of prevailing	
8		winds and the guesswork having to go into those, I do	
9		prefer a much larger boundary than was finally adopted.	
10	Q.	Thank you. And my last question, and if we could go to	14:56
11		page 27 of Exhibit 19.	
12		And so this is dealing with some of the policy	
13		related to the CFO, and I'm looking specifically at	
14		Policy 3.8. And, again, it's dealing with the	
15		obligation of the MD to update its MDP.	
16		And I appreciate that you aren't representing the	
17		MD and that you're not here as a politician, but as a	
18		planner, given the servicing that's being extended into	
19		the CFO exclusion area, what type of development would	
20		you expect to see when the MD updates its MDP?	14:57
21	Α.	MR. SCOTT: I don't expect the MD to	
22		physically define what is the potential for growth	
23		there, be it residential, commercial or industrial.	
24		I think the plan left itself open to that coming	
25		forward through natural processes whereby they would	

Re-examined by Ms. Agrios

<u> </u>		
1	circulate to us as proposals came forward, that it	
2	wouldn't necessarily have to be residential although	
3	the implementation or introduction of water, and water	
4	was meant for household use.	
5	Q. Thank you, Mr. Scott. Those are my questions, and that	
6	concludes all of the evidence on behalf of the on	
7	behalf of the town.	
8	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Agrios.	
9	Did anyone have a follow-up question based on that	
10	redirect?	14:57
11	MS. FINLAY: We do not.	
12	THE CHAIR: Okay. And hearing nobody else, I	
13	think we're done.	
14	Thank you very much, Ms. Agrios, and to your panel	
15	as well. Appreciate the input. Thank you very much.	
16	(PANEL STANDS DOWN)	
17	THE CHAIR: So, Mr. and Mrs. Conner, it is	
18	your opportunity to provide direct evidence or	
19	statements that you'd like to make in terms of this CFO	
20	permit application.	14:58
21	Now, I just see Shauna Finlay's, kind of, box up	
22	here, I'm not sure if we can get back to the let's	
23	see. Here we go.	
24	Now, Mr. and Mrs. Conner, you're there?	
25	MR. CONNER: Yes.	

W. CONNER

1 THE CHAIR: Okay. Were you wanting to speak 2 to the application? 3 4 W. CONNER (For Mr. and Mrs. Conner), affirmed MR. CONNER: We don't have much more for 5 direct evidence. We, more or less, just have what was 6 7 on my rebuttal. I think it's Exhibit 15. More or less just the main concerns in regards to water leaching, 8 9 contamination, water usage, and just the smell all 10 around. 14:59 11 We reside outside of Fort Macleod, but we do work 12 in town and we do have kid activities in town, and 13 we've talked to numerous people that have been affected 14 just from the manure spreading on adjacent lands, and so those are kind of our main concerns anyway. But... 15 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Is that all? 16 17 MR. CONNER: I don't think -- there's no Yeah. 18 point in really reading back through it, I don't think, 19 is there? 20 THE CHAIR: I mean, if you want that to No. 14:59 21 stand on it, that is no problem at all. We don't need 22 to reread it into the record, we have it in the record, 23 we've all reviewed it. So that's fine. 24 MR. CONNER: Okay. 25 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.

W. CONNER

 		
1	You know what I didn't do is have Ms. Vespa swear	
2	or affirm you in, and that was my fault, because you're	
3	not represented, so it's my job. I think we could	
4	probably still do that now in case there's any	
5	questions.	
6	Ms. Vespa, maybe you can make it past tense? Was	
7	there anything that he has said?	
8	THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, I can take care of that.	
9	Thank you.	
10	THE CHAIR: Perfect. Ms. Vespa bails me out 1	15:00
11	again.	
12	Excellent. Thank you. So opportunity for some	
13	questions to you, Mr. Conner.	
14	MD of Willow Creek, Ms. Finlay. Anything?	
15	MS. FINLAY: No questions, sir.	
16	THE CHAIR: Thank you.	
17	Ms. Agrios?	
18	MS. AGRIOS: No questions.	
19	THE CHAIR: Mr. and Mrs. Lewis?	
20	MR. LEWIS: We sent in a statement of our 1	15:01
21	concerns already. I guess we don't need to go over	
22	that	
23	MR. CONNER: I think it's just if you have	
24	questions for us.	
25	THE CHAIR: Yeah, I'll give an opportunity for	

W. CUNNER	W.	CONNER
-----------	----	--------

This is really if you have a question for the 1 that. 2 Conners. MR. LEWIS: 3 No, I don't. THE CHAIR: 4 Okay. Thank you. Mr. Metheral? 5 MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: 6 No, we don't have any questions. 7 THE CHAIR: Ms. Vance? MS. VANCE: No questions. 8 THE CHAIR: 9 Mr. Kennedy? MR. KENNEDY: And I have no questions, thank 10 15:01 11 you. THE CHAIR: Ms. Roberts? 12 13 MS. ROBERTS: I have no questions, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIR: 14 Mr. Ceroici? 15 MR. CEROICI: And I have no questions either, 16 thank you. 17 THE CHAIR: Well, you're getting off pretty 18 light here, Mr. Conner. I should just ask you a 19 question just because. 20 MR. CONNER: Might as well, eh? 15:02 21 THE CHAIR: No, I have no questions. It's 22 pretty clear. Thank you very much for your 23 participation today. Thank you. 24 MR. CONNER: Thank you. 25 THE CHAIR: Mr. and Mrs. Lewis, now is your

opportunity to present any evidence or sort of 1 2 reinforce something that you've already submitted that 3 you'd like the Board to pay particular attention to. 4 So this is your opportunity. Take it away. MR. LEWIS: 5 Okay. Thank you. 6 THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me, Mr. Chair. Did you 7 want him sworn in? THE CHAIR: Please swear him in first. 8 Thank 9 you. THE COURT REPORTER: 10 Thank you. 15:03 11 D. LEWIS (For Mr. and Mrs. Lewis), affirmed 12 THE COURT REPORTER: 13 And if I could just ask you to 14 speak up, sir. 15 THE CHAIR: Yes, I was going to do that. It 16 is a little bit difficult to hear you, Mr. Lewis. So 17 if you could -- and even perhaps -- it's probably --18 the microphone is probably in the camera at the front 19 of that room. So if you need to move up a little bit, 20 that might help as well. Go ahead. 15:03 21 Α. MR. LEWIS: Okay. We have our concerns, we 22 sent them in already. You have them on record. 23 I had a couple of things I wanted to bring up. When they're talking about the distance of this 24 25 feedlot -- this proposed feedlot and their distance,

I		1
1	according to the maps we have, it's 1 mile from the	
2	town from the town boundaries.	
3	THE CHAIR: Okay. So I would presume in	
4	questions, somebody will ask you about where you got	
5	that information and how you measured it. I'll allow	
6	the A&D to do that in a second.	
7	But please proceed if you have other points to	
8	make.	
9	A. MR. LEWIS: In the discussions about the wind	
10	and the wind experts, and if you were to ask Vestas out	15:04
11	there, I'm sure they could tell you exactly how many	
12	days out of the year the wind blows from the southwest.	
13	THE CHAIR: So, sorry, you're asking a	
14	question of?	
15	A. MR. LEWIS: Previous, there was the discussion	
16	about the wind, and they were asking who was an expert	
17	about the wind direction out there.	
18	THE CHAIR: Okay.	
19	A. MR. LEWIS: And I was saying, if you talk to	
20	Vestas, they have all the wind mills out there, they	15:05
21	could tell you exactly how many days out of the year we	
22	have a southwest wind out there, which would eliminate	
23	the problem of not having an expert on wind there.	
24	THE CHAIR: 0kay. Thank you. And are there	
25	other points that you wanted to make, Mr. Lewis?	

Α. MR. LEWIS: No, that's -- that's good for now. 1 2 THE CHAIR: Okay. Well, thank you very much. 3 Ms. Finlay, did you have any questions for Mr. Lewis? 4 MS. FINLAY: 5 I do not, thank you. THE CHAIR: 6 Ms. Agrios? 7 MS. AGRIOS: No questions. THE CHAIR: Thank you. 8 9 And the Conners, do you have a question for Mr. Lewis? 10 15:07 11 MR. CONNER: No, we have no questions. 12 THE CHAIR: Mr. Metheral? 13 MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Not a whole lot of questions 14 concerning how far away I am from town boundaries. Ι 15 just quickly tried to look it up on Google Maps here --THE CHAIR: Well, I think, yeah, I mean, I 16 17 think, you know, really it was for Mr. Lewis. I think 18 he's made an assertion. 19 Perhaps in your closing, you can address, you 20 know, distances that you're aware of or clarify what 15:08 21 you want to clarify in terms of the distances. 22 So this is your opportunity to ask Mr. Lewis 23 question, but in your final, you might want to do that. 24 MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Sure. Yeah, then I don't have a 25 whole lot of questions, then, about anything that was

stated. 1 2 THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry. It's about process 3 again, right? MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: 4 Yeah. THE CHAIR: 5 Okay. Thank you. 6 Ms. Vance? 7 MS. VANCE: I don't have any questions. Thank 8 you. 9 THE CHAIR: Okay. And Mr. Kennedy? MR. KENNEDY: And I have no questions. 10 Thank 15:08 11 vou. Mr. Chair? 12 MR. KRIZSAN: Α. 13 THE CHAIR: Oh, yes. Sorry to interrupt. Shauna Finlay 14 Α. MR. KRIZSAN: 15 just emailed me, and she was -- she's got bumped out of the meeting. She'd like to get back in. 16 17 THE CHAIR: Ah, ves. Let's do that. Oh, there we are. Ms. Finlay, you're back. 18 19 MS. FINLAY: Sorry. Yes, Ms. Friend kindly let me back in, so thank you. 20 15:09 21 THE CHAIR: Okay. Perfect. Sorry about that. I'm not sure what happened, but -- oh, are you still 22 23 there? Is it all good? Okay. Perfect. Thanks. 24 Okay. And, Panel, any questions from the Panel? 25 MR. CEROICI: Just one question for Mr. Lewis.

198

D. LEWIS

Questioned by Mr. Ceroici

1			7
1	<u>MR.</u>	CEROICI QUESTIONS THE WITNESS:	
2	Q.	I'm just curious about in the RFR the RFR	
3		submission, Exhibit 10, he indicates that they are	
4		they they feed a large number now, probably close to	
5		1,000 and by adding another 2,000 I was wondering	
6		where the source of the 1,000 number.	
7	Α.	MR. LEWIS: I was talking about the water in	
8		that area. And there's already a calf feedlot in there	
9		that probably had approximately a thousand head	
10		already, and it's going to draw more on that same water	15:10
11		supply.	
12	Q.	Okay. So to be clear, you're referring to a different	
13		operation of a thousand?	
14	Α.	MR. LEWIS: Yes. It's different from this,	
15		but they're both side by side.	
16	Q.	Okay. Thanks for the clarification. That's all my	
17		questions.	
18	THE	CHAIR: Okay. Thanks, Mr. Ceroici.	
19		And, Ms. Roberts, did you have a follow-up?	
20	MS.	ROBERTS: I have no questions.	15:10
21	THE	CHAIR: Okay. And, Mr. Ceroici, you've	
22		asked the question I was thinking about as well, so	
23		thank you.	
24	(WI	TNESS STANDS DOWN)	
25	THE	CHAIR: Okay. Well, and I don't believe	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

199

there will be any redirect. I think I have that right, 1 Mr. Lewis. I think you had just the one quick question 2 3 from Mr. van Huigenbos. So I think we can move on 4 to -- and thank you very much for your participation 5 today, both Mr. and Mrs. Lewis. 6 Mr. Metheral, did you and Mr. van Huigenbos have 7 any rebuttal evidence that you want to present today? And if you do, we'll need to swear you back in. 8 MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: 9 No rebuttal. THE CHAIR: 10 Oh, okay. No rebuttal. 15:11 11 And, Mr. Metheral, that's for both parties? MR. METHERAL: 12 Sorry. This isn't the closing 13 statements? THE CHAIR: 14 No. 15 MR. METHERAL: Okay. No rebuttal. Thanks. THE CHAIR: 16 Okay. Thank you very much. 17 But now it is your turn again. So in closing 18 argument -- we can move to closing argument with 19 A&D Cattle, Mr. van Huigenbos and Mr. Metheral. 20 MR. METHERAL: We've accumulated some additional 15:12 21 thoughts and positions based on the back and forth that 22 we've seen. 23 Would the Board be able to give us -- give me ten 24 minutes to add some of these arguments to my closing 25 statement? Because I wasn't prepared -- I didn't know

what I didn't know. 1 2 THE CHAIR: And I think, you know, I mean, 3 we're kind of combining the previous section with this 4 one. And, Mr. Kennedy, if I've got this wrong, maybe 5 6 just step in, but, you know, the rebuttal evidence, you 7 know, there's an opportunity for you. It's really in response to what you've heard throughout the day. And 8 if that's largely what it is, I think this is your 9 opportunity and Mr. Kennedy. 10 15:12 11 MR. KENNEDY: Yeah, so rebuttal evidence is the 12 opportunity to advance evidence that would rebut the 13 evidence brought forward from other parties during the course of the hearing. And typically it's -- well, 14 15 it's -- in our proceedings or in our AOPA proceedings, it's not commonly used, because it's not -- folks don't 16 17 find it necessary. But clearly if you have -- you 18 think you need to rebut some of the evidence you've heard, this is your opportunity. 19 20 And then final argument is just that, is you don't 15:13 21 get to introduce new evidence. You can refer to the 22 evidence that has been introduced during the course of 23 the proceeding and advocate how you would like the 24 Board to consider that evidence and the outcome that 25 you would like to achieve through your participation.

Is that helpful? 1 MR. METHERAL: 2 I'm more focused on final 3 arguments and -- and having a bit of time to prepare --4 ten minutes to prepare for my final argument. Are we 5 talking about this now? THE CHAIR: 6 We are -- I think we are, you 7 know, on schedule --MR. METHERAL: (Indiscernible). 8 9 THE CHAIR: -- No, I think we are on -- we are on schedule, and I think it is appropriate to have a 10 15:14 11 bit of a break -- post lunch break, anyway. I have no 12 issue with taking, you know, until 3:30, give you a bit 13 of time, and then that will give everyone a bit of time 14 to kind of get their notes together. If there's no 15 objections, break until 3:30 and then complete the 16 hearing with closing arguments. Are there any 17 objections to doing that. MS. VANCE: 18 No objections here. 19 THE CHAIR: Okay. Let's break for just over 20 15 minutes and re-adjourn at 3:30. 15:14 21 (ADJOURNMENT) THE CHAIR: 22 Welcome back, everyone. 23 It is just past 3:30. I don't see Mr. Metheral, 24 he's first up, but I see Mr. van Huigenbos. Oh, there 25 we are.

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

presenting as well or Mr. Metheral? Or is it just you? MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: Yeah, it's probably going to be mostly Cody -- or Mr. Metheral. THE CHAIR: Okay. I see everybody's name plate is up, and I'm sort of making the assumption everybody is ready to roll and perfect. We kind of stick to these times, and you folks today have been really good with that, so I really do appreciate it. It really makes the day run smoothly, so thank you. Okay. That little flash is perfect. Thanks. Thanks, everyone. Okay, Mr. Metheral, closing arguments. MR. METHERAL: Great. This is what I've been

Okay, Mr. Metheral, closing arguments.
MR. METHERAL: Great. This is what I've been
looking forward to. Feels like a long day in this seat.

Okay. I would present some closing arguments, and
Mr. van Huigenbos would follow up with a small piece
also.

20 So, we would like to thank the Board and other 21 participants that have supported this Board review 22 today.

A&D Cattle started this application process about a year ago, and I know they are looking forward to a final decision on this issue.

So, Mr. van Huigenbos, are you going to be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15:35

In summary, A&D Cattle state the following: It's our position that this application was quite straightforward. It met all the technical and planning requirements that were submitted back in November of 2021. There were no changes to the application after November 30th, 2021.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

However, through no fault by A&D Cattle, the application saw unwarranted delays associated with the review process. Some of these delays were intentional and some perhaps not.

15:36

15:36

A&D Cattle believe the decision to delay public notice due to the NRCB office closure was inappropriate. NRCB office closure was at the end of December and into January of 2022. However, this policy delayed the review process and ultimately delayed the approval officer's decision.

17 It's our position there were 16 working days in 18 December prior to the NRCB office closure. The 19 approval officer justified the December delay, 20 suggesting it was important for staff to be available 21 and that field office be open in case there's public 22 review -- in case public review is warranted.

However, it's understood that the Lethbridge field office has been closed since 2020 due to COVID restrictions, and NRCB field office was not open in

December of 2021.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

While AOPA does require 20 days for public notice, it does state that these days need to be consecutive nor that the notice period be delayed to accommodate statutory holidays for government days off.

The approval officer and the director confirmed that the AOPA approval officer was working remotely and had all the tools he needed to communicate with the public. Therefore, A&D Cattle believe that the argument provided to delay this decision was not suitable.

During this hearing, we also heard new testimony that the approval officer had to accommodate the publication dates for the Fort Macleod Gazette. I believe Mr. Cumming mentioned that Friday was the deadline for the following Wednesday date publication.

In this -- in this case, A&D Cattle would accept
that the public notification could have been moved to
as early as December 8th. This calculates to 12
working days' delay in December.

21 Mr. Sonnenberg agreed that he could have met 22 publication dates in December; however, his main 23 argument was still based on the NRCB office closure 24 policy.

25

Equally important to Mr. van Huigenbos is that he

15:37

was not aware of the NRCB closure policy until it was mentioned to him in December. Given a deadline, Mr. van Huigenbos could have adjusted his submissions to meet any deadline given to him. But how should he have known this? As late as November 16th in his email, the approval officer was talking about proceeding with public notice. 0kav?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

24

25

A&D Cattle also believe there were delays during 8 9 the review process itself. For example, the approval 10 officer was required to be prompted in order to provide 15:39 11 statements of concern, and, again, checking on the 12 status of the application, there was a request for It's estimated there were over 13 additional information. 14 40 working days from January, February, and into March, 15 and yet it's as late as March 14th where we see the 16 approval officer is looking for more information.

17 A&D Cattle -- A&D Cattle was disappointed that the 18 approval officer was still collecting information that 19 could have been easily collected earlier.

20 A switch in gears, I've made an effort to better 21 understand the approval officer when he asked for an 22 internal review of his decision, and let me explain 23 why.

> I asked the Board to consider that the approval officer had already made his decision. It was an

205

approval, which was sent for internal review prior to the adoption of the IDP by the County. It was determined that this process review was initiated by March 16th, so it's likely that the approval officer had made his decision prior to that date.

1

2

3

4

5

I would like the Board to consider when the 6 7 approval officer actually made his decision. The issue date becomes less relevant because AOPA speaks about 8 9 when the approval officer determines if the MDP or other planning tools are considered. This logic would 10 11 determine that as the approval officer went through the 12 review process, he made his decision prior to March 16th. 13

14 Regarding the appropriateness of the IDP and CFO 15 exclusion zone, testimony was given that the CFO 16 exclusion zone is based on a negotiation between the 17 The distance from the town and town and county. 18 direction of the CFO exclusion zone was set based on 19 prevailing winds because of the potential for nuisance 20 from other CFOs. It was determined without scientific 21 merit or documentation; however, we know that AOPA 22 addresses nuisance and impact from neighbours.

23 Without clear, scientific reasoning, how can the 24 municipality reasonably adopt an exclusion zone that is 25 fair and appropriate?

206

15:40

In conclusion, the A&D Cattle would suggest that their feedlot application experienced unwarranted delays throughout the NRCB process and had these delays not occurred, it is likely that the application could have been assessed based on the MDP which was in place prior to March 9th.

In total, we estimate about 28 days of delay.
Perhaps these dates are up for negotiation, but the
point is, without the delays, it is possible that the
approval officer could have issued his decision in
February.

15:42

15:43

Further, had the approval officer been efficient,
as some of his other actions, the decision could have
been even earlier than that.

A&D looks forward to the Board's decision and
requests that the Board reverse the approval officer's
decision and it grant an approval for the site.

18Thank you. Thank you. I would pass -- I would19like to hear from Mr. van Huigenbos with his thoughts20as a final argument, too.

21 THE CHAIR: Mr. van Huigenbos.

22 MR. VAN HUIGENBOS: So a little bit of a background on 23 myself. I was born on a family farm northwest of Fort 24 Macleod. I lived there until we moved off in 2017 when 25 my dad sold the farm.

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

207

1

2

3

4

5

h		ส
1	On June 9, 2015, I broke my back, burst my spleen	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	C	
10		15:
11	In 2017, my dad sold the farm to my brother, and I	
12		
13		
14	farm a long ways, and it wasn't possible for me to	
15		
16	own place.	
17	I started driving around and looking at my	
18	neighbours' places and we found a farm, a neighbour or	
19	someone that wanted to sell, but all of a sudden he	
20	was looking to sell in spring, but all of a sudden he	15:
21	sold to his neighbour.	
22	In the spring of 2021, the NE 27-8-26-4 went up	
23	for bids. We ended up knowing that it had a	
24	potential for a small feedlot and that it was quite a	
25	ways away from town, we put in a bid that was well over	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

market value and ended up getting it. We spent some money to get the soil tested and engineered and waited a long time to get a decision. It was not easy for us to wait this long to get a decision, but it got harder after we found out it got denied.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

24

25

The denial was very hard on my wife and me since our second child is on the way and we live in an old small house that doesn't have room for a growing family.

10 Starting a feedlot would give us a good income and 15:45 11 then we'd be able to build a new house. This denial 12 made it feel like we had no more future and that we 13 would have to sell and move back to town. We had one 14 chance to get our permit and that was to have a Board 15 review, which we are having right now.

16 This costed a large sum of money to hire 17 Cody Metheral and many hours collecting information. 18 Between the denial and this Board review, there are 19 many days that I was frustrated, disappointed, 20 depressed, angry. I don't know what we'll do if we 21 don't get this permit. This application is for a small 22 feedlot and cannot be compared to a 25,000 head 23 feedlot.

15:46

According to the AOPA, the footprint of a 2,000 head feedlot is 500 metres, but the economical impact

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

h		1
1	of this would be a lot would be much greater. The	
2	surrounding land increases in value due to manure and	
3	demand. Everyone benefits when a small local business	
4	gets ahead. Thank you.	
5	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. van Huigenbos and	
6	Mr. Metheral. Much appreciated.	
7	Ms. Vance, do you have any closing arguments?	
8	MS. VANCE: I do. Thank you, Mr. Chair.	
9	And thank you to Mr. Metheral and	
10	Mr. van Huigenbos for your submissions in front of me.	15:47
11	As our written submission says, the NRCB Field	
12	Services and the approval officer aren't taking a	
13	position on the outcome or remedy of this hearing	
14	today, and I'll refer the Board to our written	
15	submissions, which is at Exhibit 21.	
16	I don't see any utility in repeating information	
17	that is already before the Board. And, for the most	
18	part, you will recall that our submission provides	
19	relevant information without taking a stand. And, of	
20	course, the one exception relates to the alleged delay,	15:48
21	which is a word that has been used in many different	
22	senses today.	
23	You've heard evidence from Mr. Sonnenberg on his	
24	recollection of how this application file unfolded.	
25	You've heard that he, in no way, deliberately,	

1	improperly, or unnecessarily delayed issuing this	
2	decision.	
3	Not only do approval officers handle multiple	
4	files at once, but, of course, many of the hiccups that	
5	may happen in a file are not entirely under their	
6	control either.	
7	Mr. Sonnenberg told us today that he was trying to	
8	get this one out the door. He told us he was working	
9	in the ordinary course. And I observed that he issued	
10	his decision within the 65-day working-day	15:49
11	performance goal that that we have internally here	
12	at the NRCB.	
13	Still on the issue of the timelines, I might just	
14	reply to A&D Cattle specifically.	
15	It was suggested that the approval officer made	
16	his decision on a date other than April 1st. I would	
17	say, first of all, that this is a brand-new argument	
18	that nobody has seen in this proceeding today. And I	
19	suppose I can just say that there is no evidence to	
20	support that. The evidence is that the approval	15:49
21	officer made his decision, issued it on April 1st.	
22	In relation to the holiday closure, I think that	
23	has been well covered in testimony. Of course, if the	
24	Board feels that the longstanding practice at the NRCB	
25	of not having public notice bridge that holiday	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

closure -- if that is no longer a valid or reasonable practice, we, of course, welcome guidance from the Board on how this can still protect the integrity of public notice and public input on which the NRCB so greatly relies.

1

2

3

4

5

24

25

6 There also appears to be some misunderstanding on 7 the part of Mr. van Huigenbos and perhaps even on the 8 part of the MD of Willow Creek as to the role of the 9 NRCB. And I think I can speak for our organization to 10 say that we do strive to get out there and provide 11 information on the NRCB, and we would be happy to 12 continue to do that.

The NRCB is a regulator. Our staff do their job 13 14 under AOPA, which is a statute, that governs their 15 The NRCB, as a regulator, is an independent actions. 16 arm's-length agency of the Government of Alberta. We 17 do not act or represent producers. We do not act for 18 or represent municipalities or environmental groups; we 19 simply do our job under AOPA.

20 And, finally, there was some suggestion that 21 Mr. Sonnenberg was not as efficient as he has been in 22 other files or maybe not as efficient as other approval 23 officers have been on other files.

A&D made this argument, and the MD of Willow Creek also argued that this file should have been processed

212

15:50

in the same manner and timeline as Double H. That was 1 2 the decision that they used. 3 And the two arguments -- the two decisions that 4 A&D cited, I would observe that one wasn't even issued 5 by this approval officer. 6 And it's not quite comparing apples to oranges, 7 but I'm thinking it would be like trying to find two apples that are exactly the same in an orchard full of 8 9 apples. This is really an impossible thing to do. The NRCB has issued thousands of decisions over the past 10 15:52 11 years and they are all different, I believe. 12 You've listened to Mr. Sonnenberg speak, and 13 Mr. Cumming as well, about how each file is different. 14 And you've also heard that he had a dozen or so files 15 on his desk at that time. 16 I submit that, given the evidence that is before 17 the Board, there is no merit to the suggestion that 18 there was unwarranted delay in this matter. Otherwise, 19 as stated before, we do not take a position on the 20 other issues under consideration. Thank you. 15:52 THE CHAIR: 21 Thank you, Ms. Vance. 22 Ms. Finlay, MD Willow Creek? MS. FINLAY: 23 Hello? 24 THE CHAIR: Hello. It's all working. 25 MS. FINLAY: So the MD of Willow Creek's

submissions on this matter are at Exhibit 20. And I
don't propose to read through those. The Board is
capable, and as the Chair has indicated, those
submissions have already been reviewed, and so I don't
propose to do that. But I do want to address a couple
of points and highlight a few points in our
submissions.

8 The first thing that I wanted to address relates 9 to Willow Creek's participation in this proceeding. 10 Municipalities may not have participated in these 11 proceedings in the same way as Willow Creek in this 12 proceeding, but there's nothing that precludes them 13 from doing so.

And, in fact, in other types of regulatory proceedings, municipalities do participate, and they do so to support in some cases their constituents. For instance, when there are routing decisions for power lines through certain areas, residents will often intervene, but sometimes so does the municipality.

20 So our submission is there's nothing inappropriate 21 or contrary to legislation or otherwise with respect to 22 Willow Creek intervening here.

Its concerns are limited, and they're limited to the process and, in part, part of what the review process allows the municipality to do is obtain more

23

24

25

information about that process and ask questions about 1 2 that process. 3 And the Willow Creek's position is narrow. Ιf 4 that delay -- or if any of the delays were in the 5 ordinary course or they're the delays that result from 6 ordinary processing, then Willow Creek takes no 7 position on the issuance of the approval. Specifically, it was concerned about intentional 8 9 delay resulting from the two things that are identified in paragraph 1, which are that it would have been 10 15:55 11 delayed by the pending approval of the IDP or that it 12 was delayed to account for the pending decision with 13 respect to Double H Feeders. 14 And so through these proceedings today, we've had 15 lots of evidence on the nature of any delays. And the 16 reality is there were some delays. The real issue is 17 were they inordinate? Were they unreasonable? And 18 this Board will have to determine if that's the case. 19 I think the other thing I really wanted to point

20 out and direct your attention to is the technical 21 document from the approval officer, which is an 22 attachment to the Willow Creek submissions.

And the only thing that I want to say about that is that I invite you to review it. As Ms. Vance just stated point, you review -- the NRCB receives many of

23

24

25

15:56

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

these applications. There are thousands of decisions on them. And, of course, you review these on a regular basis. And I think you are in a very good position to review that technical document and come to your own conclusion about how that review proceeded and when most of the review was done. And you're in a good position to determine, again, whether there's any suggestion or whether it's valid that there were delays that were inordinate.

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

So that's what I wanted to say about that.

The other point that I wanted to make about Willow Creek's participation is that, of course, these decisions -- and I believe that's why municipalities are affected parties and able to initiate reviews is because, of course, these decisions do have an impact on them and on the communities and ratepayers that reside within them.

18 And so Willow Creek understands that the NRCB is a 19 provincial regulator. They understand that. But those 20 decisions and those processes do have an impact on the 21 municipality, on what occurs in the municipality, and 22 it has an impact on the ability of its residents to 23 make a living. And that's not to say that in this 24 case, the application should go one way or the other. 25 That's the tough decision that you have to make.

15:56

But to suggest that the municipality has no interest in ensuring and understanding the process through which those decisions are made is not accurate. They do have an interest in that.

217

1

2

3

4

5 The last thing that I want to touch on very 6 briefly is the question of when the approval officer 7 should have considered compliance with the IDP. And what I want to say with respect to that is simply you 8 9 have our submissions on that. It is conceded that the decisions that we've referred to at least with respect 10 11 to the consideration of compliance with land use 12 bylaws, yes, there are decisions that suggest that 13 changes after an application has been made do not 14 apply.

But it's fully conceded that in the case of both Love v. Flagstaff and Boyd, both of those did deal with permitted uses or what uses they were permitted for a short period of time within which the application was made.

The reality is, at least with the work that I did, I could not find a comparable situation to this, and I would certainly submit that this isn't a circumstance where the applicant has a vested right as of the date that they make an application. It is a discretionary application, and an approval officer has to make a determination based on all the information that they have whether or not they're going to grant the application.

1

2

3

4 The only thing that I would highlight, though, is 5 the wording of Section 20, which is, of course, the 6 section of AOPA that requires the consideration of 7 compliance with an MDP. And, of course, it does say "MDP." I'm not going to go into the debate that 8 9 occurred during the hearing of the Double H Feeders I think an MDP does have to comply with an 10 decision. 11 IDP, and, therefore, I don't disagree with a finding 12 that says, effectively, when you've made an IDP 13 revision, your MDP is required to be compliant.

But when you look at how the review process is to occur, it does seem to suggest that that review process occurs before the application goes out to the public, because if it is non-compliant with the MDP, then it gets denied. And if it is compliant, then you go on with the public notice.

And so certainly one conclusion that this Board could come to is that that is the appropriate time to consider whether there's compliance with an IDP.

And other than that, I'm certainly to open to answering any questions, but those are our final arguments.

16:00

16:00

1 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Finlay. 2 We'll move to the town of Fort Macleod. 3 Ms. Agrios? MS. AGRIOS: 4 Thank you. And for the Board's 5 reference, the town submissions are found at 6 Exhibit 19, and that is primarily where I will be 7 referring to various pages in that submission. This development is within the CFO exclusion area 8 as identified in the town of Fort Macleod/MD of 9 Willow Creek intermunicipal development plan. Both 10 16:01 11 municipalities agreed to the CFO exclusion area on 12 November 19th of 2021. There was time required after 13 that date in order to implement the agreement, and so both the town and the MD gave first reading to the IDP 14 15 bylaw in late January of 2022. The town passed its IDP 16 bylaw February 28th of 2022, and the MD passed it on 17 March 9th of 2022. 18 Now, in Mr. van Huigenbos's submission -- so I'll 19 just give you the reference; it's at Exhibit 22, 20 page 6 -- he acknowledges that he was aware of the IDP 16:02 21 as of November 3rd. And according to his submission, 22 Joe Sonnenberg, approval officer, came for a site visit 23 and helped fill out the Part 2 application. 24 We also discussed the town of Fort Macleod and the

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

MD of Willow Creek's proposed IDP.

1	As stated I would like to see that this	
2	application gets a decision soon.	
3	So he was aware that there was an IDP coming as of	
4	early November and prior to submission of the Part 2	
5	application.	
6	In addition, as you heard, the draft IDP that	
7	references the CFO exclusion area, that was publicly	
8	posted to both the towns and the MD's website on or	
9	within days of November 19th of 2021. And, again, that	
10	showed the CFO exclusion area, which was ultimately	16:03
11	passed.	
12	And so it could not have been a surprise to the	
13	applicant that there was a CFO exclusion area that	
14	ultimately was adopted. I say "he." He was aware that	
15	something was coming early November, 2021. It was	
16	publicly made available in later November 2021 all	
17	prior to submission of the Part 2 application.	
18	As you also heard today, the IDP, in fact, had	
19	been in process going back to 2019, and the original	
20	versions of the IDP were proposing a much larger CFO	16:04
21	exclusion area. And you heard that the MD, in fact,	
22	had an open house going back to 2019.	
23	So, again, this was publicly known and publicly	
24	out there that there was a CFO exclusion area under	
25	discussion between the town of MD and had been for a	

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

number of years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

I want to address the arguments regarding timing and at what point in time -- or what bylaws the approval officer should consider and at what point in time. And these points are addressed in my submissions at paragraphs 33 to 41, and that starts at page 7 of the pdf Exhibit 19.

8 And so the starting point is that land use 9 decisions are made as of the date -- the bylaw is in 10 effect as of the date of the decision, not the date of 11 the application.

16:05

And I have cited cases to this effect
at paragraph 34, and those are found on pages 7 and 8
on the pdf at Exhibit 19.

In its submissions, the MD referenced the *Boyd* Builders case and made a suggestion that the decision should be based on the law enforced at the time of the application. *Boyd* is distinguishable from the present case. It did have very unique facts in *Boyd*.

To begin with, in *Boyd*, the new bylaw -- so the bylaw changed because of a process in place in Ontario for adoption of bylaws. The new bylaw actually wasn't even in effect at the time of the decision. So it wasn't in effect at the time of the application; it wasn't in effect at the time of the decision, so

16:05

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

The more important point for *Boyd* is there was an express finding of bad faith. And so in *Boyd*, the Court had found that the purpose of passing the bylaw was in order to defeat a particular -- that particular application.

In this case, we don't have those facts. There's no suggestion of bad faith with respect to the IDP. The IDP had been in process for years prior to this application. It was agreed to prior to the Part 2 application.

And an IDP is something that's mandated by the *Municipal Government Act*. So, in fact, what the town and MD were in the process of doing was following the requirements imposed on them by the *Municipal Government Act* to adopt an IDP.

17 The IDP had also cited the decision of
18 Love v. Flagstaff as a supporting -- again, citing that
19 decision to support that the decision should be based
20 on the bylaws as of the date of the application.

The MD, I submit, has misinterpreted the *Love* decision. So *Love* was dealing with a change in facts post application; it was not dealing with a change in the law. And so the *Love* decision, the Court found that a subsequent change in facts cannot defeat a 16:06

16:06

permitted use application, but it does not deal with 1 2 the impact of a subsequent change in the law. 3 And, of course, I've cited all the cases that say 4 with respect to changes in the law, it's the law in effect at the time the decision is rendered. 5 6 Lastly, and perhaps most importantly, is that both 7 the Boyd decision and the Love decision are based on permits that the applicant was entitled to as of right. 8 9 So the Court was able to find that the applicant's 10 rights crystalized when the application was made, 16:07 11 because as soon as the application is made, the applicant is entitled to a permit. 12 13 That is not the case with respect to an 14 application for an approval under AOPA. The approval 15 officer does have discretion whether to approve or 16 deny. And Ms. Finlay, I believe, quite fairly conceded 17 that an applicant under AOPA does not have a vested 18 right or a crystalized right as of the date of the 19 application. 20 And so, therefore, the usual law -- and that is 16:08 21 that the decision is based on the law in effect at the 22 time of the decision -- that is what's applicable. 23 So it's my submission that the approval officer 24 was correct to deny the approval based on the IDP CFO 25 exclusion area that was in effect as of the date of the

1 decision.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

And so we now come to the NRCB and what should the NRCB do, because, of course, this Board is not bound by the IDP. But, nevertheless, I submit this Board should follow the IDP unless there are sound reasons not to do so.

And you heard from both planners, Ms. Horvath and Mr. Scott, as to the planning rationale for the CFO exclusion area, and some of it is actually discussed in the IDP itself, some of it is discussed in the submissions.

16:09

And so as a starting point, the land in the south corner of the town is designated as future residential in the town's MDP. That is found at Exhibit 19, starts at page 138. The land use map is at page 139 -- these are the pdf page numbers -- 139, and there are the land use descriptions at page 140.

18 And so as a starting point, again in recognition 19 of the future planned residential within the town, and 20 as you've heard the prevailing winds, the CFO exclusion 16:09 21 area was confined to the southwest corner of the IDP 22 So the idea was to try to balance the needs plan area. 23 of agricultural users with residential uses. And so 24 when you look at the IDP, again as Mr. Scott indicated, 25 it did start with a complete -- a complete circle

AMICUS REPORTING GROUP - A Veritext Company

around the town but that was reduced, and so it was reduced again to the area where the future planned residential is within the town. It was based on the prevailing winds and, therefore, confined to just a portion of the IDP area.

1

2

3

4

5

6 The IDP recognizes existing CFOs, and it also 7 preserves existing policies for ILOs. The point is or 8 the purpose is to minimize conflicts and nuisances 9 between CFOs and residential uses. It does represent a 10 compromise reached between the town and the MD as to 11 reasonable restrictions for CFOs. And, as Mr. Scott 12 described it, it was a political negotiation.

As a planner, he would have recommended, or he did recommend, a larger area, but it was part of a political negotiation, and part of that negotiation and what you see in the IDP was there is an area designated as a CFO exclusion area, but that same area also now qualifies for municipal services from the town.

Now, this is important because there was -- and I
did hear some discussion regarding the highway -- or
the future planned highway and future development plans
in the MD.

Exhibit 19, page 32, that is where the servicing area is set out. And as you've heard, the introduction of services into the CFO exclusion area provides an 16:11

16:10

opportunity for future residential development. And so 1 2 this includes areas south of the future planned highway 3 as well because those areas also qualify for future 4 servicing. 5 And so given the potential for servicing as you've heard, there is an expectation for eventual residential 6 7 development in this area. So what you have is the town's MDP, future 8 9 residential development in the south corner of the town, and you also have now an IDP that provides for an 10 16:12 extension of services into the CFO exclusion area and 11 12 that provides an opportunity for future residential 13 development in the County -- sorry, in the MD as well. 14 And so based on the foregoing, the town's request 15 is that this Board uphold the approval officer's 16 decision to deny the application based on the property 17 being located within the CFO exclusion area. 18 I'd be happy to answer any questions. Those are 19 all my submissions. THE CHAIR: 20 Sorry about that. On mute. 16:13 21 Thank you, Ms. Agrios. 22 Do Mr. and Mrs. Conner have any closing argument? 23 MR. CONNER: Not much. More or less just to 24 touch on Ms. Agrios' town (verbatim), on the fact that 25 we believe if you were going to pay above market value

for land and do a bunch of research and put money in and invest, create a feedlot, that you would have initially talked with somebody at the MD. It's not like the IDP is a new process that's only been around for six months or a year; it's been around for a few years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

24

25

7 And so you think that you would have -- because, eventually, you'd need a development plan or something 8 9 with the MD to construct buildings and everything for a feedlot, that you would have been in initial 10 11 conversation where this IDP would have been broughten 12 up, and I think that would have saved a lot of 13 heartache. But that's kind of our only finishing 14 comment, I guess.

15 THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Conner.16 Mr. Lewis.

MR. LEWIS: Yes, I just want to comment as a
person who is going to be living out there, and what an
impact it's going to have on the community out there.

First concerns are our health, our air quality, our water quality, and quantity, the odour and dust, our property values. And the big thing is manure management.

Where we live, the land based for manure spreading will be to the north, the west, and the south of us.

16:14

16:14

1		1
1	So we're completely surrounded by land that's going to	
2	have manure on it eventually. And that's my concern,	
3	just as a person who is who is living out there and	
4	wants to stay living out there. Thank you.	
5	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Lewis.	
6	So, Mr. van Huigenbos, Mr. Metheral, you have an	
7	opportunity for reply to the arguments made after your	
8	submission on closing argument. Did you have anything	
9	in reply?	
10	MR. METHERAL: Yes, hi.	16:1
11	I do have a couple of quick comments.	
12	We do appreciate that the NRCB field staff have	
13	asked the Board to review the NRCB office closure	
14	policy. We are in a strong belief, and we will	
15	reiterate, this policy resulted in a direct delay of	
16	the approval process a review of this application,	
17	full stop.	
18	The NRCB provided justification for the policy,	
19	but A&D Cattle do not believe their argument has merit.	
20	The approval officer had all of the tools he	16:1
21	needed to do his job in December.	
22	A&D Cattle do appreciate the arguments from	
23	Ms. Finlay regarding when an approval officer should	
24	consider the appropriateness of an MDP. This topic was	
25	not really explored today in the hearing, but I think	

1 Ms. Vance will find the basic arguments in the County's 2 submission, Exhibit 20. 3 Like the NRCB office closure policy, we would 4 encourage the Board to fully explore when the approval 5 officer actually reviewed the MDP and issued his 6 decision. Perhaps it is before the issue date. Ι 7 would repeat that. We would encourage the Board to fully explore when the approval officer considered the 8 9 MDP. It is possible that it was prior to having the 10 team review the document through the internal review 16:17 11 process and not when it issued. 12 Ms. Agrios, I'm sure I said that wrong, would 13 suggest that Mr. van Huigenbos was aware of the IDP as being discussed, and that he should not be surprised by 14 15 the CFO exclusion zone. After three years of 16 negotiation, including a provincial tribunal with 17 multiple CFO exclusion zones and multiple IDP areas, neither the town nor the County's historic actions 18 19 would suggest that there was anything tangible from 20 A&D Cattle for them to consider. 16:18 21 I would conclude those comments for now. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIR: Very well. Thank you, 24 Mr. van Huigenbos and Mr. Metheral. 25 Well, that concludes the evidentiary

cross-examination, closing arguments, so, essentially, 1 2 the hearing process. I'd just like to close with a few comments and a 3 4 few thank yous, if you don't mind, and we are just 5 after quarter after 4. So one of my first thank yous 6 is for folks keeping focused and time so we could 7 complete the hearing in one day. And on behalf of the entire Panel, I would like to 8 9 thank everyone for their participation in the review 10 process. You know, no doubt, these are a little 16:19 11 intimidating and we heard a little bit of emotional 12 evidence given by -- or testimony given by 13 Mr. van Huigenbos, and so -- and one of the neighbours. 14 It is a formal process that you're not used to, not 15 comfortable with necessarily, so we really appreciate the fact you came out, you gave it your best and you 16 17 provided information for us that will be extremely 18 useful in reaching our decision. 19 Field Services, thank you for bringing 20 your -- well, for legal counsel. And, Mr. Cumming and 16:20 21 for Mr. Sonnenberg, much appreciate your submissions 22 and your evidence and cross-examinations today. 23 Ms. Kaminski and Taylor, I think you would agree 24 with me, once again, when exhibits were asked for by 25 page number, it's almost instantaneous. It's hard to

believe that there's actually human beings behind the 1 2 scenes doing this. It just seems like it's just a 3 computer generating this. So thank you very much to 4 our document managers. It really makes our job easier, 5 and it makes the hearing process run smoothly when we have the documents up on the screen so everybody can 6 7 see what we're referring to as we move along. So thank 8 you very much.

9 Ms. Vespa, once again, a great job on keeping me 10 in line and also the transcripts, which will be coming 11 out very shortly, but thank you very much for the hard 12 work on court reporting.

And also to Mr. Elhakim from MNP in ensuring that our Zoom virtual platform operated flawlessly today.

13

14

And on behalf of the Board, I would like to thank Ms. Friend and Mr. Kennedy. The hearing is arranged and works because of Ms. Friend's behind-the-scenes work. Thank you very much.

And, of course, the Panel relies heavily on
Mr. Kennedy and his questioning and also his advice
through the whole process for the Panel. So thank you
very much to both of you.

And as I've said, we have received a lot of helpful information through both direct evidence and through the cross-examinations. We will consider the

231

16:20

16:21

<pre>information in reaching our decision. We will provide a decision to all parties in written form with reasons. Our longstanding performance target I know we talked a lot about performance targets today, but for the Board is to issue it within 30 working days, which is roughly six weeks, and I see no reason that we won't issue our decision prior to that deadline on this file as well. So that brings us to the conclusion of the hearing. A big thanks once again to all of you folks for the participation in the hearing. We understand that it is you know, will be impactful for the community. We take it seriously, and we will be issuing our decision, as I say, within the six weeks. So thank you very much, and the hearing is now adjourned. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:22 P.M.) PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED</pre>			
Our longstanding performance target I know we talked a lot about performance targets today, but for the Board is to issue it within 30 working days, which is roughly six weeks, and I see no reason that we won't issue our decision prior to that deadline on this file as well. So that brings us to the conclusion of the hearing. A big thanks once again to all of you folks for the participation in the hearing. We understand that it is you know, will be impactful for the community. We take it seriously, and we will be issuing our decision, as I say, within the six weeks. So thank you very much, and the hearing is now adjourned. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:22 P.M.)		information in reaching our decision. We will provide	
<pre>talked a lot about performance targets today, but for the Board is to issue it within 30 working days, which is roughly six weeks, and I see no reason that we won't issue our decision prior to that deadline on this file as well. So that brings us to the conclusion of the hearing. A big thanks once again to all of you folks for the participation in the hearing. We understand that it is you know, will be impactful for the community. We take it seriously, and we will be issuing our decision, as I say, within the six weeks. So thank you very much, and the hearing is now adjourned. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:22 P.M.)</pre>		a decision to all parties in written form with reasons.	
<pre>the Board is to issue it within 30 working days, which is roughly six weeks, and I see no reason that we won't issue our decision prior to that deadline on this file as well. So that brings us to the conclusion of the hearing. A big thanks once again to all of you folks for the participation in the hearing. We understand that it is you know, will be impactful for the community. We take it seriously, and we will be issuing our decision, as I say, within the six weeks. So thank you very much, and the hearing is now adjourned. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:22 P.M.)</pre>		Our longstanding performance target I know we	
<pre>is roughly six weeks, and I see no reason that we won't issue our decision prior to that deadline on this file as well. So that brings us to the conclusion of the hearing. A big thanks once again to all of you folks for the participation in the hearing. We understand that it is you know, will be impactful for the community. We take it seriously, and we will be issuing our decision, as I say, within the six weeks. So thank you very much, and the hearing is now adjourned. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:22 P.M.)</pre>		talked a lot about performance targets today, but for	
issue our decision prior to that deadline on this file as well. So that brings us to the conclusion of the hearing. A big thanks once again to all of you folks for the participation in the hearing. We understand that it is you know, will be impactful for the community. We take it seriously, and we will be issuing our decision, as I say, within the six weeks. So thank you very much, and the hearing is now adjourned. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:22 P.M.)		the Board is to issue it within 30 working days, which	
as well. So that brings us to the conclusion of the hearing. A big thanks once again to all of you folks for the participation in the hearing. We understand that it is you know, will be impactful for the community. We take it seriously, and we will be issuing our decision, as I say, within the six weeks. So thank you very much, and the hearing is now adjourned. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:22 P.M.)		is roughly six weeks, and I see no reason that we won't	
So that brings us to the conclusion of the hearing. A big thanks once again to all of you folks for the participation in the hearing. We understand that it is you know, will be impactful for the community. We take it seriously, and we will be issuing our decision, as I say, within the six weeks. So thank you very much, and the hearing is now adjourned. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:22 P.M.)		issue our decision prior to that deadline on this file	
hearing. A big thanks once again to all of you folks for the participation in the hearing. We understand that it is you know, will be impactful for the community. We take it seriously, and we will be issuing our decision, as I say, within the six weeks. So thank you very much, and the hearing is now adjourned. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:22 P.M.)		as well.	
for the participation in the hearing. We understand that it is you know, will be impactful for the community. We take it seriously, and we will be issuing our decision, as I say, within the six weeks. So thank you very much, and the hearing is now adjourned. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:22 P.M.)		So that brings us to the conclusion of the	
<pre>that it is you know, will be impactful for the community. We take it seriously, and we will be issuing our decision, as I say, within the six weeks. So thank you very much, and the hearing is now adjourned. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:22 P.M.)</pre>		hearing. A big thanks once again to all of you folks	
community. We take it seriously, and we will be issuing our decision, as I say, within the six weeks. So thank you very much, and the hearing is now adjourned. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:22 P.M.)		for the participation in the hearing. We understand	
issuing our decision, as I say, within the six weeks. So thank you very much, and the hearing is now adjourned. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:22 P.M.)		that it is you know, will be impactful for the	
So thank you very much, and the hearing is now adjourned. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:22 P.M.)		community. We take it seriously, and we will be	
adjourned. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:22 P.M.)		issuing our decision, as I say, within the six weeks.	
(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:22 P.M.)		So thank you very much, and the hearing is now	
		adjourned.	
PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED	(P	ROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:22 P.M.)	
	PR	ROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED	

h

Certificate of Transcript We, the undersigned, hereby certify that the foregoing pages <u>1</u> to <u>233</u> are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by us in shorthand and transcribed from our shorthand notes to the best of our skill and ability. Dated at the City of Calgary, Province of Alberta, on July 7, 2022. "Lorelee Vespa" Lorelee Vespa, CSR(A) RPR CRR Official Court Reporter "Eveliene Symonds" Eveliene Symonds, CSR(A) Official Court Reporter

- INDEX-VOLUME 1 J. SONNENBERG, A. CUMMING (For NRCB Field Services) MS. VANCE EXAMINES THE PANEL MS. FINLAY CROSS-EXAMINES THE PANEL MR. METHERAL CROSS-EXAMINES THE PANEL MR. CEROICI QUESTIONS THE PANEL THE CHAIR QUESTIONS THE PANEL C. METHERAL, A. VAN HUIGENBOS (For A&D Cattle Ltd.) MR. METHERAL EXAMINES THE PANEL MS. VANCE CROSS-EXAMINES THE PANEL MR. KENNEDY QUESTIONS THE PANEL MS. ROBERTS QUESTIONS THE PANEL THE CHAIR QUESTIONS THE PANEL D. KRIZSAN, C. CHISHOLM, D. HORVATH (For MD of Willow Creek) MS. FINLAY EXAMINES THE PANEL MS. AGRIOS CROSS-EXAMINES THE PANEL MR. CONNER CROSS-EXAMINES THE PANEL MR. METHERAL CROSS-EXAMINES THE PANEL MS. VANCE CROSS-EXAMINES THE PANEL MR. KENNEDY QUESTIONS THE PANEL

1	MS. ROBERTS QUESTIONS THE PANEL	165
2	MR. CEROICI QUESTIONS THE PANEL	167
3	THE CHAIR QUESTIONS THE PANEL	169
4	MS. FINLAY RE-EXAMINES THE PANEL	170
5		
6	<u>A. PEDRO, K. SANFORD, G. SCOTT</u> (For Town of Fort	172
7	Macleod)	
8	MS. AGRIOS EXAMINES THE PANEL	172
9	MR. METHERAL CROSS-EXAMINES THE PANEL	177
10	MR. CEROICI QUESTIONS THE PANEL	183
11	MS. ROBERTS QUESTIONS THE PANEL	185
12	THE CHAIR QUESTIONS THE PANEL	186
13	MS. AGRIOS RE-EXAMINES THE PANEL	187
14		
15	<u>W. CONNER</u> (For Mr. and Mrs. Conner)	191
16		
17	<u>D. LEWIS</u> (For Mr. and Mrs. Lewis)	194
18	MR. CEROICI QUESTIONS THE WITNESS	198
19		
20	UNDERTAKINGS_GIVEN	
21	UNDERTAKING - To advise the date that	67
22	MR. SONNENBERG reviewed the application, the MDP,	
23	and when HE approved it for review (undertaking	
24	fulfilled at page 94)	
25		

т	175:24; 183:24;	59:23; 210:15	41 [1] - 221:6	
'21 [2] - 120:13	187:20; 189:11; 219:6; 221:7, 14;	21(3)(a [1] - 87:23 21st [6] - 26:24;	44 [2] - 184:2, 4 45 [2] - 131:22, 24	A
'22 [1] - 110:10	224:14; 225:23	98:17; 99:1, 4; 157:9,	4:22 [1] - 232:17	A&D [46] - 2:15; 3:5;
	19(4 [1] - 87:22	12	4th [2] - 9:11; 30:13	9:22; 11:20; 12:24;
0	1999 [1] - 104:20 19th [18] - 58:21;	22 [12] - 24:24; 62:6; 69:11; 70:17; 76:23;	5	14:17; 24:25; 28:2; 30:9; 38:17; 41:9;
04521 [1] - 135:18	105:17; 118:14; 138:1, 9, 16, 18;	77:23; 78:9; 81:9; 105:7; 129:5; 219:19	5 [9] - 13:21, 24;	47:23; 48:11; 58:15; 65:11, 21; 76:18;
1	145:8, 14, 22; 146:2,	22nd [7] - 30:11;	49:21; 59:24; 60:1;	95:8; 102:12; 104:13;
	8; 173:17, 25; 184:17;	140:2, 8; 149:23;	64:21; 107:25;	114:21; 127:1; 133:8;
1 [46] - 2:4; 6:21; 9:7; 19:22; 28:4, 19;	219:12; 220:9	164:10, 16; 165:8	116:10; 189:1	146:19, 22; 167:25;
	1:15 [4] - 131:25;	23 [1] - 23:23	500 [1] - 209:25	195:6; 199:19;
31:18; 33:1; 35:17;	132:4, 9, 12	23rd [6] - 106:19;	5:00 [1] - 13:24	202:23; 203:1, 7, 11;
38:18; 41:15; 52:6,	1:18 [1] - 133:5	107:8; 138:20; 139:1;	5th [16] - 26:5, 20;	204:9, 17; 205:8, 17;
10; 64:19; 69:8,	1st [8] - 33:7; 56:19; 65:17; 93:7; 110:11;	140:16; 149:8	33:7; 42:9; 44:18;	207:1, 15; 211:14;
21-22; 74:3; 77:23;		24th [12] - 25:12;	60:2, 17; 70:4, 7;	212:24; 213:4;
78:9, 12; 112:2;	126:12; 211:16, 21	39:4, 7; 42:20; 43:2,	87:12; 91:3; 98:19;	228:19, 22; 229:20
116:11, 13; 118:14;		24; 67:17; 76:2, 6;	99:2, 5; 107:21	A&D's [1] - 87:8
120:18, 21; 121:7, 15; 123:9; 125:18, 23;	2	92:1, 4, 6 25,000 [3] - 53:22;	6	a) [1] - 29:7 A.M [1] - 3:1
126:23; 133:1; 160:8; 163:14; 169:3; 195:1;	2 [42] - 9:13; 21:7; 29:7; 31:22; 32:6;	128:5; 209:22 26 [8] - 9:20; 15:14;	6 [5] - 3:12; 25:2;	abbreviated [1] - 38:12
215:10	35:21; 38:18; 42:3;	24:2; 25:1; 28:1;	78:2; 105:7; 219:20	ability [5] - 20:5;
1(a [1] - 159:15	44:7; 52:6; 54:22;	38:16; 69:8; 78:10	60 [1] - 6:19	23:9; 75:23; 155:19;
1,000 [3] - 122:1;	69:22; 81:8; 82:10,	27 [1] - 189:11	61 [1] - 112:24	216:22
198:5	23; 90:21; 112:9, 11;	27-8-26 [1] - 9:11	63 [3] - 145:6; 173:13	able [23] - 10:17;
1.5 [7] - 155:22, 24;	125:23; 126:23;	27-8-26 [1] - 9.11 27-8-26-4 [1] - 208:22	65 [13] - 21:5; 37:21;	19:4; 25:23; 27:2;
156:21; 178:17, 20;	137:14, 17, 20;	27th [1] - 39:8	40:4, 7, 17; 51:1;	30:2; 62:24; 66:23;
179:7	151:25; 152:3, 8, 10,		86:19; 112:24;	70:9; 80:22; 98:25;
1/2 [5] - 9:13; 123:9; 151:25; 152:3, 21	20-22; 159:9; 163:7, 14; 169:4; 184:7;	28 [2] - 187:21; 207:7 28th [5] - 39:8; 120:4: 472:0: 477:40;	145:17; 151:3; 161:13; 173:21	120:18; 122:4, 14, 17; 123:4; 133:14; 149:2;
1/2-mile [1] - 152:10	189:4; 219:23; 220:4,	139:4; 173:8; 177:19;	65-day [5] - 50:23;	158:8; 161:24;
10 [8] - 27:15; 49:21;	17; 222:10	219:16	51:4; 86:14, 20;	199:23; 209:11;
108:21; 131:19;	2,000 [11] - 9:15;	29th [6] - 9:25; 39:9,	211:10	216:14; 223:9
168:6; 198:3	53:13, 16; 121:22;	12; 72:2, 6; 92:18	6th [2] - 60:2, 18	abnormally [1] -
100 [3] - 58:4; 129:12	122:2, 7; 128:4;	2nd [4] - 28:20;	7	51:13
103 [1] - 174:7	181:4; 198:5; 209:24	42:21; 78:17; 126:12		absolutely [3] -
104 [1] - 39:24 10:13 [2] - 50:8	2.8 [3] - 182:6 20 [16] - 5:11; 19:8;	3	7 [7] - 2:5; 3:12;	45:13; 74:24; 76:10 accept [1] - 204:17
10th [6] - 57:23;	20:19; 34:23; 45:25;	3 [17] - 10:2; 17:9;	76:23; 108:23; 133:2;	acceptable [1] -
79:24; 80:2; 84:10;	53:22; 78:8; 87:25;		221:6, 13	188:22
109:13	88:9; 111:11; 159:9;	29:6; 62:16; 64:19;	7(11)(3 [2] - 87:20;	acceptance [1] -
11 [1] - 88:13	167:24; 204:2; 214:1;	79:22; 106:25;	90:1	183:1
11th [1] - 134:23	218:5; 229:2	118:15; 125:23;	75 [1] - 19:12	accepted [3] - 27:2,
12 [1] - 204:19	20-day [1] - 57:6	126:24; 127:6;	778 [1] - 174:4	6; 86:24
122 [1] - 6:22	20-plus [1] - 34:25	152:21; 169:25;	78 [2] - 146:3; 151:3	accepts [1] - 148:24
12:29 [1] - 132:10	2000s [3] - 40:11;	182:4; 189:3	780-720-2317 [1] -	access [2] - 100:13;
13 [2] - 60:2; 172:25	86:21; 89:7	3.1 [1] - 167:2	9:4	163:11
138 [1] - 224:15	2006 [1] - 4:19	3.8 [1] - 189:14	7th [8] - 28:21;	accident [3] - 48:22;
139 [2] - 224:15	2010 [1] - 4:3	30 [1] - 232:5	69:15; 70:4; 78:23;	208:9
14 [1] - 27:17	2015 [1] - 208:1	30th [5] - 5:4; 72:3,	109:13, 15; 140:18;	accommodate [8] -
140 [1] - 224:17 14th [9] - 55:23;	2017 [4] - 4:20; 35:2; 207:24; 208:11	25; 92:18; 203:6 31st [3] - 39:10, 12;	149:10	70:2; 122:20; 125:2, 24: 129:18: 153:1:
81:14; 140:20;	2019 [9] - 134:23;	92:18	8	204:4, 13
148:12, 23; 149:4, 11, 16; 205:15 15 [4] - 19:8; 173:4;	135:11; 152:7, 17; 174:3, 5, 11; 220:19, 22	32 [2] - 175:24; 225:23 33 [1] - 221:6	8 [3] - 3:13; 25:2; 221:13	accommodating [2] - 3:6; 50:12
191:7; 201:20	2020 [6] - 39:25;	34 [1] - 221:13	8,000 [1] - 181:6	accommodation [2] - 42:13; 129:21
15th [9] - 29:19;	57:23; 74:19; 93:23;	35 _[1] - 19:8	80 [1] - 161:12	accordance [2] -
32:14; 70:10, 12;	203:24	3:30 _[4] - 201:12, 15,	85 [5] - 37:21; 40:3,	138:6; 166:13
76:11; 88:20, 24-25;	2021 [29] - 39:25;	20, 23	16, 19; 161:11	according [4] - 89:1;
90:25	74:21; 93:18; 104:23;	3rd [26] - 29:12; 32:6;	8th [1] - 204:19	195:1; 209:24; 219:21
16 [6] - 27:17; 76:7, 9; 173:10; 203:17	106:21; 113:5; 118:22; 119:23; 120:10: 125:10:	39:5, 10; 42:4, 9; 43:3, 24; 44:8, 13, 15;	9	account [4] - 37:23; 40:12, 19; 215:12
16th [20] - 62:14;	120:19; 135:18;	67:17; 69:14; 70:1;	9 [12] - 9:18; 27:15;	accumulated [1] -
70:10, 12, 19; 71:15,	138:2; 139:15; 145:8,	76:1, 6; 81:2, 4;		199:20
20; 73:12; 81:10, 13;	19, 22; 148:10;	90:22; 92:1; 105:20;	46:1; 62:6; 69:12;	accurate [17] - 25:8;
84:10; 88:20; 90:16,	157:18; 173:17, 23,	107:11; 184:8; 219:21	70:17; 81:9; 144:12;	26:6; 28:13; 69:19;
18; 94:15; 96:8;	25; 203:5; 204:1;	4	168:6; 184:4; 208:1	75:25; 81:23; 87:3;
205:5; 206:4, 13	208:22; 219:12;		900 [1] - 6:21	90:5; 106:19; 107:4,
17 [4] - 17:10; 24:11, 13; 120:19	220:9, 15 2022 [19] - 2:5; 9:8,	4 [12] - 13:21; 23:16,	94 [1] - 67:12 9:00 [1] - 3:1	24; 110:15; 151:7, 10; 153:19; 177:19; 217:3
17th [7] - 58:8, 12;	18, 25; 10:2; 120:2;	23; 30:5, 9; 38:24;	9th [17] - 32:9; 58:24; 59:3; 85:13; 139:8;	accurately [1] -
59:2; 105:16; 119:8;	126:15; 133:2; 140:3;	78:2; 127:11; 129:5;		156:18
135:18; 148:10	148:12; 157:18;	166:15; 181:7; 230:5	140:10; 146:3, 8;	achieve [1] - 200:25
18th [1] - 119:9	165:9; 173:9, 12;	4,268 [1] - 181:5	148:6; 149:12; 150:2;	achieving [1] - 51:3
19 [16] - 137:13;	203:14; 219:15	40 [1] - 205:14	158:4; 173:12; 207:6;	acknowledge [1] -
145:6, 18; 146:4;	20th [1] - 138:16	403-620-8294 [1] -	219:17	3:11
151:2; 172:12, 24;	21 [5] - 23:14; 39:21;	5:16	-	acknowledgement

141 2:10	206:2; 221:22	144.25. 145.2.	92:13; 96:24; 97:12;	87:5, 8; 88:2, 6, 10;
[1] - 3:10 acknowledges [1] -	adoptions [1] - 148:2	144:25; 145:2; 171:21; 172:8, 22;	92.13, 96.24, 97.12, 101:13; 104:2, 5;	89:3, 22; 90:2, 10, 17,
219:20	Adrian [6] - 2:15, 19;	175:23; 184:13, 23,	114:10; 128:24;	21; 91:7; 93:3; 97:4,
acquired [1] - 4:11 acre [1] - 83:11	62:14; 70:21; 103:10; 171:24	25; 187:17, 19; 192:18; 196:7; 219:4	143:15; 144:19; 147:1; 150:22; 153:6;	9, 17, 23; 98:10; 99:2; 105:15; 106:13;
acreages [1] - 97:15	ads [1] - 136:17	Agrios [18] - 2:19;	158:22; 159:7;	107:2, 13; 108:9;
acres [1] - 82:12	advance [1] - 200:12	48:3; 114:25; 131:4,	175:20; 176:25;	111:25; 112:20;
act [2] - 212:17	advantage [1] - 13:6	18; 144:24; 146:11;	177:22; 178:8;	113:14; 114:4; 116:4,
Act [4] - 138:6; 161:8; 222:13, 16	advertisement [1] - 74:14	171:19, 22; 177:1; 187:15; 190:8, 14;	181:18; 182:17; 185:23; 226:18	9, 17; 117:13; 119:3; 120:18; 121:7; 129:2;
acting [1] - 4:14	advertising [1] -	192:17; 196:6; 219:3;	answered [14] -	141:3; 147:9; 150:10;
action [1] - 84:7	185:8	226:21; 229:12	59:22; 66:8; 90:8, 14;	155:10; 159:24;
actions [3] - 207:13; 212:15; 229:18	advice [2] - 119:2; 231:20	Agrios' [1] - 226:24 ahead [3] - 139:5;	91:11; 92:10, 22; 113:20; 130:17;	160:19, 24; 161:3; 163:8, 10, 21; 164:5;
activities [6] - 36:9;	ADVISE [1] - 67:8	194:20; 210:4	158:21; 169:13;	167:25; 168:18, 21;
105:11; 127:6;	advise [1] - 102:13 advised [3] - 24:14;	ahold [4] - 9:4; 75:14, 19; 119:11	180:25; 182:17; 186:24	181:3; 184:7; 190:20; 191:2; 202:23; 203:2,
170:13; 183:2; 191:12 actual [7] - 6:16;	118:22	aim [1] - 103:3	answering [3] -	5, 8; 205:12; 207:2, 4;
55:13; 76:17, 21;	advisor [1] - 179:19	air [5] - 45:13; 83:18,	103:25; 165:6; 218:24	209:21; 210:24;
84:17 add [12] - 15:3; 32:1;	advocate [1] - 200:23	21; 84:6; 227:20 airport [1] - 155:8	answers [2] - 22:6; 95:17	216:24; 217:13, 18, 24-25; 218:3, 16;
80:10; 95:18; 98:9;	advocating [1] -	Alberta [14] - 4:12,	anyway [9] - 34:8;	219:23; 220:2, 5, 17;
106:23; 122:4;	160:1	23; 26:8; 39:13, 15;	76:15; 92:24; 96:3, 7;	221:11, 18, 24; 222:6,
127:16; 128:22; 130:16; 143:17;	aerial [1] - 83:16 Affairs [1] - 135:14	108:3; 153:21; 155:1; 162:15; 166:8;	106:22; 114:19; 191:15; 201:11	10-11, 20, 23; 223:1, 10-11, 14, 19; 226:16;
199:24	affect [3] - 123:24;	169:12; 175:13;	AOPA [40] - 19:20;	228:16
added [4] - 14:25;	140:12; 161:3	178:3; 212:16	20:14; 32:14; 35:24;	APPLICATION [1] -
19:1; 81:2; 123:9 adding [2] - 128:15;	affected [14] - 9:22; 10:2; 11:21; 14:18;	alert [1] - 48:14 all-encompassing	54:21; 55:3, 15; 59:6, 12; 61:13, 17; 65:1, 3,	67:10 applications [43] -
198:5	85:5, 10, 14, 19, 24;	[1] - 166:21	16; 74:14; 82:3;	18:25; 19:1, 10;
addition [2] - 19:2;	87:24; 106:11; 136:6;	alleged [2] - 33:3;	87:23; 89:12; 112:22;	30:24; 34:19; 35:1, 7;
220:6 additional [14] -	191:13; 216:14 affirm [1] - 192:2	210:20 allow [4] - 74:5;	127:11; 142:9; 175:14; 180:3, 6, 8;	36:2, 7, 14; 37:17, 22, 24; 38:6, 9; 40:12, 20;
37:7, 11-12; 44:7, 17;	affirmed [10] - 11:24;	143:24; 156:25; 195:5	181:2; 182:12, 14-15;	51:4, 9; 53:25; 56:15;
71:1, 6; 98:4; 138:12,	17:19, 22; 34:14;	allowance [1] - 35:19	200:15; 204:2, 7;	60:9; 61:17; 72:16;
21; 144:4, 17; 199:20; 205:13	104:14; 133:23; 172:7; 191:4; 194:12	allowances [1] - 60:12	206:8, 21; 209:24; 212:14, 19; 218:6;	79:6; 81:20; 87:22; 97:20; 98:7; 161:6,
address [15] - 11:14;	afternoon [2] - 7:16;	allowed [5] - 11:1;	223:14, 17	12, 23; 162:25;
15:8; 131:8, 10;	140:8	74:8; 121:19; 125:6;	apologize [2] -	163:14, 20; 164:7;
134:13; 136:5; 139:21; 150:23;	agencies [2] - 38:1; 166:7	208:4 allowing [1] - 121:7	87:17; 141:25 appear [4] - 27:16;	166:13; 168:9, 13; 169:4; 216:1
154:8; 174:18;	agency [2] - 138:22;	allows [3] - 99:10;	46:14; 72:11; 77:6	applied [4] - 18:22;
183:23; 196:19;	212:16	142:9; 214:25	appeared [4] - 32:8;	118:9, 13; 135:13
214:5, 8; 221:2 addressed [2] -	ago [2] - 38:17; 202:24	almost [2] - 124:7; 230:25	147:6, 8; 169:4 apples [3] - 213:6, 8	apply [3] - 87:24; 122:1; 217:14
183:19; 221:5	agree [18] - 42:12;	alternatively [1] - 9:3	applicable [4] - 58:6;	appointed [1] - 4:19
addresses [4] - 85:8; 134:24; 180:3; 206:22	47:6; 52:16; 58:9; 64:24; 76:8; 77:5;	amend [1] - 138:24 amendment [2] -	61:3; 222:1; 223:22 applicant [25] -	appointment [1] - 4:20
adjacent [1] - 191:14	78:17, 25; 82:3;	138:25; 139:18	19:23; 20:1; 24:13,	appreciate [13] - 3:6;
adjourn [1] - 201:20	89:21, 24; 91:17;	amendments [9] -	16; 26:9; 35:18, 20;	8:12; 50:19; 114:15;
ADJOURNED [3] - 132:10, 12; 232:17	96:5; 110:4; 116:4, 14; 230:23	18:21; 138:21; 139:7, 10; 152:16; 158:2;	36:15; 37:8; 40:21; 44:18; 72:13; 78:22;	145:25; 177:14; 189:16; 190:15;
adjourned [1] -	agreeable [1] - 66:25	165:24; 166:3; 174:1	82:19; 84:19; 160:18;	202:9; 228:12, 22;
232:16	agreed [10] - 143:13;	Amicus [1] - 7:10	163:18; 164:11, 16;	230:15, 21
ADJOURNMENT [2] - 49:24; 201:21	150:8; 173:23; 184:17, 20; 185:4;	amount [3] - 45:8, 16; 82:12	217:23; 220:13; 223:8, 12, 17	appreciated [2] - 91:22; 210:6
adjusted [1] - 205:3	187:3; 204:21;	ample [5] - 163:7,	applicant's [4] -	approach [3] - 88:7;
administrative [1] - 99:8	219:11; 222:10 agreed-to [1] - 187:3	10; 164:13; 182:12; 186:7	20:22; 36:1; 92:17; 223:9	127:21, 23
admins [1] - 63:6	agreement [13] -	analysis [1] - 97:19	applicants [2] -	appropriate [14] - 10:13; 91:13; 111:6;
admit [1] - 80:15	70:4; 123:25; 137:25;	AND [1] - 67:10	79:14; 162:24	127:12; 131:2; 136:7;
adopt [6] - 15:10, 16; 139:5; 149:2; 206:24;	138:14; 143:23; 145:9; 173:18; 184:1,	Andy [10] - 2:14; 6:1; 14:24; 15:3; 17:17;	application [167] - 5:23; 6:2; 9:14, 16;	152:2; 155:12, 24; 166:20; 183:1;
222:16	6; 185:7, 11, 13;	23:2; 56:15; 110:22;	18:19, 21; 19:16, 18,	201:10; 206:25;
adopted [24] - 58:13,	219:13	188:24	21; 20:2, 6; 21:8,	218:21
23; 59:1; 86:25; 119:14, 17; 138:3, 5;	agrees [3] - 140:19; 148:25; 149:18	angry [1] - 209:20 animal [1] - 166:25	18-19; 22:7, 19; 29:11, 13-14, 23;	appropriately [1] - 158:21
139:12, 16, 20; 140:9,	agricultural [6] - 4:2;	animals [2] - 19:1;	31:23; 35:16, 21;	appropriateness [2]
23; 146:3; 148:4, 18; 149:20; 156:7; 173:2;	127:6; 156:12; 182:22; 183:2; 224:23	183:5 annexation [1] -	36:21, 24; 37:1, 3, 6, 11; 40:5, 18; 41:23;	- 206:14; 228:24 approvable [1] -
174:13; 177:19;	agriculturally [1] -	186:6	42:3; 44:7, 9, 19;	142:10
184:23; 189:9; 220:14	144:3	annexations [1] -	45:4, 17; 47:8; 51:21;	Approval [2] - 28:19;
adopting [2] - 15:18; 166:6	agriculturally- related [1] - 144:3	187:7 annual [1] - 40:1	52:6, 15; 54:6, 9; 55:13; 56:4, 13, 21,	78:11 approval [120] - 3:4;
adoption [18] -	Agriculture [3] -	annually [1] - 51:5	24; 57:8, 10; 58:2, 15;	4:3; 5:23; 6:1; 9:8, 14,
58:13; 110:10;	4:23; 93:6; 119:18	answer [37] - 11:2;	59:6, 8-9, 13, 18;	25; 14:16; 15:15;
140:13; 141:7, 9, 11; 146:8; 148:1, 19;	agriculture [1] - 130:5	17:15; 18:10; 19:4, 9; 20:4; 42:23; 51:20;	61:19; 64:22; 65:9, 13; 68:23; 72:18,	16:4, 9, 24; 17:6, 8, 14; 18:14; 19:10, 14;
150:1, 3; 164:3;	AGRIOS [18] - 48:4;	66:8; 68:22; 75:21,	21-22; 73:8, 10;	31:20; 33:18; 35:7,
173:8, 12, 15; 174:11;	115:1; 131:19; 132:3;	23; 77:20; 91:20;	77:24; 81:16; 82:2;	13, 25; 36:2, 5, 14,

$\begin{array}{c} 21-22; \ 37:2, \ 6, \ 16, \ 22;\\ 38:3, \ 14; \ 51:17;\\ 56:15, \ 24; \ 57:11, \ 13, \ 24; \ 65:8; \ 66:20;\\ 78:15; \ 87:1, \ 14;\\ 88:1-3; \ 94:10, \ 18;\\ 100:19; \ 108:10;\\ 109:21; \ 110:12;\\ 112:18; \ 113:2, \ 13, \ 20, \ 23; \ 117:15; \ 121:20;\\ 141:4; \ 148:22;\\ 149:14; \ 154:25;\\ 159:12, \ 17, \ 23; \ 160:1, \ 5, \ 12; \ 161:6, \ 19;\\ 163:15; \ 165:2;\\ 166:12; \ 183:6;\\ 203:16, \ 19; \ 204:6, \ 13;\\ 205:6, \ 9, \ 16, \ 18, \ 21, \ 207:10, \ 12, \ 16-17; \end{array}$	204:10, 23; 207:20; 211:17; 212:24; 226:22; 228:8, 19 arguments [13] - 199:24; 201:3, 16; 202:13, 17; 210:7; 213:3; 218:25; 221:2; 228:7, 22; 229:1; 230:1 arise [2] - 95:11; 161:17 arisen [1] - 169:2 arising [1] - 95:8 arm's [1] - 95:8 arm's [1] - 212:16 arm's-length [1] - 212:16 arranged [1] - 213:16 arrival [1] - 135:10 arrival [5] - 86:20;	203:20; 220:16 Avenue [1] - 93:7 average [1] - 35:10 aviation [1] - 155:9 avoid [1] - 175:18 avoided [1] - 84:12 aware [23] - 67:25; 81:4; 106:6; 110:7, 9; 114:2; 122:23; 124:12; 138:20; 153:16; 163:13; 164:6, 9; 165:15, 17; 168:22; 170:16; 196:20; 205:1; 219:20; 220:3, 14; 229:13 awareness [1] - 105:22 B	$\begin{array}{c} 108:11; \ 126:11, \ 14\\ \textbf{begins}\ [1]\ -\ 42:3\\ \textbf{begun}\ [1]\ -\ 122:23\\ \textbf{behalf}\ [11]\ -\ 52:23;\\ 105:8; \ 171:23;\\ 176:24; \ 183:13;\\ 186:7; \ 190:6; \ 230:8;\\ 231:15\\ \textbf{behind}\ [5]\ -\ 45:12;\\ 50:1; \ 141:20; \ 231:1,\\ 17\\ \textbf{behind-the-scenes}\\ [1]\ -\ 231:17\\ \textbf{beings}\ [1]\ -\ 231:1\\ \textbf{belings}\ [1]\ -\ 231:1\\ \textbf{belief}\ [2]\ -\ 180:10;\\ 228:14\\ \textbf{believes}\ [1]\ -\ 11:18\\ \textbf{below}\ [1]\ -\ 11:18\\ \textbf{benefit}\ [3]\ -\ 26:12;\\ \end{array}$	blank [1] - 48:13 blatantly [1] - 55:9 block [1] - 32:9 blows [1] - 195:12 blunt [1] - 22:5 Board [73] - 2:1; 3:21; 4:14; 5:3, 7; 10:24; 11:18; 12:9, 12; 17:5, 10; 18:3, 7, 20; 19:17; 24:8; 27:4, 6; 33:10, 23; 41:1; 47:23; 53:8; 57:18; 58:4, 8; 59:2; 66:10; 103:20; 104:4; 105:1; 114:8, 11; 121:20; 122:11; 127:1, 18; 129:8; 135:15, 19, 21; 148:13; 150:11; 159:25; 160:17, 21; 194:3; 199:23;
20:10; 21:3, 15, 20; 210:12; 21:3, 15, 20; 212:22; 213:5; 215:7, 11, 21; 217:6, 25; 219:22; 221:4; 223:14, 23-24; 226:15; 228:16, 20, 23; 229:4, 8 approvals [9] - 18:24; 22:24; 40:14; 51:10; 87:16, 21; 88:12; 166:18 approve [2] - 148:20; 223:15 APPROVED [1] - 67:11 approved [11] - 21:4; 65:10, 13; 107:13; 110:8; 119:3; 127:3;	anive [3] -00.20; 155:23; 156:16, 21 aside [6] - 18:22; 21:11; 24:19; 29:25; 53:3; 74:12 aspect [2] - 42:15; 175:22 assertion [1] - 196:18 assessed [1] - 207:5 assessment [2] - 4:10; 20:25 assist [2] - 18:20; 88:11 assistance [3] - 51:23; 81:18; 83:15 associated [2] - 164:5; 203:8	b) [1] - 38:24 background [6] - 4:21; 11:12; 21:24; 22:1; 159:20; 207:22 backgrounds [2] - 3:19, 22 backing [1] - 146:19 bad [4] - 80:17; 183:7; 222:3, 8 bails [1] - 192:10 balance [2] - 156:11; 224:22 ball [3] - 19:21; 26:13; 108:7 ballpark [1] - 19:5 barn [1] - 19:2	142:13; 161:22 benefits [2] - 104:4; 210:3 best [21] - 11:2, 9; 20:4; 21:13; 23:9; 24:9; 29:17; 32:12; 37:23; 54:23; 55:6; 61:8; 73:21; 76:12; 103:20; 116:20; 134:6; 156:10; 159:7; 168:19; 230:16 bestcrase [2] - 32:12; 168:19 better [11] - 45:1; 54:25; 60:6; 66:18; 87:15; 91:6; 94:23; 134:3; 157:4; 205:20	200:24; 202:20; 205:24; 202:20; 205:24; 206:6; 207:16; 209:14, 18; 210:14, 17; 211:24; 212:3; 213:17; 214:2; 215:18; 218:20; 224:3; 226:15; 228:13; 229:4, 7; 231:15; 232:5 Board's [14] - 3:6; 22:12; 64:21; 88:16; 91:18; 93:1; 159:23; 160:11; 172:14; 173:1, 5; 174:15; 207:15; 219:4 born [2] - 104:20; 207:23 bottom [3] - 62:8;
128:2; 129:2; 155:11; 161:13 April [22] - 9:7, 20, 25; 33:7; 56:19; 61:24; 65:17; 84:25; 110:11; 116:11, 13; 140:18, 20; 148:12, 23; 149:4, 10-11, 16; 211:16, 21 area [71] - 4:24; 56:7; 83:18; 104:19; 124:25; 128:10; 129:3, 7; 136:6; 141:16; 142:22; 146:6; 151:6, 20, 23; 153:1; 154:10; 156:4; 157:13; 167:8; 172:17; 174:3, 19; 176:2, 7-8, 14;	assume [1] - 131:2 assuming [2] - 37:2; 97:23 assumption [2] - 95:12; 202:6 assure [1] - 7:1 AT [5] - 3:1; 67:12; 132:10; 133:5; 232:17 attachment [3] - 6:19; 215:22 attachments [2] - 6:18; 172:14 attempting [1] - 67:1 attend [4] - 124:13, 15, 20 attendance [1] - 157:25 attended [1] - 126:8 attending [1] - 34:14	base [6] - 20:3; 82:4, 7; 83:5, 24; 109:24 based [44] - 12:18; 13:7; 29:16; 51:14; 83:6; 84:6; 86:22; 89:4; 98:24; 107:2; 114:3; 130:20; 147:5; 149:15; 164:17; 168:8, 24; 169:13; 171:10; 174:1; 178:20; 180:8, 12-13; 187:16; 188:1; 190:9; 199:21; 204:23; 206:16, 18; 207:5; 218:1; 221:17; 222:19; 223:7, 21, 24; 225:3; 226:14, 16; 227:24	between [33] - 13:21; 21:6; 28:15; 42:9; 43:24; 102:22; 106:7; 112:6; 135:7; 138:9, 13, 15; 141:19; 143:22; 144:4, 9; 146:2, 7; 156:15; 157:15; 165:9; 169:16; 173:18; 174:11; 176:11; 185:6; 186:5; 206:16; 209:18; 220:25; 225:9 beyond [3] - 43:6; 166:25; 188:25 bid [2] - 121:4; 208:25 bids [1] - 208:23 bigs [5] - 122:9; 123:9, 17; 227:22;	173:6 bought [3] - 104:23; 123:2, 20 bound [1] - 224:3 boundaries [6] - 123:9; 144:13; 186:8; 187:6; 195:2; 196:14 boundary [29] - 124:1; 129:9; 151:5, 10; 152:4, 9, 13-14, 18, 20-22, 24; 169:21-23; 170:5, 8; 175:10; 176:6; 178:10, 12, 18; 181:18; 182:9, 24; 186:9; 188:6; 189:9 box [1] - 190:21 boxes [1] - 46:4 Boxing [1] - 39:9
178:15; 181:1, 9, 12, 15, 17; 184:1, 18, 20; 187:21, 25; 188:2, 4, 11, 17; 189:19; 198:8; 219:8, 11; 220:7, 10, 13, 21, 24; 223:25; 224:9, 21-22; 225:2, 5, 14, 16-17, 24-25; 226:7, 11, 17 Area(1) - 175:25 areas(15] - 3:17; 81:13, 23-24; 84:3, 5; 141:17; 154:5; 155:13; 176:3; 214:18; 226:2; 229:17 argue(1) - 89:3 argued [2] - 128:14; 212:25 argues(1) - 128:14 argument(17] - 13:4;	attention [5] - 42:2; 172:15; 174:16; 194:3; 215:20 AUC [1] - 155:9 auction [2] - 182:23; 183:4 August [1] - 51:18 authority [2] - 162:12, 18 authorization [2] - 57:12 authorizations [3] - 18:24; 40:13; 51:10 automatically [1] - 85:18 availability [1] - 63:5 available [20] - 15:19; 18:10; 24:2; 45:6; 61:25; 71:7; 77:19; 79:17; 82:1,	basic [2] - 26:17; 229:1 basing [1] - 114:7 basis [4] - 100:14; 174:24; 184:15; 216:3 battle [1] - 123:6 bear [2] - 91:15; 173:20 bearing [1] - 64:9 beast [1] - 174:24 beat [1] - 73:18 became [5] - 110:9; 135:21; 138:20; 148:14; 164:9 become [4] - 110:7; 122:8; 164:4; 208:15 becomes [1] - 206:8 beef [5] - 4:18; 9:15; 53:13; 121:22 begin [7] - 3:8; 7:16;	232:10 bigger [1] - 18:25 Bill [3] - 5:4, 6; 160:7 Bill's [1] - 167:20 bio (1] - 48:20 bit [53] - 11:6; 17:25; 19:11; 21:6; 22:2; 23:22; 29:1; 32:25; 33:3, 8; 35:15; 36:6; 38:8, 14, 19; 48:22; 50:1, 11, 20; 51:16; 59:7; 71:4; 75:16; 80:21; 82:14; 89:19; 97:13; 100:6; 102:3; 105:8; 106:14; 110:18, 23; 111:23; 116:7; 123:6; 124:7; 132:7; 137:19; 161:14; 162:4; 167:1, 20; 168:2; 178:5;	Boyd [8] - 217:16; 221:15, 18-20; 222:2; 223:7 brace [1] - 208:4 brand [1] - 211:17 brand-new [1] - 211:17 break [24] - 11:5; 13:17; 41:14; 48:20; 49:12; 67:6; 89:9, 13, 16-18; 91:2; 101:25; 102:21; 103:6; 111:15; 114:18; 131:2, 22; 201:11, 15, 19 breakout [1] - 132:5 breaks [4] - 13:12, 18; 50:10 bridge [2] - 23:3; 211:25
92:23; 95:1; 150:24; 184:14; 199:18; 200:20; 201:4;	15; 83:11, 22; 114:9; 131:15; 137:5, 20; 172:18; 176:25;	11:16; 41:5; 50:13; 97:11; 221:20 beginning [3] -	194:16, 19; 201:3, 11-13; 207:22; 230:11 blame [1] - 112:22	brief [2] - 11:10; 34:11 briefly [7] - 3:21, 24;

4

NRCB LA21037, Volume 1, July 7, 2022

14.25. 27.14. 21.10.	coroful (4) 209:10	167:11. 23: 169:17:	169-15	167:0
14:25; 27:14; 31:19; 172:13; 217:6	careful [1] - 208:10		168:15	167:9
	Carolyn [2] - 2:11; 6:9	170:6, 14; 172:17;	chambers [1] - 134:23	clarified [3] - 53:4;
bring [9] - 15:13; 23:14; 24:22; 31:4,		174:2, 18; 176:3, 12; 178:6; 179:25; 181:3,	chance [8] - 8:11;	65:14; 78:16
18; 44:25; 178:25;	carries [1] - 184:2 Carstairs [1] - 4:4	10, 15; 182:1, 9, 13,	12:3, 17; 25:23; 28:6;	clarify [21] - 12:9; 26:22; 27:11; 29:22;
179:21; 194:23	case [28] - 5:16;	25; 184:1, 6, 18-19;	79:19; 108:6; 209:14	38:22; 50:21; 53:12;
bringing [1] - 230:19	21:14; 29:17; 32:12;	185:11; 186:2;	change [8] - 13:14;	54:14; 58:19; 59:3;
brings [1] - 232:9	63:16; 76:12; 80:20;	187:21, 24; 188:2, 4,	72:3; 98:9; 143:3;	62:7; 67:16; 86:10;
broke [1] - 208:1	81:17; 82:24; 84:4, 7;	11, 16; 189:13, 19;	222:22, 25; 223:2	109:6; 117:7; 150:4;
brother [5] - 117:19,	85:19, 23; 143:21;	190:19; 206:14, 18;	changed [7] - 34:24;	181:16; 187:22;
25; 118:10; 208:11,	163:6; 166:8; 168:19;	219:8, 11; 220:7, 10,	81:2; 98:7; 146:7;	188:1: 196:20
13	192:4; 203:21;	13, 20, 24; 223:24;	157:14; 167:2; 221:21	clarifying [2] - 28:11;
brothers [3] - 118:2,	204:17; 215:18;	224:8, 20; 225:17, 25;	changes [15] - 29:13;	63:25
11, 20	216:24; 217:15;	226:11, 17; 229:15,	105:24, 107:1, 7;	clarity [2] - 16:23;
brought [4] - 85:12;	221:16, 19; 222:7;	17	138:10; 146:1;	161:19
137:13; 154:11;	223:13	CFOs [16] - 121:19,	157:15; 158:9, 20, 24;	classification [2] -
200:13	cases [4] - 85:24;	24; 130:4; 143:21;	167:12; 203:5;	82:4; 83:7
broughten [1] -	214:16; 221:12; 223:3	144:4, 7; 155:25;	217:13; 223:4	clear [17] - 21:7;
227:11	catch [1] - 54:15	174:25; 179:22;	changing [1] -	25:20; 27:14; 29:15;
bucket [1] - 208:2	Category [1] - 181:7	180:4; 187:3; 206:20;	157:21	32:5; 45:7; 47:18;
build [4] - 19:24;	Cattle [37] - 2:15;	225:6, 9, 11	channel [1] - 8:20	66:4; 90:1; 141:1;
181:14, 19; 209:11	3:5; 9:22; 11:20;	CHAIR [179] - 3:2;	characterizing [1] -	150:2; 154:21;
Builders [1] - 221:16	12:24; 14:17; 24:25;	7:23; 8:4; 14:5; 15:2;	158:19	161:20; 167:2;
building [5] - 75:18; 93:8, 10; 187:11	28:2; 38:17; 41:9;	16:10, 15, 17, 20;	chat [1] - 110:21 check [4] - 55:7;	193:22; 198:12; 206:23
	47:23; 48:11; 65:21; 76:18; 95:8; 102:12;	34:6, 10, 12; 41:3;	149:6; 152:18; 171:2	clearer [1] - 77:13
buildings [2] - 100:4; 227:9	104:13; 114:21;	47:14, 17; 48:5, 8, 11, 17, 21; 49:3, 6, 9, 16,	checking [2] - 79:15;	clearly [8] - 8:9;
built [1] - 181:21	133:8: 146:19:	18, 25; 65:23; 66:6,	205:11	26:2; 47:24; 186:5;
bulk [1] - 55:19	167:25; 199:19;	13, 16, 23; 67:7, 13;	chief [1] - 5:24	188:5; 200:17; 222:1
Bullet [6] - 24:11;	202:23; 203:1, 7, 11;	69:3, 7; 77:25; 78:3;	child [1] - 209:7	client [1] - 106:9
28:18; 29:6; 184:2, 4	204:9, 17; 205:8, 17;	86:11; 88:15; 91:9,	CHISHOLM [8] -	client's [1] - 93:2
bullet [4] - 53:4;	207:1; 211:14;	16, 92:9, 94:3, 7, 16,	133:22; 134:2, 6, 8,	clients [2] - 100:18,
60:2; 81:11; 127:5	228:19, 22; 229:20	20, 24; 95:5, 12, 20,	21; 165:8, 14, 17	21
bumped [1] - 197:15	Cattle's [1] - 58:15	23; 96:10, 13, 16;	Chisholm [4] - 2:18;	climatologist [1] -
bunch [1] - 227:1	caught [1] - 31:15	98:13, 15; 101:20, 24;	133:19, 25; 165:6	179:4
burst [1] - 208:1	cell [6] - 9:3; 50:4, 6,	102:11, 18; 103:3, 13,	choice [1] - 11:24	clock [1] - 99:9
business [5] - 20:19;	8	24, 104:6, 9, 16,	chose [1] - 87:11	close [12] - 4:18;
21:5; 22:20; 74:25; 210:3	cellphone [1] - 75:14 Centre [1] - 93:6	114:13; 115:2, 7, 13,	Christmas [24] - 29:20, 25; 39:3, 7-8,	31:18; 39:12; 71:12; 109:20; 123:14;
busy [6] - 33:8, 16;	centuries [1] - 3:14	16, 18, 22, 24; 117:2; 120:5, 9; 126:4, 6;	10, 14; 41:14; 68:19;	128:11; 143:20;
51:9, 12-13, 15	CEO [1] - 4:19	128:21; 130:23, 25;	71:24; 84:22; 89:9,	144:8; 166:9; 198:4;
but [2] - 146:25;	Ceroici [13] - 2:7;	131:17, 20; 132:4;	16-18; 91:2; 92:7, 11;	230:3
191:15	4:6; 96:16, 24; 98:25;	133:6, 14, 17, 24;	99:14; 101:4, 10, 12;	closed [7] - 39:4;
but [1] - 68:4	120:6; 167:16; 169:8;	134:4, 7; 144:22;	111:16	43:2; 74:19; 95:1;
button [1] - 115:19	183:20; 193:14;	146:11; 147:16, 18,	chronology [14] -	101:7; 203:24
buy [1] - 104:22	198:18, 21	20, 23; 158:15; 159:2;	23:20; 24:8; 28:5-7;	closely [1] - 82:24
bylaw [13] - 61:14;	CEROICI [14] -	165:20; 167:16;	38:15, 19-20; 39:6,	closest [1] - 182:6
138:18; 139:11;	96:17, 19; 120:7;	169:8, 10; 170:17, 21;	19; 47:7; 78:15;	closing [13] - 95:1;
152:15; 155:15;	167:17, 19; 169:7;	171:9, 17; 172:20;	120:20	196:19; 199:12,
185:8; 219:15; 221:9, 20-22; 222:4	183:21; 184:21, 24; 185:14; 193:15;	177:1, 6, 8, 10, 13, 25; 180:15; 183:12,	Chronology [2] - 28:19; 78:11	17-18, 24; 201:16; 202:13, 17; 210:7;
bylaws [7] - 157:24;	197:25; 198:1	16, 20; 185:16;	cindy [1] - 2:18	226:22; 228:8; 230:1
158:7; 185:12;	certain [16] - 10:12;	186:19; 187:15;	Cindy [6] - 133:19;	closure [43] - 30:1;
217:12; 221:3, 22;	35:3; 44:21; 53:23;	190:8, 12, 17; 191:1,	134:15; 143:16;	32:2, 15; 38:25; 39:2,
222:20	82:12; 115:7; 139:6;	16, 20, 25; 192:10,	144:19; 152:17; 165:6	10, 14; 42:14, 19;
bypass [1] - 153:21	140:12, 23; 148:17;	16, 19, 25; 193:4, 7,	circle [1] - 224:25	43:2, 6; 65:20; 67:15,
	155:16-18; 176:14;	9, 12, 14, 17, 21, 25;	circulate [2] - 166:7;	22-23; 68:5; 70:3;
С	214:18	194:8, 15; 195:3, 13,	190:1	71:24; 74:4; 77:1;
eeleulete w. 101.0	certainly [20] - 35:6,	18, 24; 196:2, 6, 8,	circulated [2] -	84:22; 91:25; 92:11,
calculate [1] - 181:3	14; 37:19; 48:23;	12, 16; 197:2, 5, 9,	66:20; 94:17	16; 98:23; 99:15;
calculates [1] - 204:19	51:24; 63:11; 103:20; 104:5; 142:25; 147:1;	13, 17, 21; 198:18, 21, 25; 199:10, 14,	circulation [2] - 57:1; 174:2	101:4, 11-12; 110:19; 111:21; 203:12, 18;
calculation [3] -	150:23; 161:21;	16; 200:2; 201:6, 9,	circumstance [1] -	204:23; 205:12; 10;
82:16; 83:6, 13	175:11, 16; 186:6;	19, 22; 202:5; 207:21;	217:22	211:22; 212:1;
calculations [4] -	188:20; 189:7;	210:5; 213:21, 24;	circumstances [1] -	228:13; 229:3
52:7; 82:7; 83:16;	217:22; 218:20, 23	219:1; 226:20;	164:2	closures [1] - 68:11
86:22	cetera [2] - 152:16;	227:15; 228:5; 229:23	cited [4] - 213:4;	clunky [1] - 167:1
calendar [2] - 32:8;	168:16	Chair [24] - 2:6; 4:20;	221:12; 222:17; 223:3	Cody [3] - 2:15;
73:15	CFO [91] - 9:10, 15;	8:2; 14:23; 48:18;	citing [1] - 222:18	202:4; 209:17
calf [1] - 198:8	19:24; 21:18, 20, 22;	50:15; 94:5; 96:12,	Citizen [1] - 50:1	cognitive [1] - 71:24
camera [2] - 178:24;	53:17, 19; 85:17;	15; 120:4; 126:2;	citizens [1] - 161:23	cold [1] - 130:25
194:18	123:1; 124:25; 125:7;	128:19; 158:14;	city [1] - 152:4	collaborate [1] - 47:4
cannot [3] - 96:3; 209:22; 222:25	127:7, 13; 128:15; 129:7, 9, 18; 130:16;	165:19; 167:15; 176:23; 183:11;	clarification [16] - 35:4; 38:15; 63:4;	colleagues [2] - 65:7 collected [3] - 84:11,
capabilities [1] -	141:16; 142:11, 16,	185:15; 186:18;	66:9; 74:23; 76:13;	14; 205:19
176:17	21; 143:12, 19;	193:13; 194:6;	80:11, 23; 86:13;	collecting [2] -
capable [2] - 103:22;	144:15; 146:5, 17;	197:12; 210:8; 214:3	158:24; 166:2, 12, 22;	205:18; 209:17
214:3	151:15; 153:17;	chairing [1] - 3:3	170:3; 183:21; 198:16	College [1] - 4:5
capturing [1] - 175:8	154:2, 10; 155:13;	challenge [1] - 59:16	clarifications [4] -	comb [1] - 55:8
care [1] - 192:8	157:13, 21; 159:23;	challenges [1] -	18:9; 25:11; 30:6;	comb-through [1] -

11

55.8 combining [1] -200.3comfortable 151 -10:16; 11:8; 52:24; 75:9; 230:15 coming [17] - 3:11; 6:4; 18:1; 20:20; 36:8, 10; 48:12; 72:18; 99:2; 102:14; 106:6; 139:7; 160:1; 189:24; 220:3, 15; 231:10 COMMENCED [1] -3.1 **comment** [13] -25:24; 46:4; 77:15; 79:17; 114:15; 162:18; 163:16; 166:1; 167:21; 175:21; 176:1; 227:14, 17 commentary [4] -45:19, 22; 139:23 commented [1] -166:1 commenting [1] -185:1 comments [16] -46:13, 17; 47:1; 76:23; 81:7; 107:22; 119:23; 130:15; 142:3; 157:24; 158:1, 8; 165:24; 228:11; 229:21; 230:3 commercial [3] 130:6; 154:6; 189:23 Commission [10] -2:7-11; 155:1; 162:15; 172:3 commitments [1] -13:23 committed [1] -13:19 common [2] · 159:21; 175:12 commonly [1] -200.16communicate [3] -75:11; 158:7; 204:8 communicated [1] -77:13 communicating [1] -75:5 communication [2] -79:25; 110:6 communications [5] 8:25; 51:25; 52:1; 100:21; 164:20 communities [13] -135:12; 136:4; 138:13, 15; 141:11; 149:10; 150:6, 8; 154:8; 156:3, 8; 175:15; 216:16 community [7] 10:9; 57:1; 144:11; 156:12, 24; 227:19; 232:13 comparable [1] -217.21 compare [1] - 168:13 compared [3] -59:12, 127:25, 209:22 comparing [2] -55:14; 213:6 compensate [1] -81:14 compile [1] - 79:4 complete [48] · 12:14; 13:24; 20:16;

21:9: 28:16: 29:15. 22: 32:13: 36:22: 37:22; 40:6, 18; 44:19; 54:21; 56:21; 57:2, 5, 8, 14; 70:6; 72:22; 73:3; 74:6; 87:5, 9, 12; 88:3, 7; 90:2, 10; 93:24; 96:22; 97:4, 10, 17; 99:3, 9; 105:10; 107:8; 109:18; 112:10; 116:9; 121:10; 149:2; 201:15; 224:25; 230:7 completed [14] -12:23; 29:12, 23; 55:12; 70:13; 72:21, 24; 74:6; 105:14; 106:23; 107:1; 112:23; 133:7; 138:10 completely [1] -228:1 completeness [4] -30:25; 44:9; 96:20; 112:19 completing [1] - 63:2 complex [2] - 168:1, complexities [2] -168:21: 169:2 complexity [1] -36.13 compliance [4] · 217:7, 11; 218:7, 22 compliant [3] -218:13, 17 comply [1] - 218:10 compromise [1] -225:10 compromises [1] -143:2 computer [2] -70:22; 231:3 conceded [3] · 217:9, 15; 223:16 concentration [1] -144:10 concept [1] - 186:12 conceptual [1] -153:20 concern [25] - 25:18; 26:6, 18; 31:4; 77:2; 78:17; 79:11; 107:19; 108:3; 109:7, 15; 110:15; 121:23; 122:7; 147:13; 160:16, 22; 161:2; 2:1 162:1, 19, 22; 163:5; 164:12; 205:11; 228:2 concerned [4] -65:16; 147:11; 164:4; 215:8 concerning [2] -122:16; 196:14 concerns [13] - 61:6; 68:22; 108:4; 155:8; 163:2; 164:19; 165:3; 191:8, 15; 192:21; 194:21; 214:23; 227.20 conclude [5] - 86:7; 94:2; 158:13; 183:10; 229.21 CONCLUDED [1] -232.19concluded [1] -138:16 concludes [2] -190:6; 229:25 concluding [1] -

148.11 conclusion [10] -28:21; 68:4; 150:19; 156:16; 163:9, 12; 207:1; 216:5; 218:20; 232.9conditions [1] -156:24 conducted [2] -138:17; 139:4 confident [2] - 84:2; 112:14 confined [11] - 9:10; 36:16; 141:22; 142:4; 162:20; 166:17, 23; 167:4, 7; 224:21; 225:4 confines [1] - 166:9 **confirm** [21] - 14:7; 47:13; 65:22; 79:24; 86:14; 88:17; 93:4; 105:9, 15, 19; 139:14; 145:7, 20; 146:6; 148:1; 151:15; 152:3, 8; 157:7; 177:18; 186 2 confirmed [3] -62:12; 107:17; 204:6 conflict [2] - 144:4; 170.14conflicts [1] - 225:8 connected [1] - 5:19 connection [3] -135:25; 136:11, 13 CONNER [18] - 48:7; 115:5, 15, 20; 146:13; 147:17; 177:7; 190:25; 191:4, 17, 24; 192:23; 193:20, 24; 196:11; 226:23 Conner [16] - 2:21; 10:3; 14:19; 47:23; 48:6; 115:3, 14; 146:12; 177:6; 190:17, 24; 191:4; 192:13; 193:18; 226:22; 227:15 Conners [2] - 193:2; 196.9 CONNOR [1] -115:17 consecutive [2] -111:12; 204:3 consensus [1] -168.16Conservation [1] consider [20] - 12:1: 17:1: 31:5: 61:12. 16: 82:17; 88:4; 125:22; 129:8: 138:18: 148:3: 157:19; 200:24; 205:24; 206:6; 218:22: 221:4 228:24; 229:20; 231:25 consideration [8] -144:15; 148:18; 160:11; 161:2; 186:13; 213:20; 217:11; 218:6 considerations [5] -61:14; 142:15; 143:9, 11, 14 considered [16] -22:5; 57:25; 78:25; 97:16, 18; 139:10, 19; 141:18; 143:18, 24; 144:16; 158:2; 185:25; 206:10;

217:7: 229:8 considering [4] -73:8; 111:6; 122:24; 168:20 consistent [3] 64:23; 113:14; 163:2 consists [1] - 35:16 constituents [1] -214:16 construct [3] - 9:15; 36:16; 227:9 constructed [1] -153:18 construction [1] -154.22 consultation [1] -152:13 consuming [2] -22:2: 26:11 contact [3] - 52:3; 109:6; 136:19 contacted [4] 24:14, 16; 53:5; 164:11 contain [1] - 35:22 contained [2] -88:13; 149:9 contamination [1] -191:9 content [1] - 55:4 context [4] - 16:23; 17:15, 25; 69:18 contingent [1] -62.19 continually [1] -138:14 continue [6] - 67:13; 74:18; 113:10; 128:25; 142:1; 212:12 continues [2] - 43:3; 161:25 contradict [1] - 77:7 contrary [1] - 214:21 control [13] - 27:8; 32:21; 35:13, 25; 36:1, 4, 15; 37:16; 38:3, 7; 114:5; 211:6 controlling [1] -175.14convenient [1] -13:13 conversation [10] -26:25; 60:22; 119:22; 120:1, 139:24; 140:6, 21: 149:22: 227:11 conversations [1] -188:23 copied [1] - 94:13 copy [1] - 96:6 corner [3] - 224:13, 21: 226:9 corrals [1] - 106:23 correct [32] - 39:1; 41:20, 24; 43:17, 24; 45:4, 20; 69:9; 71:3; 120:14; 127:14; 135:22; 140:4; 145:10, 15, 24; 146:9; 151:8, 11; 152:5; 157:16; 158:25; 167:11; 168:23; 169:19; 177:20; 182:2; 184:22; 185:1; 187:1; 223:24 corrected [1] - 91:25 **correctly** [3] - 119:3; 137:10; 188:15 correlated [1] -76.20 correspondence [13]

64:5; 70:25; 80:1; 95:19; 137:3; 148:23; 149:8, 10, 15-16; 150:1; 173:14; 174:8 costed [1] - 209:16 costly [1] - 162:25 costs [2] - 122:11, 13 couch [1] - 16:23 council [19] - 126:21; 134:22; 147:3, 6; 157:24; 158:1; 164:18; 168:16; 170:25; 171:7; 178:24; 179:8, 12; 180:21; 186:13 councillor [2] - 4:4; $125 \cdot 10$ councillors [1] -180:10 councils [2] 151:18; 176:11 counsel [10] - 5:3; 10:20, 22; 12:13; 14:24; 16:8; 41:2; 56:16; 171:22; 230:20 Counsel [1] - 2:8 count [1] - 70:10 countdown [5] -57:6; 87:2, 7, 9, 13 country [1] - 142:6 County [5] - 61:5, 18; 127:8; 206:2; 226.13 county [4] - 93:7; 105:24; 186:1; 206:17 county's [1] - 106:1 County's [3] - 60:25; 229:1, 18 couple [17] - 21:14; 25:11; 28:23; 78:21; 85:1; 98:13; 99:15; 117:6; 126:5; 131:10; 134:13; 147:24; 159:6; 165:24; 194:23; 214:5; 228:11 **course** [27] - 18:9; 61:23; 62:3; 127:1; 131:17; 143:1 157:22; 161:16; 162:9; 164:8; 172:18; 200:14, 22; 210:20; 211:4, 9, 23; 212:2; 215:5; 216:2, 12, 15; 218:5, 7; 223:3; 224:3; 231:19 Court [5] - 2:23; 104:9; 222:4, 24; 223.9 **court** [7] - 7:9; 8:7, 11; 11:25; 103:15; 231:12 COURT [9] - 8:2; 104:11; 177:21, 24; 185:18; 192:8; 194:6, 10.13 courtesy [3] - 20:17; 26:10; 98:18 cover [3] - 91:2; 145:18; 174:23 covered [1] - 211:23 covering [1] - 176:3 COVID [3] - 74:17; 100:25: 203:24 crazy [1] - 124:7 create [1] - 227:2 created [1] - 181:5 creating [1] - 119:2 Creek [41] - 2:16-18; 9:12, 21; 11:20;

11.17. 22.17. 20.12.	207.25. 209.11	205.2. 220.21	97.2 6 11 14. 90.15.	206-11-215-19-216-7
14:17; 22:17; 30:13;	207:25; 208:11	205:2; 228:21	87:2, 6, 11, 14; 89:15;	206:11; 215:18; 216:7
41:6; 47:22; 58:18;	data [1] - 100:13	decent [1] - 79:19	90:17; 111:3, 5;	determined [7] -
59:25; 60:3; 73:5;	database [1] - 63:23	decide [2] - 63:8;	159:13; 160:10;	20:15; 44:14; 85:14;
114:20, 24; 118:4;	date [62] - 21:13;	97:17	174:10; 180:16;	180:1, 11; 206:3, 20
119:5; 133:8, 11, 23;	25:12; 29:16; 39:8;	decided [3] - 67:18;	203:11, 19; 204:10,	determines [2] -
135:7; 159:16;	40:5, 18; 43:13; 57:2;	106:22; 142:23	20; 207:7; 210:20;	160:17; 206:9
163:24; 171:6;	58:9; 62:25; 64:1, 16;	decision [108] - 10:8;	213:18; 215:4, 9;	develop [6] - 86:23;
181:25; 188:8;	65:12, 14-16; 66:19;	11:9; 17:4, 6, 9-10;	228:15	128:8, 11; 170:12;
192:14; 212:8, 24;	67:1; 70:5; 73:23;	21:1, 4; 22:9, 12;	delayed [18] - 37:25;	181:10, 12
213:22; 214:11, 22;	87:5, 11, 14; 88:4, 6,	23:4; 27:7; 28:17;	38:2; 40:20; 68:10;	developed [1] -
215:6, 22; 216:18;	20, 23; 89:21, 23;	30:10; 31:20; 39:14;	76:9; 89:3, 22, 25;	40:10
219:10	90:1, 9; 94:9; 95:10,	41:17, 19, 24; 45:7,	91:6; 92:16, 22; 93:2;	developing [1] -
Creek's [5] - 213:25;	13, 16; 96:7; 98:22;	17; 54:12, 18; 57:18;	203:15; 204:4; 211:1;	186:1
214:9; 215:3; 216:12;	138:19; 141:9; 148:3,	58:1; 61:8; 62:15;	215:11	development [60] -
219:25	19; 149:6; 150:3;	63:11, 15, 17; 64:11,	delaying [1] - 117:16	4:8, 11, 22; 9:17;
critical [1] - 180:17	173:8, 12; 177:18;	20; 69:13, 20; 77:6;	delays [19] - 22:11;	61:13, 16, 19; 64:13,
crop [1] - 4:21	204:16; 206:5, 8;	78:20; 89:14, 20, 25;	31:8; 55:10; 65:20;	16, 23; 105:23; 106:1;
crops [1] - 4:23	211:16; 217:23;	90:20; 94:17; 105:1;	110:3, 20; 164:14;	113:3; 118:24;
cross [7] - 5:20;	219:13; 221:9;	109:20; 110:3, 11, 16;	203:8; 205:8; 207:3,	127:18, 21-22, 25;
12:11, 19; 134:12;	222:20; 223:18, 25;	111:5; 118:6; 121:21;	9; 215:4, 15-16; 216:8	129:3, 19; 135:7;
	229:6			
230:1, 22; 231:25	229.0 DATE (1) 67.9	135:16; 146:22;	deliberately [1] -	142:6; 143:20; 144:2;
CROSS [8] - 41:11;	DATE [1] - 67:8	149:25; 159:12;	210:25	145:19; 152:13, 15,
50:14; 116:2; 145:2;	dated [6] - 9:7; 25:1;	160:5, 11, 21; 162:1;	deliberations [1] -	24; 154:23; 155:3, 7,
146:13; 147:21;	140:18; 149:3, 8;	164:9; 171:5; 202:25;	95:25	16-18; 156:12; 161:6;
158:18; 177:11	184:7	203:11, 16; 204:10;	delighted [1] - 5:5	166:16; 170:5; 172:1;
cross-examination	dates [36] - 28:15;	205:22, 25; 206:5, 7,	delineate [2] - 84:2,	176:18; 181:9, 12, 15,
[4] - 12:11, 19; 134:12;	29:4; 30:1, 17; 32:17,	12; 207:10, 13, 15,	5	17; 184:11; 186:23;
230:1	21; 43:6, 12; 45:20,	17; 209:3; 211:2, 10,	delineated [1] -	187:5; 189:19; 219:8,
cross-	22; 46:17; 47:1;	16, 21; 213:2; 215:12;	83:18	10; 225:21; 226:1, 7,
examinations [2] -	58:19; 67:23; 68:3, 5;	216:25; 218:10;	deliverables [1] -	9, 13; 227:8
230:22: 231:25	71:25; 73:1, 22; 89:2;	220:2; 221:10, 16, 23,	50:22	developments [6] -
CROSS-EXAMINES	90:11; 92:3; 93:13;	25; 222:17, 19, 22,	delivered [4] - 30:10;	127:25; 129:25;
[8] - 41:11; 50:14;	99:7; 149:12-14, 17,	24; 223:5, 7, 21-22;	52:8, 16; 102:5	155:14; 162:13;
116:2; 145:2; 146:13;	21; 161:8; 173:7, 11;	224:1; 226:16; 229:6;		181:8, 20
			delivery [1] - 86:14	
147:21; 158:18;	204:14, 22; 207:8	230:18; 232:1, 7, 14	demand [1] - 210:3	develops [1] -
177:11	day-by-day [1] - 18:6	Decision [2] - 3:5;	denial [9] - 112:22;	123:13
CRR [1] - 2:23	day-to-day [1] - 38:5	9:7	113:1, 12, 24; 119:13,	Devon [1] - 4:18
crucial [1] - 59:18	days [44] - 20:19;	decision-making [1]	20; 209:6, 11, 18	diane [1] - 2:17
crystalized [2] -	21:5, 14; 22:20;	- 45:7	denied [7] - 9:14;	Diane [5] - 133:11,
223:10, 18	28:23; 37:21; 40:4, 7;	decisions [20] -	21:4; 116:13; 119:7;	20; 143:8; 144:19;
CSR(A [2] - 2:23	51:1; 71:22; 73:25;	37:20; 40:4, 17;	209:5; 218:18	174:23
cultivated [3] - 81:8,	76:7, 9; 78:21; 79:8;	56:12; 58:4; 86:5;	deny [5] - 159:12;	different [19] - 21:8,
12, 15	84:18; 85:1; 86:19;	188:7; 213:3, 10;	160:12; 223:16, 24;	18, 22; 27:23; 29:23;
CUMMING [39] -	87:25; 88:9; 92:11,	214:17; 216:1, 13, 15,	226:16	30:23, 25; 40:12;
17:21; 34:18, 21, 24;	19-20, 111.6, 12,	20; 217:3, 10, 12;	depressed [1] -	46:21; 60:18; 100:12;
35:14; 38:21, 23;	14-15; 112:24;	221:9	209:20	154:9; 161:14; 176:3;
39:13; 40:9; 42:23;	161:13; 195:12, 21;	deck [1] - 31:14	depth [3] - 20:24;	198:12, 14, 210:21;
43:1; 86:16, 21; 87:4,	203:17; 204:2, 5;	declares [1] - 35:17	21:23; 56:10	213:11, 13
15; 88:14, 21; 89:5,	205:14; 207:7; 208:3;	decommissioned [1]	Derrick [14] - 2:17;	differently [1] -
24; 90:6, 13, 19; 92:2,	209:19; 220:9; 232:5	- 80:13	110:10; 118:23;	101:6
5; 93:6, 9, 12, 16, 19, 21, 25: 96:23: 97:1	days' [1] - 204:20	deem [3] - 20:16; 56:21:72:22	119:4, 9, 22; 120:1; 133:19; 134:14;	difficult [4] - 117:22;
21, 25; 96:23; 97:1,	deadline [11] - 9:20;	56:21; 72:22		134:1; 160:23; 194:16
20; 99:22; 100:10, 19;	10:1; 28:20, 23; 72:9;	deemed [25] - 21:8;	135:5; 142:23; 143:8,	difficulties [1] - 5:12
101:8, 15	78:17; 119:2; 204:16;	29:15, 22; 32:13;	16; 144:19	dilemma [1] - 182:20
Cumming [29] - 2:14;	205:2, 4; 232:7	36:22; 40:5, 18; 57:5,	describe [8] - 51:16;	diligently [1] - 108:8
6:1, 3; 14:24; 15:3;	deadlines [1] - 10:5	8, 14; 70:6; 73:3;	60:6; 62:20; 75:5;	direct [25] - 6:11;
17:17; 23:1; 34:4, 7,	deal [4] - 40:13;	82:24; 87:5, 11; 88:1,	92:18; 138:11; 170:23	11:17, 19; 12:23;
13; 40:24; 41:4;	154:8; 217:16; 223:1	7; 90:2, 10; 97:10;	described [1] -	34:4; 50:16; 74:14;
49:13, 18; 50:18;	dealing [7] - 69:8;	99:9; 107:8; 116:9;	225:12	102:24; 103:14;
78:1; 86:9, 11; 92:10;	187:24; 188:3;	136:7; 152:2	descriptions [1] -	114:16; 117:8;
93:4; 94:1; 98:11;	189:12, 14; 222:22	deeming [3] - 28:16;	224:17	119:22; 131:5, 9, 11,
99:14; 102:8; 166:10;	dealings [1] - 5:9	37:22; 57:2	design [1] - 175:5	15; 133:7; 172:14;
204:15; 213:13;	deals [1] - 163:3	deep [1] - 3:15	designated [2] -	176:23; 178:1;
230:20	debate [1] - 218:8	defeat [2] - 222:5, 25	224:13; 225:16	190:18; 191:6;
curiosity [1] - 130:2	December [67] -	defer [1] - 142:19	desk [4] - 30:20;	215:20; 228:15;
curious [5] - 48:19;	4:20; 25:19; 29:12,	define [2] - 32:13;	33:9; 72:19; 213:15	231:24
52:8; 94:24; 166:4;	19; 32:6, 9, 14; 33:4;	189:22	despite [3] - 127:4;	directed [3] - 85:5;
198:2	39:2, 4, 7, 12; 42:4, 9,	defined [2] - 161:7;	128:2, 164:13	96:23; 171:4
current [2] - 137:9;	21; 43:2, 6, 15, 24;	162:14	detail [1] - 98:3	direction [12] -
166:25	44:8, 13, 15; 67:17;	definitely [6] - 31:9;	detailed [3] - 83:13,	43:19; 58:5; 64:6;
cut [3] - 62:10;	69:14; 70:1, 10;	51:12; 81:22; 84:5;	16; 97:25	66:11; 67:21; 107:2;
124:1; 125:8	73:12; 74:20; 76:1, 6,	110:1	details [12] - 37:12;	124:5; 154:21; 179:4;
	11; 77:3, 16; 81:2;	degree [2] - 143:9;	53:1; 71:22; 72:1, 6;	181:2; 195:17; 206:18
cutoff [2] - 43:12; 73:25		153:4	73:2; 77:24; 84:11,	directions [1] - 63:24
	84:21; 88:20; 90:22, 25: 02:1 / 6 18:			
cutoffs [1] - 29:16	25; 92:1, 4, 6, 18;	delay [46] - 17:8;	13; 99:22; 114:11	directly [8] - 9:22;
D	93:18; 98:17; 99:1; 106:25: 107:3:11:	22:8; 28:25; 31:10; 33:4: 42:9, 13: 68:16:	determination [1] -	10:2; 11:21; 85:9, 18, 24: 87:24: 128:4
D	106:25; 107:3, 11;	33:4; 42:9, 13; 68:16; 60:14, 24: 70:2: 74:5:	218:1	24; 87:24; 128:4
dod (c) 117.14.	111:3, 5, 7, 9, 15;	69:14, 24; 70:2; 74:5;	determine [9] -	director [7] - 6:2;
dad [6] - 117:14;	184:8; 203:14, 18-19;	76:8, 17-18, 21; 79:1;	20:10; 44:19; 84:8;	34:18; 35:1, 5;
118:9, 19; 122:1;	204:1, 19-20, 22;	84:10, 12, 14, 17;	92:16; 93:1; 97:3;	110:13; 171:24; 204:6

disagree [2] - 89:5; 218:11 disappointed [2] -205:17; 209:19 discretion [1] -223:15 discretionary [2] -155:17; 217:24 discuss [4] - 68:5, 8, 10: 105:25 discussed [18] -60:10; 68:13, 15; 72:5; 76:10; 79:19; 84:22; 108:2, 4; 113:6; 157:25; 186:5, 10, 14; 219:24; 224:9; 229:14 discusses [1] -78.15 discussing [1] -60:15 DISCUSSION [1] -7:22 discussion [13] 13:17; 29:25; 59:18; 106:4; 125:24; 129:15; 165:12; 178:23; 179:16; 188:11; 195:15; 220:25; 225:20 discussions [5] 60:20; 112:4; 143:22; 195.9 display [2] - 74:11; 136:17 distance [9] - 85:17; 144:9; 155:25; 178:17; 187:3; 189:2; 194:24; 206:17 distances [2] -196:20 distinctly [1] - 154:1 distinguishable [1] -221.18 distributed [1] -136.9district [2] - 143:25; 166.9 District [2] - 9:12; 58:18 division [1] - 4:14 divisions [1] - 4:23 document [46] - 6:6, 10, 12-13, 21, 24; 14:8, 13; 15:5, 12, 25; 24:10, 22-23; 31:17; 44:25; 45:2, 19; 46:2, 9; 47:6; 53:7, 10; 56:3: 58:7. 14: 62:22: 63:12, 16-17; 65:2, 6; 69:25; 78:14; 137:19; 139:5; 158:12; 166:7; 172:23; 215:21 216:4; 229:10; 231:4 Document [3] 23:13; 27:25; 33:1 documentation [1] -206:21 documents [27] 6:17; 22:1; 23:7; 31:2; 46:21; 52:7; 54:11; 55:1, 15; 58:6; 61:1; 63:15, 21; 64:2; 70:1; 71:19; 80:12; 85:12; 86:4; 103:21; 107:7; 136:12; 137:5; 174:15; 231:6 doe [1] - 67:6 done [28] - 7:7; 11:4, 16; 13:20; 21:25;

29:18; 45:4, 12; 49:15; 55:19; 72:3; 83:14, 16; 97:21; 100:18; 106:15; 109:25; 112:5; 116:7; 118:5; 120:22; 126:18; 146:18; 162:8; 190:13; 216:6 door [1] - 211:8 dot [1] - 80:22 **Double** [5] - 22:12; 57:19; 213:1; 215:13; 218.9 **doubt** [3] - 26:13; 155:10; 230:10 DOWN [5] - 102:10; 130:24; 171:16; 190:16; 198:24 down [27] - 5:15; 15:24; 23:21; 24:11; 25:12; 26:4, 24; 27:17; 29:6; 30:4; 33:1; 38:19; 46:11; 64:20; 66:24; 67:1, 5; 69:22; 78:9; 79:22; 81:10; 97:25; 100:3; 122:16, 20; 125:4 downstream [1] -85.25 downwards [1] -179.19downwind [4] -123:11, 13-14; 128:4 dozen [2] - 30:23; 213:14 **DP** [1] - 113:8 draft [17] - 137:3; 143:5; 145:10, 12, 19; 146:2, 17; 157:9, 21, 24; 158:7, 12; 173:19, 22; 174:3; 220:6 drafted [2] - 184:14; 186:12 draw [4] - 85:24; 150:19; 174:15; 198.10drawings [1] - 80:18 drew [1] - 24:15 driller [2] - 121:13, drilling [1] - 121:14 drive [2] - 83:20; 84:3 drive-by [1] - 84:3 driving [2] - 208:7, **due** [6] - 43:12; 49:1; 74:17; 203:12, 24; 210:2 During [1] - 8:3 **during** [19] - 4:13; 5:12; 7:21; 12:19; 230.11 22:24; 25:18; 36:10; 179:3 67:6; 77:3; 84:24; 86:2; 101:4; 111:16; 148:9; 200:13, 22; 204:12; 205:8; 218:9 dust [4] - 179:22; 180:3; 182:21; 227:21 Dwain [2] - 2:22; 9:23 10:24 E 154:4 earliest [3] - 73:12; 88:18; 90:22 early [20] - 19:22 30:11; 40:10; 48:23; 51:18, 22; 52:16;

61:7; 70:8, 13; 71:15;

72:2; 86:21; 89:7; 93:23; 102:3; 186:4; 204:19; 220:4, 15 Earth [1] - 84:3 easements [1] - 61:5 easier [1] - 231:4 easily [2] - 40:15; 205:19 east [9] - 124:5; 128:13, 16; 144:11; 151:16; 152:20; 169:20; 178:7; 189:4 east-west [1] -169:20 **easy** [4] - 3:23; 15:22; 18:12; 209:3 economical [1] -209.25 economics [1] - 4:21 Ecosmart [1] - 50:1 edge [3] - 170:1, 9, Edmonton [1] - 4:18 **effect** [10] - 58:6, 17; 221:10, 12, 23-25; 223:5, 21, 25 effective [1] - 43:9 **effectively** [3] - 39:4; 102:19; 218:12 efficient [6] - 18:7; 131:12; 172:11; 207:12; 212:21 effort [1] - 205:20 egregious [1] - 189:2 eight [1] - 23:8 either [10] - 8:14; 16:12; 37:4; 40:20; 122:24; 143:8; 145:13; 159:14; 193:15; 211:6 elaborate [1] - 35:15 ELHAKIM [1] - 14:4 **Elhakim** [5] - 2:11; 7:4, 8; 14:3; 231:13 eliminate [1] -195.22 email [23] - 9:3; 51:25; 55:20, 23-24; 62:14; 63:12, 18, 20-21: 67:22: 70:19. 23; 80:1; 81:10, 14; 94:14; 95:18; 107:12; 108:2; 165:11; 173:16; 205:6 emailed [1] - 197:15 emails [10] - 27:17, 22; 60:21; 62:10, 12; 70:21; 75:8; 94:13; 112:12: 165:9 emotional [1] employment [1] enable [1] - 161:23 encompassing [1] -166:21 encourage [2] -229:4.7 encouraged [2] -127:7; 158:24 encourages [1] encouraging [1] end [20] - 17:13; 26:14; 39:2; 41:9; 54:19; 61:24; 62:3; 73:11; 84:15; 100:7 106:24; 112:8; 114:9; 124:18; 126:13;

130:19; 170:6; 178:18: 203:13 ended [10] - 83:14; 112:21; 113:1; 121:3, 8, 11; 143:5; 178:15; 208:23; 209:1 enforced [1] - 221:17 engaged [1] - 135:10 engagement [1] -135:6 **engineer** [3] - 4:2; 73:11; 112:6 engineered [1] -209.2 engineering [5] -54:10; 71:2; 105:16; 106:17 engineers [1] -105:18 enquiries [4] - 74:10, 16; 75:3; 136:21 ensures [1] - 88:8 ensuring [2] - 217:2; 231:13 enter [1] - 132:6 entered [3] - 12:2; 142:20; 150:7 entire [3] - 6:21; 178:11; 230:8 entirely [1] - 211:5 entirety [1] - 55:3 entitled [3] - 17:11; 223:8.12 Environment [5] -4:12; 26:8; 108:3; 119:18; 178:4 environment [1] -127:13 environmental [2] -4:9: 212:18 equally [1] - 204:25 equipment [1] -208:7 error [1] - 53:6 errors [2] - 14:12, 20 especially [2] - 31:3; 50 10 essence [3] - 64:22; 89:2; 114:4 essentially [9] 12:16; 18:25; 35:17; 61:3; 87:20; 97:3; 163:3; 168:19; 230:1 established [1] -166.24establishment [1] -142:16 estimate [3] - 19:5; 49:4: 207:7 estimated [1] -205.13estimation [1] -175:6 et [2] - 152:16; 168:16 Eveliene [1] - 2:24 event [2] - 159:19; 160:14 events [1] - 25:6 eventual [1] - 226:6 **eventually** [3] - 21:1; 227:8; 228:2 evidence [57] - 6:11: 11:17, 19, 23; 12:1, 10, 15-16, 23, 25; 13:1; 14:16, 25; 16:22, 24; 17:17; 49:14; 86:17; 92:23; 94:11; 97:2; 100:5; 102:24; 103:14, 17;

105:8: 113:18: 117:8: 118:21; 131:11; 133:7; 139:23; 144:17; 156:19; 158:19; 176:23; 190:6, 18; 191:6; 194:1; 199:7; 200:6, 11-13, 18, 21-22, 24; 210:23; 211:19; 213:16; 215:15 230:12, 22; 231:24 evidentiary [4] -11:16; 15:6; 16:2; 229.25 **exact** [8] - 60:14; 73:1, 22; 77:9; 98:22; 99:22; 141:5; 178:17 exactly [13] - 34:21; 58:11, 19; 63:8; 65:12, 19; 82:9; 85:14; 176:5; 186:15; 195:11, 21; 213:8 examination [4] -12:11, 19; 134:12; 230:1 examinations [2] -230:22; 231:25 EXAMINES [14] 17:23; 41:11; 50:14; 104:15; 116:2; 134:10; 145:2; 146:13; 147:21; 158:18; 170:22; 172:8; 177:11; 187:19 example [6] - 6:12, 23; 28:18; 111:14; 142:6; 205:9 exceeded [2] -54:20, 22 excellent [1] -192:12 except [1] - 176:5 exception [1] -210:20 exceptions [1] -36.12 excerpt [1] - 39:25 exchange [1] - 79:10 exchanged [1] - 60:8 exchanges [1] -173:17 excluding [1] - 187:3 exclusion [101] · 56:8; 121:18; 123:1 124:25; 127:9, 14, 17; 128:15; 129:7, 18; 130:3, 7; 141:16; 142:5, 11, 16, 22; 143:4, 19; 144:15; 146:5, 7: 1511, 0, 12 146:5, 7; 151:1, 9, 12. 16, 21, 24; 152:10; 153:2; 154:2-5, 10, 15; 155:13; 156:1; 157:21; 158:10; 164:22; 167:11; 169:14; 170:6, 10, 15; 172:17; 174:3, 18, 21, 24; 175:8, 11; 176:3, 13, 15; 178:6; 181:5, 10; 182:1, 13, 25; 184:1, 6, 18, 20; 186:2; 187:2, 9, 12, 21, 24; 188:2, 4, 11, 17; 189:19; 206:15, 18, 24; 219:8, 11; 220:7, 10, 13, 21, 24; 223:25; 224:9, 20; 225:17, 25; 226:11, 17; 229:15, 17 exclusionary [1] -

8

NRCB LA21037, Volume 1, July 7, 2022

expressed [1] -

143:25; 189:18

extension [1] -

188:2, 12, 21

extremely [1] -

eye [1] - 68:1

53:13: 129:25

130:16; 182:13

164:20, 206:25

falls [1] - 130:1

far [15] - 14:11;

186:16; 196:14

13-14, 18

farm [11] - 4:18;

fast [2] - 108:7;

222:3, 8

169:16

F

122:11, 13

110.15

169:23

226:11

143.13 exclusions [2] -125:7; 153:17 Excuse [1] - 194:6 excuse [3] - 35:22; 92:9; 208:8 Exhibit [54] - 17:10; 23:14; 24:24; 28:1; 31:18; 33:1; 38:16; 39:21; 41:15; 45:25; 59:23; 62:6; 64:19; 69:11, 21; 70:17; 74:3; 76:23; 77:23; 78:9; 81:8; 88:13; 105:7; 129:5; 137:13; 145:6, 18; 146:4; 151:2; 159:9; 167:24; 172:12, 24; 175:24; 183:24; 187:20; 189:11; 191:7; 198:3; 230.17210:15; 214:1; 219:6, 19; 221:7, 14; 224:14; 225:23; 229:2 exhibit [17] - 6:13; 15:10-12, 16, 19; 44:23; 45:24; 69:5; 87:18; 95:23; 96:3; 151:2; 172:14 Exhibit.. [1] - 69:6 **exhibits** [6] - 15:14; 18:4; 96:1; 172:15, 19 230 24 Exhibits [1] - 69:8 exist [1] - 160:10 existing [12] - 19:3; 21:19; 22:3; 121:19; 144:7; 156:7; 167:5; 184:22; 187:4; 225:6 exists [1] - 152:19 expand [3] - 12:3; 21:19; 122:17 expanding [1] -121:24 expansion [2] -121:19; 185:25 expansions [2] -166:22; 167:5 expect [10] - 48:25; 78:1; 101:11, 16, 18; 131:9; 176:17; 186:6; 189.20 expectation [2] -116:24; 226:6 expectations [1] -109.17expected [1] - 101:5 expeditious [1] -150:9 experience [7] - 4:8: 21:17; 50:20; 156:22, 25.163.19 209.9experienced [1] -207:2 expert [5] - 67:20; 178:25; 179:4; 195:16, 23 expertise [3] - 118:8; 167:21; 168:3 experts [2] - 179:9; 195.10explain [7] - 25:22; 26:1, 7; 87:13; 120:16, 25; 205:22 22 explained [2] - 27:3; 79.20 explanation [3] · 41:22; 96:21; 118:7 explore [2] - 229:4, 8 explored [1] - 228:25 express [4] - 72:12;

164:18: 165:3: 222:3 118.6 father [1] - 118:1 fault [2] - 192:2; extend [1] - 186:9 203.7 extended [3] - 35:20; favour [1] - 160:20 feasible [2] - 24:20; extends [2] - 151:12; 31:6 feature [1] - 175:12 February [23] 28:20; 30:11; 55:17; 57:23; 59:22; 78:17, extensive [1] - 4:8 **extent** [7] - 10:15; 54:2; 78:4; 123:23; 23; 108:23; 109:13, 15, 25; 124:17; 126:13; 138:20; external [1] - 10:19 139:1, 4; 173:8; 177:19; 205:14; extra [3] - 97:14; 207:11:219:16 federal [2] - 93:8, 10 feed [1] - 198:4 feedback [8] - 30:2; 57:7; 60:11; 61:3; 68:24; 89:11; 157:20; 174:2 facilities [3] - 36:17; Feeders [3] - 57:19; 215:13; 218:9 **feeding** [9] - 9:10; 36:16; 141:22; 142:4; facility [3] - 129:22; 162:21; 166:17, 24; 167:4, 7 facsimile [1] - 101:3 fact [10] - 100:19; 143:12; 146:16; 164:9; 214:14; 220:18, 21; 222:13; 226:24; 230:16 factor (11, 152:12) feedlot [26] - 53:14; 118:20; 121:22; 122:1, 3, 15, 19; 123:5; 127:25; 128:1, 5; 168:10; 182:23; factor [1] - 153:13 factors [7] - 38:5; 194:25; 198:8; 207:2; 62:19; 63:3; 81:1; 208:24; 209:10, 86:1; 168:20; 175:4 22-23, 25; 227:2, 10 **facts** [4] - 221:19; 222:7, 22, 25 feedlots [7] - 53:13, 5; 118:12; 125:7; factual [1] - 18:3 128:17; 162:20; factually [1] - 69:19 fair [18] - 21:9; 25:23; 175:15 feet [2] - 181:5 43:20; 45:23; 68:23; 99:5, 11; 125:11, 17; fell [1] - 150:12 **felt** [9] - 106:15; 109:8; 112:14, 16; 121:9; 122:2; 151:19; 159:24; 160:19; 162:3, 5; 163:2, 4; 164 18 **fairly** [5] - 8:10; 28:13; 105:3; 223:16 few [21] - 13:12 20:20; 38:16; 41:8; fairness [1] - 17:11 62:7, 19; 63:3; 80:22; faith [3] - 108:14; 86:10; 103:8; 144:25; 174:22; 175:14, 16, **fall** [6] - 51:11; 85:11; 89:8, 17; 155:19; 18; 187:10, 17; 214:6; 227:5; 230:3 few.. [1] - 129:11 fewer [2] - 36:10; familiar [9] - 10:20; 143:21 13:8; 46:8; 57:18, 20; field [17] - 12:12; 63:6; 74:18, 20; 75:4, 62:11: 70:19: 162:6 23; 93:5, 11, 15, 17, family [2] - 207:23; 22-23; 203:21, 23, 25; 228:12; 230:19 15:14; 52:2; 65:15; 77:21; 98:3; 108:22, Field [20] - 2:13; 5:22, 25; 6:2; 11:19; 24; 114:2; 123:8; 14:24; 16:3, 8, 25; 124:8; 164:1; 170:16; 17:21; 34:18; 35:1; 39:23; 48:25; 53:8; 158:16; 183:14; 85:10; 130:9; 154:18; 210:11 207:23, 25; 208:7, 11, fields [1] - 83:20 figure [2] - 102:6; farmed [2] - 81:13, 131:6 **figured** [1] - 16:11 **file** [23] - 18:2, 21; 19:13; 21:8; 27:20; farmer [1] - 122:8 farming [4] - 36:9; 81:15; 83:3; 84:9 29:2; 33:18; 35:10; 38:13: 42:17: 59:23: farms [2] - 130:6 **fashion** [3] - 33:20; 113:25; 150:10 62:4; 64:18; 68:25; 74:2: 76:22: 105:6: 210:24; 211:5;

212:25; 213:13; 232:7 **files** [11] - 18:19; 19:5; 21:6; 30:20; 33:9; 43:22; 76:15; 211:4; 212:22; 213:14 filing [4] - 9:20; 10:1, 5; 21:7 fill [3] - 112:2, 11; 219.23 filled [2] - 20:7; 112:3 filling [1] - 108:13 final [20] - 13:4; 63:2; 95:1; 107:12; 137:3; 139:20; 146:8; 148:5, 19; 152:12; 173:2, 8, 12; 196:23; 200:20; 201:2, 4; 202:25; 207:20; 218:24 finalize [1] - 63:7 finally [5] - 139:15; 142:22; 146:2; 189:9; 212:20 fine [7] - 41:10; 47:14; 52:14; 131:21; 133:16; 191:23 fingers [1] - 5:20 finished [2] - 44:22; 87.10 finisher [1] - 9:15 finishing [1] - 227:13 **Finlay** [24] - 2:16; 41:6; 47:17; 114:20, 22-23; 115:8, 11; 131:4; 133:9, 24; 144:22; 170:19; 171:10, 13; 177:2; 192:14; 196:3; 197:14, 18; 213:22; 219:1; 223:16; 228:23 FINLAY [27] - 41:8, 11; 47:15; 115:12; 131:8; 132:2; 133:10, 16, 18; 134:9; 137:12, 18; 150:17, 23; 153:4; 156:17; 157:2; 170:20, 22; 177:4; 190:11; 192:15; 196:5; 197:19; 213:23.25 Finlay's [1] - 190:21 Fiona [5] - 2:13; 5:24; 14:23; 16:8; 56:16 First [1] - 3:13 **first** [46] - 7:15; 11:19; 22:7; 24:25; 26:5; 28:18; 29:7; 41:13; 43:1; 50:16; 55:6; 59:11; 77:4; 80:12; 82:16; 107:20; 108:3; 112:9; 119:16, 19; 134:14; 137:24; 138:7, 17; 139:25; 143:5; 151:23; 159:25; 164:2, 4, 12; 165:2; 167:2; 172:23; 173:7, 11; 187:20; 194:8; 201:24; 211:17; 214:8; 219:14; 227:20; 230:5 firstly [2] - 145:7; 188:2 **fit** [3] - 152:10; 153:17 fits [1] - 186:2 five [8] - 18:17; 21:17; 22:20; 49:5; 50:7; 51:14; 79:8; 208:5

fixing [1] - 112:13 Flagstaff [2] -217:16; 222:18 flash [1] - 202:11 flawlessly [1] -231:14 flexibility [1] - 154:8 flip [1] - 14:8 flipping [1] - 29:5 floater [3] - 39:7; 92:6, 8 floaters [1] - 92:12 floor [2] - 104:16; 171.19 flowed [1] - 23:3 focus [1] - 19:14 focused [2] - 201:2; 230.6focusing [1] - 89:19 fold [1] - 85:12 folks [5] - 3:23; 200:16; 202:8; 230:6; 232:10 follow [21] - 10:11; 25:9; 26:15; 99:17; 117:9; 124:11, 23; 126:5, 25; 167:20; 171:10; 173:14; 176:19; 186:21; 190:9: 198:19: 202.18.224.5 follow-up [9] - 26:15; 117:9; 124:11; 126:25; 171:10; 186:22; 190:9; 198:19 follow-ups [1] -126:5 followed [3] - 11:20; 160:18; 173:20 **following** [6] - 19:20; 72:11; 164:16; 203:1; 204:16; 222:14 font [1] - 80:24 footprint [1] - 209:24 footsteps [1] - 3:14 FOR [1] - 67:11 forage [1] - 4:18 foregoing [1] -226.14forget [1] - 121:2 **form** [8] - 35:17; 113:25; 138:1, 3; 139:14; 145:9; 232:2 formal [4] - 3:8; 10:11; 66:12; 230:14 formality [2] - 10:13, 15 formally [1] - 138:3 format [1] - 175:7 forms [3] - 20:2; 52.6 12 Fort [42] - 2:19; 9:13; 10:3; 11:21; 14:17; 47:22; 48:2; 73:5; 85:4, 21; 104:19, 21; 114:25; 124:4; 134:18, 22; 135:2, 8; 136:17; 144:12; 153:22; 155:8; 156:23; 157:3, 6; 169:18; 171:18, 23; 172:6; 180:24; 183:2; 191:11; 204:14; 207:23; 219:2, 9, 24 forth [5] - 60:21; 61:6; 62:11; 112:13; 199.21 fortunate [1] - 94:13 forward [17] - 8:21; 10:7; 14:13; 34:4, 6;

107:18; 136:10; 154:11; 160:1; 163:24; 177:16; 189:25; 190:1; 200:13; 202:15, 24; 207:15 four [4] - 22:20; 76:11; 106:23; 127:5 fourth [2] - 31:22; 42:2 fractured [1] - 208:2 frankly [1] - 148:16 frequent [1] - 116:8 Friday [4] - 72:10; 73:25; 119:10; 204:15 Friend [10] - 2:9; 5:7, 14; 15:10; 42:6; 49:25; 50:5; 103:5; 197:19; 231:16 Friend's [1] - 231:17 front [3] - 134:3; 194:18; 210:10 frustrated [1] - 209:19 FULFILLED [1] - 67:12 full [11] - 31:14, 22; 33:13; 35:20; 46:14; 88:8; 120:17; 123:23; 180:6; 213:8; 228:17 fully [8] - 5:19; 26:6; 81:22; 101:8; 119:13; 217:15; 229:4, 8 furtherance [1] - 176:17 future [22] - 121:23; 197:19; 24:25;	goal (112:24; goals 116:21 Goog 123:18; Gove 39:13, 150:11; 212:16; gover grant 213:2; 150:11; 212:16; gover grant 214:2 gover grant 25:14; 478:3,5; 137:14; 138:48; 202:14; great great great great group 142:6 group 142:6 group
127:18, 21, 23;	growi
166:22; 183:9;	growt
185:24; 186:15;	130:6; ⁻
187:4, 6-7; 209:12;	11, 16;
224:13, 19; 225:2, 21;	guess
226:1-3, 8, 12	21:2: 29
G	48:21; 6 97:13;
gain [2] - 126:17, 19	143:9; 174:22;
game [1] - 162:23	192:21;
gather [1] - 119:23	guess
Gavin [2] - 2:20;	102:21;
172:1	guess
Gazette [10] - 73:5,	189:8
20; 88:22; 89:21;	guida
90:5; 91:4, 7; 135:3;	43:5. 16
136:18; 204:14	59:4; 10
gears [2] - 54:8;	guide
205:20	161:11
general [15] - 5:3;	guy [2]
18:18, 23; 19:16, 19;	130:12
21:17, 22; 22:1;	guys
24:12; 45:15; 51:3;	65:22;
56:9; 60:15; 77:18; 83:19	
generally [8] - 19:7; 36:10, 21; 38:4; 68:1; 75:7: 92:40: 141:45	half [1
75:7; 82:10; 141:15	29:7; 63
generate [1] - 97:9	22, 24;
generating [1] -	131:13;
231:3	hand
generous [1] -	103:4
131:13	handl
given [24] - 13:2;	handl
given [24] - 13:2; 18:21; 19:6; 61:14; 90:23; 134:24; 142:8; 140:17: 15:1:21;	handl hands
149:17; 151:21;	29:18; 3
163:15; 164:2;	64:4
168:12; 176:13;	happ
180:5; 189:18; 205:2,	75:18; 9
4: 206:15: 212:16;	125:9; 2
4; 206:15; 213:16; 226:5; 230:12 glance [1] - 82:13	hard [230:25;

I [3] - 40:3; harder [1] - 209:4 4, 211.11 Harvey [3] - 2:10; 8:23. 25 **Is** [2] - 105:2; hatch [1] - 176:8 ogle [3] - 84:3; HE [1] - 67:10 head [14] - 9:15; 53:13, 16, 22; 121:22; 8; 196:15 /ernment [14] -15; 92:20; 122:1, 7; 128:5; 135:15, 19, 21; 181:4, 198:9, 209:22, ; 148:13; 25 health [1] - 227:20 hear [19] - 3:4; 13:25; 1; 161:8; 6; 222:13, 16 15:21; 16:24; 42:21; 44:10; 58:21; 66:21; ernment [4] -6, 19; 159:22; 108:22; 109:19; 115:15; 119:3; 127:8; erns [1] - 212:14 nt [2] - 207:17; 134:1; 153:9; 177:12; 194:16; 207:19; at [18] - 15:13; 225.20 ; 46:12; 49:20; heard [35] - 8:2; 5; 95:19; 35:8; 42:19; 49:19; 4, 20-21; 59:2; 66:19; 91:19; 3; 144:17, 21; 94:21, 25; 99:14; 170:2; 172:20; 119:21; 123:3; 4; 231:9 139:22; 142:3; 158:1; 160:25; 161:10; ater [2] - 98:3; 174:1, 9; 188:14; atly [1] - 212:5 en [1] - 151:6 200:8, 19; 204:12; 210:23, 25; 213:14; w [1] - 4:17 220:6, 18, 21; 224:7, 20; 225:24; 226:6; undwater [1] -230 11 up [3] - 86:24; Hearing [1] - 125:23 hearing [57] - 3:7; 5:9, 13, 19; 7:3, 7, 11, ups [1] - 212:18 w [1] - 186:8 21; 8:3, 14, 22; 9:6; wing [1] - 209:8 10:4, 6; 11:11, 17-18; 13:8, 11; 15:4, 9, 17; 16:2; 18:7; 57:22; wth [7] - 127:7; ; 154:6; 186:9, 58:8; 65:25; 110:22; 6; 189:22 124:15, 20-21; 138:7, ss [18] - 13:22 19; 139:1, 3, 8, 22; 29:5; 32:4; 46:9; 157:23; 158:4; 159:10; 171:12; 62:12; 80:10; 103:4, 24; 144:4; 155:21; 185:9; 190:12; 200:14; 201:16; 2; 186:22; 1: 227:14 204:12; 210:13; 218:9; 228:25; 230:2, ssing [3] - 34:7; 21: 182:4 7; 231:5, 16; 232:10, 15 sswork [1] hearings [5] - 7:14, 16; 11:12; 124:13, 19 dance [8] - 29:19; 16, 19; 57:24; heartache [1] -100:23; 212:2 227:13 deline [1] heavily [1] - 231:19 held [4] - 34:20; 57:23; 134:22; 178:23 [2] - 122:9; hello [5] - 5:2; 48:11; 147:22: 213:23 s [3] - 62:11: 2; 150:14 **help** [10] - 3:18; 5:16; 9:5; 11:3; 33:17; 54:25; 112:11; Η 122:12; 151:15; [14] - 21:15; 194.20 63:10; 124:2, 6, helped [4] - 56:12; 4; 125:8, 15, 18; 108:13; 156:15; 3; 208:5 219:23 d [2] - 7:13; helpful [5] - 18:6; 71:6; 94:8; 201:1; dle [1] - 211:3 231.24 dled [1] - 5:23 helps [2] - 6:15, 23 dling [1] - 7:18 hesitate [1] - 8:13 ds [5] - 13:25; 3; 31:14; 34:5; **hi** [5] - 4:1; 86:12; 147:24; 177:12; 228.10 hiccups [1] - 211:4 **py** [7] - 34:4; 94:11; 122:7 hide [1] - 32:22 212:11; 226:18 highest [1] - 121:4 **d** [3] - 209:6; highlight [4] - 12:3, 230:25; 231:11 9; 214:6; 218:4

Highway [1] - 169:25 **highway** [15] - 128:9; 129:19, 21, 23-24; 130:10; 123:16, 18; 154:19; 169:11, 14; 170:14; 225:20; 226:2 hill [1] - 123:17 hire [1] - 209:16 hiring [1] - 122:12 historic [1] - 229:18 historically [1] - 36:6 history [1] - 3:15 hold [2] - 3:7; 141:23 holiday [12] - 32:2, 15; 42:14, 19; 43:6; 70:3; 92:4; 101:16, 19; 211:22, 25 holidays [4] - 29:21; 84:20; 92:19; 204:5 home [4] - 75:4; 83:25; 84:1; 125:9 honest [1] - 66:5 hook [1] - 132:7 hope [8] - 3:23; 13:21; 38:8; 50:8 115:25; 129:8; 131:1, 21 hopeful [1] - 62:17 hopefully [3] - 11:11; 96:17; 122:20 **hoping** [4] - 8:21; 31:19; 109:19; 208:12 Horvath [6] - 2:17; 133:20; 141:13; 158:21; 174:23; 224:7 HORVATH [8] -133:22; 141:17 142:2, 19; 143:15; 158:25; 166:5; 167:12 hospital [2] - 208:3 host [2] - 7:3; 48:15 hour [5] - 63:10; 131:13, 22; 132:8 hours [2] - 74:25; 209.17 **house** [6] - 134:21; 152:6; 182:5; 209:8, 11: 220:22 household [1] -190.4HUIGENBOS [64] 58:24; 104:13, 20, 23; 105:2, 13, 21, 106:3, 5, 14, 20; 107:5, 15, 25; 108:11; 109:10, 19; 110:1, 5, 9, 14, 17, 21; 111:8, 19, 22; 112:1, 21; 113:4, 6, 16; 114:1, 6; 116:6, 16; 117:14; 118:2, 9, 19, 25; 119:4, 25; 120:2, 15; 121:2, 25; 123:2; 124:15; 126:11, 16, 19, 22; 127:24; 128:3; 129:12; 182:6, 10; 193:6; 196:13, 24; 197:4; 199:9; 202:3; 207:2[⁄]2 Huigenbos [46] -2:15; 53:5; 62:9; 76:24; 79:10; 82:6; 94:4; 95:7; 102:2, 22; 103:16, 21; 104:18; 113:19; 115:21; 116:1: 117:7: 120:4. 12; 126:1; 128:25; 139:23; 140:8, 22; 141:2; 147:6; 149:23; 164:21; 169:11;

199:3, 6, 19; 201:24; 202:1, 18; 204:25; 205:3; 207:19, 21; 210:5, 10; 212:7; 228:6; 229:13, 24; 230:13 Huigenbos's [3] -32:18; 77:6; 219:18 human [2] - 99:20; 231:1 hundred [5] - 35:3; 44:12; 50:24; 53:3; 99:12 hundreds [1] -175.12 hypothetical [1] -83:8 Ι icon [1] - 114:23 idea [9] - 19:23; 51:3; 53:17; 83:21; 109:22; 125:24; 141:20; 181:8; 224:22 identified [9] - 17:9; 53:7; 119:2; 129:7; 137:17; 151:6; 176:11; 215:9; 219:9 identify [4] - 3:18, 23; 8:7; 55:9 **IDP** [113] - 17:3; 22:17, 21; 27:7; 53:25; 58:13, 23; 59:1; 61:23; 106:4; 110:8, 10; 118:24; 119:13, 16; 121:18 23; 122:23; 124:14; 126:8, 17; 127:2, 4, 7; 128:7, 14; 129:7, 16; 130:5; 134:18; 135:7, 11; 138:1, 3, 25; 139:14, 20; 140:9, 13, 22; 141:8, 11, 16-17; 142:15; 144:7; 145:5, 10, 12; 146:2, 17; 148:3; 149:2; 150:1, 7; 152:8; 153:15; 154:7, 10, 16; 155:5; 158:1; 164:3; 165:25; 166:11, 14; 167:9; 173:2, 15, 19; 174:13; 175:12, 22; 177:19; 184:6, 10; 186:1; 206:2, 14; 215:11; 217:7; 218:11, 22; 219:14, 20, 25; 220:3, 6, 18, 20; 222:8, 12, 16-17: 223:24: 224:4. 10, 21, 24; 225:5, 16; 226:10; 227:4, 11; 229:13, 17 **IDPs** [4] - 57:24; 137:7; 148:18; 149:20 illustrate [1] - 53:19 illustrated [2] -80:19; 105:11 illustration [1] -151:5 ILOs [1] - 225:7 imagine [3] - 47:5; 52:10: 67:4 immediately [1] -27:13 impact [16] - 10:9; 43:11; 58:1; 129:24; 130:4; 148:18; 150:2; 182:14; 184:22; 206:22; 209:25; 216:15, 20, 22; 223:2;

10

NRCB LA21037, Volume 1, July 7, 2022

227:19	98:18; 1
impacted [2] - 110:3; 140:24	140:18; indica
impactful [1] -	61:18; 1
232:12 impacts [3] - 129:3;	186:14 Indige
149:24; 155:25 implement [3] -	indire
175:19; 185:13;	Indisc
219:13 implementation [1] -	201:8 indivi
190:3	157:25
implemented [1] - 22:22	indulg 165:19
implied [2] - 77:1, 10	indus
imply [1] - 77:10 important [11] - 10:6;	154:6, 2 indus
76:18: 81:16: 84:18:	125:4; 1
104:25; 151:20; 154:14; 203:20;	infer [inforn
204:25; 222:2; 225:19	inforn
importantly [1] - 223:6	6:25; 8: 11:8, 12
imposed [1] - 222:15	19:25; 2
impossible [1] - 213:9	25:4; 30 35:23; 3
improperly [1] -	37:7:44
211:1 in-depth [1] - 20:24	45:11, 1 47:7; 52
inaccurate [2] - 24:9;	21; 55:7 16; 61:7
28:10 inappropriate [3] -	3-4:71:
164:14; 203:13; 214:20	81:5; 84 90:24; 9
inclined [1] - 96:6	11, 14,
include [6] - 20:2; 45:13; 85:4; 129:6;	7-8; 106 109:4, 2
137:7; 181:17	12-13; 1
included [13] - 12:12; 42:16; 63:21;	117:18; 136:5, 8
71:7; 92:2; 97:5; 98:9;	147:5: 1
130:8; 153:22; 176:6; 178:10	163:18; 25; 168
includes [2] - 43:3;	20.195
226:2 including [6] - 73:10;	18; 209 19; 212
92:11; 137:2; 168:15;	218:1; 2
184:6; 229:16 inclusion [1] - 37:10	231:24; inhere
inclusive [1] - 39:5	initial
income [2] - 122:14; 209:10	227:10 initiat
inconsistency [2] - 127:4; 128:2	216:14 initiat
inconsistent [5] -	206:3
9:16; 183:25; 184:18; 185:3, 10	inordi 215:17;
incorrect [3] - 25:25;	input
47:2; 154:13 incorrectly [1] -	20; 142 165:25;
141:3	190:15;
increase [1] - 167:6 increases [1] - 210:2	inside 182:23
indeed [1] - 140:21	insofa
independent [1] - 212:15	instar instar
indicate [2] - 105:25;	163:23
154:5 indicated [22] -	instar 230:25
24:13; 71:5; 78:20;	instru
83:2, 24; 90:16; 95:2; 98:16; 140:9, 22;	insura integr
145:25: 148:23:	intend
151:22; 160:15; 166:11, 14, 19, 23;	22; 74:5
176:8; 214:3; 224:24	intens
indicates [4] - 137:25; 154:13;	55:8 intent
167:24; 198:3	41:25
indicating [4] -	intent

98:18; 136:18;	inten
140:18; 173:18	22:10;
indication [4] -	215:8
61:18; 107:21; 173:7;	inten
186:14	22:8; 7
Indigenous [1] - 3:9 indirectly [2] - 43:7,	inter inter-
11	- 156:1
Indiscernible) [1] -	intera
201:8	inter
individuals [1] -	153:16
157:25 indulgence [1] -	intere 217:2,
165:19	intere
industrial [4] - 142:6;	58:20;
154:6, 23; 189:23	intere
industry [4] - 4:11; 125:4; 162:22; 179:17	100:8
infer [1] - 47:10	interi interj
inform [1] - 41:18	interl
information 1981 -	56:23
6:25; 8:22; 10:7, 17; 11:8, 12; 12:20; 18:3;	inter
11:8, 12; 12:20; 18:3; 19:25; 20:8; 21:24;	9:17; 1 152:12
25:4; 30:20, 22;	181:9,
35:23: 36:20. 23-24:	219:10
37:7; 44:8, 16, 18;	intern
45:11, 16; 46:25;	26:7; 5
47.7, 52.25, 54.10, 21: 55:7, 21: 60:13	22; 63: 86:15:
47:7; 52:25; 54:16, 21; 55:7, 21; 60:13, 16; 61:7; 65:5; 69:1,	10; 94:
3-4: / 1:1: / 3:15: 80:1:	186:16
81:5; 84:8; 86:22; 90:24; 91:19; 97:5, 7,	206:1;
90:24; 91:19; 97:5, 7, 11, 14, 16, 18; 98:5,	interı 68:8; 8
7-8. 106.25. 108.25.	inter
7-8; 106:25; 108:25; 109:4, 23; 112:1, 3,	inter
12-13; 114:3; 116:12;	77:12
117:18; 118:14; 136:5 8 15: 142:24:	inter 59:5
136:5, 8, 15; 142:24; 147:5; 152:14;	inter
163·18· 164·8 15 17	13:16;
25; 168:24; 180:17, 20; 195:5; 205:13, 16,	197:14
20; 195:5; 205:13, 16, 18: 200:17: 210:16	inter
19: 212:11: 215:1:	154:14
18; 209:17; 210:16, 19; 212:11; 215:1; 218:1; 230:17;	interv
231:24; 232:1	214:19
inherently [1] - 10:11 initial [2] - 52:3;	interv 185:9;
227:10	interv
initiate [2] - 79:10;	162:9;
216:14	interv
initiated [2] - 109:6; 206:3	intim 230:11
inordinate [2] -	intro
215:17; 216:9	24; 7:1
input [8] - 126:17,	200:21
20; 142:10; 155:2; 165:25; 188:24; 190:15; 212:4	intro 200:22
190:15: 212:4	intro
inside [2] - 129:23;	160:8;
182:23	Inuit
insofar [1] - 154:15 instance [1] - 214:17	inves
instances [1] -	20:11
163:23	invite
instantaneous [1] -	invol
230:25 instructor [1] - 4:5	invol 163:1;
insurance [1] - 4:22	irrega
integrity [1] - 212:3	111:20
intend [1] - 144:18	issua
intended [3] - 41:17, 22; 74:5	159:16 Issue
intensive [2] - 20:11;	issue
55:8	11:7; 2
intent [2] - 35:18; 41:25	37:20; 72·4· 9
intention [1] - 44:5	72:4; 9 148:22

intentional [4] - 2·10· 31·10· 203·9·	
2:10; 31:10; 203:9; 15:8 intentionally [2] -	
2:8; 77:11 inter [1] - 156:10	
inter-municipally [1] 156:10	
interaction [1] - 60:7 interchange [1] -	
53:16 interest [3] - 172:10;	
17:2, 4 interested [3] - 51:2;	
8:20; 159:18 interesting [1] -	
00:8 interim [1] - 138:17	
interject [1] - 11:1 interlinked [1] -	
6:23 intermunicipal [11] -	
.17; 106:1; 145:19; 52:12, 24; 166:16;	
81:9, 12, 15, 17; 19:10	
internal [18] - 22:19; 6:7; 56:11; 62:5, 19,	
2; 63:23; 81:18; 6:15; 88:25; 89:6, 0; 94:10; 95:25;	
0, 94.10, 95.25, 86:16; 205:22; 06:1; 229:10	
internally [4] - 43:19; 8:8; 83:14; 211:11	
interpret [1] - 83:4 interpretation [1] -	
7:12 interpreting [1] -	
9:5 interrupt [5] - 8:13;	
3:16; 65:23; 94:5; 97:14	
interrupted [1] - 49:2 intersections [1] -	
54:14 intervene [1] -	
14:19 intervening [2] -	
85:9; 214:22 intervention [2] -	
62:9; 163:3 interviews [1] - 9:2	
intimidating [1] - 30:11	
introduce [6] - 3:20, 4; 7:17; 12:16; 96:3;	
00:21 introduced [1] -	
00:22 introduction [3] - 60:8; 190:3; 225:24	
Inuit [1] - 3:13 invest [1] - 227:2	
investigation [1] - 0:11	
invite [1] - 215:24 involve [1] - 5:17	
involved [4] - 5:17; 63:1; 167:21; 168:21	
irregardless [1] - 11:20	I
issuance [2] - 59:16; 215:7	I
Issue [1] - 17:9 Issue [22] - 5:18:	I
1:7; 21:3; 24:14; 7:20; 40:16; 63:9;	
2:4; 96:20; 99:4; 48:22; 154:11;	
	-

$\begin{array}{c} 159:23; \ 169:11;\\ 201:12; \ 202:25;\\ 206:7; \ 211:13;\\ 215:16; \ 229:6; \ 232:5,\\ 7\\ \textbf{issued} \ [15] - 9:8;\\ 23:4; \ 51:1; \ 56:18;\\ 65:17; \ 135:17;\\ 140:15; \ 207:10;\\ 211:9, \ 21; \ 213:4, \ 10;\\ 229:5, \ 11\\ \textbf{issues} \ [7] - 17:1;\\ 70:22; \ 100:17; \ 154:9;\\ 168:23; \ 180:6; \ 213:20\\ \textbf{issuing} \ [6] - 17:9;\\ 21:1; \ 28:16; \ 40:3;\\ 211:1; \ 28:16; \ 40:3;\\ 211:1; \ 232:14\\ \textbf{IT} \ [2] - 7:5; \ 67:11\\ \textbf{item} \ [2] - 38:24;\\ 78:12 \end{array}$
items [4] - 26:22; 27:10; 159:14; 160:9 itself [7] - 64:11; 130:16; 151:1; 153:25; 189:24; 205:9; 224:10
J
janet.harvey@nrcb. ca [1] - 9:3 Janice [3] - 2:19; 171:22; 174:20 January [41] - 26:5, 20; 33:7; 39:5, 10; 42:9, 21; 43:3, 15, 24; 44:18; 60:2, 17-18; 67:17; 69:15; 70:4, 7, 12; 84:25; 87:12;
12; 84:25; 87:12; 91:3; 92:1, 17; 98:19; 99:2, 5; 107:18, 21, 25; 108:23; 109:25; 116:10; 123:22; 138:16, 18; 203:14; 205:14; 219:15 job 100 - 93:24; 100:18; 108:13; 112:15; 192:3; 212:13, 19; 228:21;
231:4, 9 Joe [31] - 2:13; 5:22; 9:9; 17:14; 67:16; 69:2; 76:25; 86:6; 90:16; 105:19; 106:5; 107:18, 25; 108:1, 21; 109:3, 6, 8, 22; 111:23; 112:4, 6, 10, 14; 114:7; 116:11, 13, 23; 119:6; 165:10; 219:22 Joe's [1] - 107:2
judgment [2] - 157:1; 168:7 judicial [1] - 10:12 July [8] - 2:5; 51:18; 52:10, 16; 105:16; 118:14; 120:19; 133:2 jump [2] - 64:18; 91:12 jumping [2] - 28:20; 36:18 June [5] - 121:3;
34:23; 152:6, 17; 208:1 jurisdictions [1] - 188:6 justification [3] - 43:20; 91:5; 228:18 justified [1] - 203:19

justify [1] - 74:4 K Kaitlyn [2] - 2:21; 10:3 Kaminski [4] - 2:10; 6:8; 230:23 keep [3] - 68:1; 79:13; 108:6 **keeping** [3] - 8:14; 230:6; 231:9 keli [1] - 2:20 Kelly [1] - 171:25 **KENNEDY** [13] -95:7, 22, 24; 96:12; 117:4; 120:3; 159:4; 183:18; 193:10; 197:10; 200:11 Kennedy [19] - 2:8; 4:25; 5:1; 11:3; 95:5; 96:5, 11; 117:3; 120:5; 159:3; 183:17; 193:9; 197:9; 200:5, 10; 231:16, 20 key [1] - 175:4 kid [1] - 191:12 kilometre [1] -155:24 kilometres [1] - 9:13 **kind** [37] - 11:6; 20:7, 10, 15, 23; 25:21; 26:6, 12; 27:7, 19; 28:13; 29:6; 30:21; 32:12; 42:45; 45:7 32:12; 42:16; 45:7; 60:12, 15; 76:4; 78:15; 80:14; 82:20, 22; 86:2; 102:6, 11; 103:3; 107:11; 114:18; 163:21; 114:16; 103.21; 186:2; 190:21; 191:15; 200:3; 201:14; 202:7; 227:13 **kindly** [4] - 23:13; 24:24; 27:25; 197:19 knowing [1] - 208:23 knowledge [7] -24:9; 54:23; 73:22; 156:23; 179:2, 5; 180:2 known [2] - 205:5; 220:23 Krizsan [13] - 2:17; 133:19; 137:16, 22; 142:19; 145:1, 7; 167:18; 169:6, 13; 170:23; 174:10, 23 KRIZSAN [70] 133:22; 135:9, 23; 136:2, 14, 25; 137:8, 23; 138:5, 12; 139:17; 140:2, 4, 7; 141:5; 142:25; 145:11, 15, 23; 146:9; 147:1; 148:5, 9; 149:5, 15; 150:6; 151:4, 8, 11, 14, 17; 152:5, 11; 153:11, 20; 154:7, 20, 25; 155:6, 15; 156:2, 22; 157:11, 16, 22; 158:11; 160:6, 15; 161:5; 162:11; 163:5, 13; 164:1, 6, 15, 24; 165:5; 168:5, 7, 24; 169:1, 19, 21; 170:7, 11, 16; 171:2, 7; 197:12, 14 Krizsan's [1] -158:19

L	leave [3] - 24:6; 53:9;	227:18; 228:3	lunch [12] - 13:17;	124:16; 126:11, 14;
	159:8	loader [1] - 208:2	102:4, 13-14; 103:7;	139:8; 140:2, 8, 10,
LA21037 [3] - 3:5;	led [1] - 4:22	local [8] - 20:18;	114:19; 130:14, 25;	16; 146:3, 8; 148:6;
	left [3] - 66:1;	43:9; 74:12; 88:5;	131:6; 132:1, 7;	149:8, 12, 23; 150:2;
9:7; 22:8	189:24; 208:3	89:2; 135:2; 156:24;	201:11	157:18; 158:4;
lag [2] - 174:10;	legal [10] - 5:24;	210:3		164:10, 16; 165:8;
185:6	10:20, 22; 15:8; 16:8;	located [6] - 9:10;	M	173:12; 205:14;
laid [1] - 21:3	56:16: 150:18, 20:	93:9; 123:1, 12;		206:4, 13; 207:6;
land [32] - 3:10, 15;	184:14; 230:20	182:23; 226:17	Macleod [43] - 2:19;	219:17
20:3; 54:3; 55:23;	legally [1] - 184:21	location [22] - 55:22;	9:13; 10:3; 11:21;	marginally [1] -
61:1, 10, 14-15; 66:2;	legislation [3] -	80:3, 8, 19; 84:8;	14:17; 47:22; 48:2;	134:4
81:8; 82:4, 7, 20;	99:10; 142:9; 214:21	93:4; 100:10; 101:12;	73:5; 85:4, 21; 88:22;	marked [2] - 3:14;
83:5, 24; 109:24;	length [2] - 48:24;	122:18; 129:9;	91:3; 104:19, 21;	28:24
122:24; 128:11;	212:16	142:17; 143:21, 25;	114:25; 124:4;	market [4] - 122:10;
152:15; 155:15;	less [13] - 33:15;	144:5; 154:18; 155:3,	134:18, 22; 135:2, 8;	182:23; 209:1; 226:25
176:12; 186:8; 210:2;	85:2; 131:14, 19;	11; 168:11; 183:5, 7	136:17; 144:12;	mart [1] - 183:4
217:11; 221:8;	146:15, 17, 19-20;	locations [1] -	153:22; 155:8;	material [4] - 52:16,
224:12, 15-16; 227:1,	147:13; 191:6; 206:8;	100:15	156:23; 157:3, 6;	19; 79:17; 103:23
24; 228:1	226:23	logic [2] - 179:22;	169:18; 171:18, 23;	matter [11] - 40:21;
Land [13] - 134:19;	Lethbridge [8] -	206:10	172:6; 180:24; 183:3;	135:14, 19; 140:10;
135:4, 21; 136:3, 6;	18:15; 93:5; 102:4,	logo [1] - 3:22	191:11; 204:14;	148:12; 150:11;
140:11, 15; 148:14,	13; 103:7; 131:1;	logs [1] - 23:8	207:24; 219:2, 24	156:9; 165:1; 213:18;
21; 149:7, 18; 150:12;	203:23	long-standing [1] -	Macleod/MD [1] -	214:1
174:8	letter [13] - 98:17;	89:6	219:9	matters [4] - 7:6;
land-base [2] - 20:3;	99:1; 140:15, 17;	longstanding [2] -	magnitude [1] - 49:1	11:15; 15:7; 138:13
82:7	146:18; 147:2, 10, 14;	211:24; 232:3	mail [2] - 20:20; 79:3	maximum [1] -
landed [2] - 151:18;	148:11; 149:3, 5, 7, 9	longtime [1] - 5:7	main [7] - 8:18; 17:1;	188:21
208:3	letters [1] - 20:17	look [36] - 10:7;	61:12; 184:3; 191:8,	MD _[90] - 2:16-18;
landowners [3] -	level [3] - 10:12;	25:15; 27:21; 45:13;	15; 204:22	9:21; 11:20; 22:16;
74:7; 82:14; 134:25	116:23	52:22; 54:4; 55:5;	maintained [2] -	30:12; 41:6; 47:22;
lands [14] - 3:12, 14;	LEWIS [16] - 48:10;	56:17; 62:14; 63:3, 5;	9:24; 88:19	106:8; 114:20, 23;
20:13; 37:11; 71:4-6;	115:23; 147:19;	64:2; 65:1; 67:15;	majority [2] - 29:24;	118:4; 119:5, 15;
81:12; 82:21; 97:22; 98:1; 106:18; 109:1;	177:9; 192:20; 193:3; 194:5, 12, 21; 195:9,	69:11; 70:23; 76:23; 80:8; 81:8; 82:23; 82:22: 84:40: 87:40	177:16 Mak [1] - 7:4	123:5, 25; 124:19; 126:12; 130:14;
191:14	15, 19; 196:1; 198:7,	83:23; 84:16; 87:16,	Makram [1] - 2:11	133:8, 11, 22; 134:25;
language [1] - 167:1	14; 227:17	19; 103:4; 108:6;	Man [1] - 172:2	135:7, 9; 136:12, 15,
large [8] - 144:10;	Lewis [22] - 2:22;	111:3, 10; 122:18;	managed [1] - 71:20	20; 137:25; 138:4, 19;
153:1, 16; 154:18, 23;	9:23; 14:19; 47:23;	123:18; 142:4, 22;	management [7] -	144:18; 145:8;
178:10; 198:4; 209:16	48:9; 115:22; 147:18;	186:15; 196:15;	4:9; 6:6; 43:19; 86:24;	146:16; 147:2; 149:1,
large-scale [1] -	177:8; 192:19;	218:14; 224:24	227:23	19; 152:15; 159:7;
154:18	193:25; 194:12, 16;	look-through [1] -	manager [20] - 5:8;	160:23; 163:23;
largely [1] - 200:9	195:25; 196:4, 10, 17,	63:3	22:24; 23:13; 24:11,	167:24; 168:8; 171:5,
larger [12] - 152:17;	22; 197:25; 199:2, 5;	looked [7] - 53:3;	23; 27:25; 31:17;	7; 173:3, 11, 16, 19;
156:4; 168:14; 175:6;	227:16; 228:5	64:16; 73:15; 83:25;	50:22; 51:5; 56:15;	176:12; 182:18;
178:13; 179:18, 21;	lies [1] - 65:11	98:3; 123:15; 182:15	59:23; 60:3; 62:4;	184:1, 17, 19; 185:3,
181:1; 189:9; 220:20;	lieu [4] - 39:8, 11;	looking [37] - 19:24;	64:18; 68:6, 12, 25;	10; 186:5, 14, 23;
225:14	92:12	23:16; 25:1; 30:14;	74:2; 76:22; 105:6	187:9, 12, 24; 188:7,
largest [2] - 53:17,	life [3] - 18:2, 20;	32:8, 11, 17; 45:10;	managers [6] - 6:24;	9; 189:15, 17, 20-21;
19	104:21	49:3; 54:3, 15; 58:5,	14:8; 15:5, 12, 25;	192:14; 212:8, 24;
last [17] - 8:2; 33:21;	lift [1] - 208:5	14, 16; 59:4; 64:13;	231:4	213:22, 25; 219:14,
39:2, 20; 44:16;	light [1] - 193:18	65:18; 81:11; 86:3;	mandated [1] -	16, 25; 220:21, 25;
51:11; 71:9, 21;		91:5; 97:3; 114:7, 22;	222:12	221:15; 222:14, 21;
100:16; 110:23; 122:22; 124:22;	like [1] - 63:20 likely [7] - 21:24; 22:22; 68:9; 96:6;	115:18; 125:24; 128:23; 143:19;	manner [1] - 213:1	225:10, 22; 226:13; 227:3, 9
125:15; 183:24;	183:8; 206:4; 207:4	149:13; 189:13;	manure [18] - 20:13; 37:11; 55:22; 71:4;	MD's [14] - 136:24;
184:3; 189:10; 217:5	limit [1] - 141:21	202:15, 24; 205:16;	81:25; 82:15, 22;	138:17; 145:13, 21;
lastly [5] - 13:3;	limited [2] - 214:23	208:15, 17, 20	97:15, 22, 24; 106:18;	153:7; 156:6; 161:1,
154:1; 155:21;	limiting [1] - 154:3	looks [8] - 20:13;	109:1; 191:14; 210:2;	5; 162:19; 173:24;
182:21; 223:6	line [8] - 60:13; 61:4;	24:20; 45:16; 46:17;	227:22, 24; 228:2	184:5, 16; 185:2;
late [7] - 55:16;	113:7, 9, 11; 129:11;	70:21; 81:14; 207:15	map [18] - 129:8;	220:8
119:10; 140:8;	182:19; 231:10	loop [3] - 114:24;	144:6; 146:5; 152:10,	MDP [35] - 17:3;
142:20; 205:5, 15;	liner [1] - 37:13	115:8; 170:1	25; 154:1, 13, 15-16;	53:24; 56:3; 58:17;
219:15	lines [2] - 98:2;	lopsided [1] - 153:14	157:8, 15; 167:13;	59:4, 25; 61:10;
Laura [4] - 2:9; 5:10,	214:18	Lorelee [1] - 2:23	170:7; 175:25;	65:13; 67:10; 86:3;
13; 9:5	link [2] - 8:16, 18	lose [1] - 14:5	187:21; 188:1; 224:15	113:7, 9, 11, 15;
Laura's [1] - 5:10	linked [1] - 99:7	loss [1] - 156:11	mapping [1] - 123:1	114:3, 7; 166:14;
law [7] - 221:17;	list [11] - 14:22; 15:1,	lost [4] - 27:4;	maps [3] - 154:5, 12;	184:22; 186:11;
222:24; 223:2, 4, 20	10-11, 13, 16, 18-19;	104:10; 108:14; 111:1	195:1	189:15, 20; 206:9;
layer [1] - 37:13	133:8; 159:14	loud [1] - 100:6	Maps [2] - 123:18;	207:5; 218:7, 10, 13,
lays [1] - 19:20	listen [1] - 8:21	louder [1] - 134:6	196:15	17; 224:14; 226:8;
leaching [1] - 191:8	listened [2] - 125:1;	love [2] - 58:21;	March [65] - 9:18;	228:24; 229:5, 9
lead [4] - 4:13; 73:24;	213:12	129:17	26:24; 27:1, 17-18;	MDPs [1] - 57:24
155:8; 161:17	live [3] - 85:16;	Love [6] - 217:16;	30:13; 55:17, 23;	MDS [5] - 20:3;
lead-up [1] - 73:24	209:7; 227:24	222:18, 21-22, 24;	58:8, 12, 21, 24-25;	127:13; 180:7, 9;
leading [1] - 173:15	lived [3] - 104:18, 20;	223:7	59:2; 62:2, 14; 64:12;	181:3
least [12] - 13:24; 15:14; 25:17; 28:6;	207:24	LP [1] - 148:11 LPRT [7] - 135:25;	79:24; 80:2; 81:10,	mean [43] - 26:16;
45:5; 66:25; 68:22;	lives [1] - 85:9 livestock [3] - 4:10, 24: 167:6	137:1, 3; 140:25;	13-14; 84:10; 85:13; 94:14, 16; 96:8; 108:21: 100:13:	27:5; 28:25; 29:14; 30:1; 32:6; 33:13;
90:17; 151:25;	24; 167:6	141:8; 149:10, 25	108:21; 109:13;	44:13; 45:21; 48:21,
217:10, 20	living [4] - 216:23;	Ltd [3] - 2:15; 104:13	110:10; 119:9; 120:2;	24; 49:6; 51:14; 53:2;

51.2 5 11.55.5.	10.11. 50.12. 66.6.	97:14	25; 65:4, 9, 15; 66:9,	197:4, 10, 12, 14, 25;
54:2, 5, 14; 55:5;	48:11; 50:13; 66:6;			197.4, 10, 12, 14, 25,
56:9; 57:4; 61:4; 62:2;	67:13; 77:25; 91:17;	mistaken [1] -	22; 67:9, 14, 18, 24;	198:1, 7, 14; 199:9,
63:19; 66:16; 73:18;	92:9; 94:3, 20; 95:15;	121:14	68:7, 13, 17; 69:5, 10,	12, 15, 20; 200:11;
74:24; 75:17; 82:18,	99:25; 102:2, 20, 25;	mistakes [1] - 14:12	21; 70:6, 14, 16, 20,	201:2, 8; 202:3, 14;
23; 83:21; 84:15;	103:13; 104:16;	misunderstanding	24; 71:3, 8, 14, 18;	207:22; 226:23;
87:6; 95:24; 96:22;				
	114:13; 147:20;	[3] - 26:1; 80:11; 212:6	72:5, 14, 16, 23; 73:1,	227:17; 228:10
101:4; 116:19;	158:15; 177:10;	mitigate [1] - 155:25	7, 14, 21; 74:2, 13,	MS [115] - 14:23;
121:15; 128:21;	183:12; 193:5;	mixture [1] - 18:23	22; 75:7, 13, 17, 25;	16:7, 14, 16, 18, 21;
142:2; 191:20;	196:12; 199:6, 11, 19;	MNP [6] - 2:11; 5:17;	76:3, 10, 19, 22; 77:8,	17:23; 23:13, 21;
196:16; 200:2	201:23, 202:2, 4, 13,	7:3; 14:3; 231:13	18; 78:2, 7, 19, 24;	24:23; 27:25; 30:4;
	209:17; 210:6, 9;			31:17; 34:9, 11, 13;
meaning [1] - 166:18		modernizing [1] -	79:2, 12, 18; 80:7, 10,	
means [1] - 74:11	228:6; 229:24	122:4	20; 81:1, 17; 82:5, 8,	41:8, 11; 47:15; 48:4;
meant [2] - 176:7;	method [1] - 187:2	moment [3] - 3:10;	13, 18; 83:4, 8, 14,	49:11, 17; 59:14;
190:4	metres [3] - 129:12;	19:14; 31:24	19, 25; 84:4, 13, 22;	66:3, 7, 14; 67:4;
measure [6] - 40:15;	209:25	Monday [1] - 119:11	85:1, 6, 8, 16; 86:12,	69:17; 88:11; 91:8,
86:17, 25; 87:4;	Meyers [1] - 7:4	money [3] - 209:2,	16, 21; 87:4, 15;	10; 92:21; 94:5, 8, 19;
123:18	mic [2] - 16:5; 134:2			
		16; 227:1	88:14, 17, 21; 89:5,	96:2, 15; 101:23;
measured [1] - 195:5	microphone [1] -	month [6] - 54:20;	24; 90:6, 13, 19;	102:15; 113:17;
measurement [1] -	194:18	77:16; 108:23;	91:23; 92:2, 5, 15, 25;	115:1, 12, 25; 116:2;
37:20	mid [1] - 73:9	109:17; 121:3; 208:6	93:6, 9, 12, 16, 19,	120:10; 126:2; 131:8,
measurements [2] -	middle [2] - 13:16;	months [8] - 23:8;	21, 25; 94:22; 95:4, 7,	19; 132:2; 133:10, 16;
20:2; 83:17	124:17	35:19; 36:9, 11;	17, 22, 24; 96:9, 12,	134:2, 6, 8-10, 21;
measures [1] -	might [29] - 16:11;	62:16; 80:22; 208:7;	17, 19, 23; 97:1, 20;	137:12, 18; 141:17;
163:22	21:15; 27:3; 39:20;	227:5	98:21; 99:6, 12, 22;	142:2, 19; 143:15;
mechanisms [2] -	66:4; 76:3, 16; 77:16;	morning [9] - 3:2;	100:10, 19; 101:8, 15;	144:25; 145:2;
175:14, 16	88:11; 95:11; 99:24;	5:2, 24; 6:3, 9; 7:7;	103:2, 10, 19; 104:4,	150:17, 23; 153:4;
media [2] - 8:23; 9:1	100:4; 111:23;	131:11; 134:11;	8, 15, 17, 20, 23;	156:17; 157:2;
mediation [4] -	114:18; 119:22;	160:25	105:2, 6, 13, 21;	158:17, 25; 159:1;
135:12; 150:8	127:18, 21, 23; 129:3,	most [19] - 21:24;	106:3, 5, 14, 20;	165:8, 14, 17, 22-23;
meet [8] - 35:24;	14; 140:1; 142:24;	22:18; 25:9; 28:12;	107:5, 15, 25; 108:11;	166:5; 167:12, 14;
65:2; 82:11; 116:20,	159:18; 165:16;	31:13; 43:8; 53:1;	109:10, 19; 110:1, 5,	170:20, 22; 171:21;
25; 161:9; 183:6;	170:4; 193:20;	60:9; 75:9; 110:1;	9, 14, 17, 21; 111:8,	172:8, 22; 175:23;
205:4	194:20; 196:23;	137:9; 151:20; 152:2;	19, 22; 112:1, 21;	177:4; 183:15;
meeting [13] - 8:19;	211:13	175:3; 210:17; 216:6;	113:4, 6, 16, 22;	184:13, 23, 25;
	-	223:6		
126:7, 9, 17, 19,	mile [11] - 123:9;		114:1, 6; 115:5, 15,	185:20; 186:18;
21-22; 138:19; 139:9;	124:2, 6, 22, 24;	mostly [1] - 202:4	17, 20, 23; 116.6, 16;	187:17, 19; 190:11;
158:3; 197:16	125:9, 14-15; 156:21;	mountain [1] - 3:19	117:4, 14; 118:2, 9,	192:15, 18; 193:8, 13;
meetings [3] -	178:20; 195:1	mouth [1] - 134:3	19, 25; 119:4, 25;	196:5, 7; 197:7, 19;
100:24; 134:17	miles [19] - 125:18;	move [21] - 22:4;	120:2, 7, 15; 121:2,	198:20; 201:18;
meets [1] - 20:14	144:12; 151:25;	27:15; 30:4; 34:4, 6;	25; 123:2; 124:15;	210:8; 213:23, 25;
				219:4
Member [2] - 2:7	152:3, 9, 20-22;	50:17; 59:21; 65:19;	126:11, 16, 19, 22;	
member [4] - 4:15;	155:24; 178:17;	71:23; 77:23; 81:7;	127:24; 128:3, 19;	MSD [1] - 135:18
5:7; 111:17; 117:20	179:7; 182:4, 7-8;	91:21; 92:25; 95:3;	129:1, 12-13; 130:18,	multiple [5] - 6:18;
members [9] - 3:20,	189:1, 3	178:3; 194:19; 199:3,	22; 133:18; 135:9, 23;	211:3; 229:17
24; 8:17, 23; 9:1;	mills [1] - 195:20	18; 209:13; 219:2;	136:2, 14, 25; 137:8,	Municipal [12] - 9:12;
12:13; 64:2; 132:5;	mind [5] - 27:13;	231:7	23; 138:5, 12; 139:17;	58:18; 135:14, 19, 21;
179:9				
	91:15; 100:9; 141:8;	moved [3] - 183:8;	140:2, 4, 7; 141:5;	138:6; 148:13;
memory [5] - 25:10;	230:4	204:18; 207:24	142:25; 145:11, 15,	150:11; 161:7;
69:22; 151:19; 153:12	minimize [2] - 10:15;	moving [4] - 38:18;	23; 146:9, 13-14;	222:13, 15
mention [1] - 129:19	225:8	79:13; 107:18; 151:1	147:1, 17, 19, 21-22,	municipal [19] - 4:4;
mentioned [8] - 16:2;	minimum [2] - 45:15;	MR [508] - 14:4;	24; 148:5, 9; 149:5,	57:7; 60:23; 61:13,
32:12; 88:22; 90:7;	144:8	18:14, 17, 23; 19:7,	15; 150:6, 21, 25;	19; 64:13, 16, 23;
117:11; 128:7;	Minister [16] -	11, 19; 21:2, 10, 21;	151:8, 11, 14, 17;	105:23: 113:3:
204:15; 205:2	119:17; 135:14;	22:10, 14, 18, 24;	152:5, 11; 153:9, 11,	138:13; 143:25;
		22.10, 17, 10, 27,	20.151.7 20 25.	
Meridian [1] - 9:11	140:17-19; 141:9;	23:2, 6, 19, 25; 24:4,	20; 154:7, 20, 25;	159:21; 162:6, 10;
merit [3] - 206:21;	148:7, 12, 20, 24-25;	6, 10, 19; 25:5, 9;	155:6, 15; 156:2, 20,	170:12; 184:11;
213:17; 228:19	149:9, 14, 18; 150:10;	26:4, 21, 23; 27:12,	22; 157:4, 11, 16, 22;	225:18
met [5] - 5:8; 61:19;	178:4	21; 28:8, 12; 29:4, 10;	158:11; 159:4; 160:6,	municipalities [21] -
163:21; 203:3; 204:21	Minister's [1] -	30:8, 16, 19; 31:9, 25;	15; 161:5; 162:11;	38:1; 58:13; 139:19;
METHERAL [68] -	149:25	32:4; 33:11, 24;	163:5, 13, 164:1, 6,	141:18, 20; 142:4, 10,
48:14, 18; 49:1, 5, 7,	ministerial [1] -	34:18, 21, 24; 35:14;	15, 24; 165:5; 167:17,	12; 143:23; 144:16;
23; 50:14; 58:25;	135:17	38:21, 23; 39:13;	19; 168:5, 7, 24;	155:2; 161:14;
59:16; 62:4; 64:18;	minor [4] - 72:3;	40:9; 41:21, 25; 42:5,	169:1, 7, 19, 21;	162:14; 175:13, 17;
66:9, 22; 67:14; 69:5,	107:1; 110:2; 183:21	11, 15, 23; 43:1, 7,	170:7, 11, 16; 171:2,	188:22; 212:18;
10, 21; 70:16; 74:2;	minute [9] - 6:14;	18, 25; 44:4, 11, 20;	7; 174:20; 176:5, 10;	214:10, 15; 216:13;
76:22; 78:2, 7; 86:12;	47:11; 94:22; 115:10;	45:5, 10, 21; 46:5, 7,	177:7, 9, 11-12, 14,	219:11
88:17; 91:23; 92:15,	170:20, 24; 180:14	9, 15, 18, 20, 24;	20, 23; 178:1, 8, 16,	municipality [30] -
25; 94:22; 95:4, 17;	minutes [9] - 38:16;	47:3, 9, 48:7, 10, 14,	19, 21, 23, 179.2, 5,	58:22; 59:1; 60:11;
96:9; 103:2, 10, 19;	49:5; 50:7; 131:19,	18; 49:1, 5, 7, 23;	8, 11, 15, 23; 180:2,	62:1; 110:7; 129:17;
	22 24 100.24 201.4			
104:4, 8, 13, 15, 17;	22, 24; 199:24; 201:4,	50:14, 24, 51:6, 11,	5, 9, 12, 16, 22, 25;	130:4; 148:4; 150:22;
105:6; 113:22;	20	14, 19; 52:2, 9, 18;	181:13, 16, 24; 182:1,	157:19; 159:25;
128:19; 129:1, 13;	misinterpreted [1] -	53:1, 11, 15, 21; 54:2,	6-7, 10-11, 16; 183:4,	160:4, 10, 13; 161:4,
130:18, 22; 147:21,	222:21	13, 19, 23; 55:5, 16,	18, 21-22; 184:21, 24;	9, 16; 162:8; 165:15;
24; 150:21, 25; 153:9;	misleading [2] -	25; 56:6, 14, 20, 24;	185:14; 186:4; 187:8;	181:22, 24, 188:25;
156:20; 157:4;	28:14; 75:16	57:4, 10, 16, 20; 58:3,	188:5, 18; 189:21;	206:24; 214:19, 25;
177:11, 14; 178:1;	misnomer [1] - 29:1	10, 16, 20, 24-25;	190:25; 191:5, 17, 24;	216:21; 217:1
180:16; 182:7, 11;	missed [4] - 14:21;	59:5, 16; 60:5, 8, 19,	192:20, 23; 193:3, 6,	Municipality [1] -
199:12, 15, 20; 201:2,	80:15; 86:19; 126:10	24; 61:2, 12, 21; 62:2,	10, 15, 20, 24; 194:5,	181:25
8; 202:14; 228:10	missing [5] - 55:9;	4, 13, 24; 63:14, 19,	21; 195:9, 15, 19;	municipality's [2] -
Metheral [36] - 2:15;	72:1; 73:2; 79:25;	22; 64:3, 7, 15, 18,	196:1, 11, 13, 24;	153:10; 177:14

municipally [1] - 156:10	never [10] - 21:25; 107:6; 108:15; 116:8;	85:22; 88:5, 19; 89:7, 12, 16, 20; 90:3, 8;	22 nuisances [4] -	68:5, 21; 74:4, 20; 75:1, 15, 20, 24; 77:1,
must [4] - 78:10;	119:17; 123:7;	91:2; 98:19; 99:1, 5,	141:21; 179:25; 225:8	20; 91:25; 93:5, 11,
87:17; 161:9; 163:2	126:19; 128:8, 10;	11; 106:21; 107:3, 5;	Number [8] - 6:19,	15, 17; 100:1, 9;
mute [3] - 115:18; 126:4; 226:20	162:5 nevertheless [1] -	111:7, 13, 20; 113:14; 129:16; 136:23;	22; 28:19; 38:24; 64:21; 78:12; 127:6,	101:3, 7, 17; 103:8; 110:19; 203:12, 18,
muted [2] - 185:18	224:4	137:4; 138:23;	11	21, 24-25; 204:23;
Métis [1] - 3:13	new [29] - 9:15; 12:1; 21:18, 22; 22:1;	149:19; 157:17; 203:12; 204:2, 4;	number [31] - 4:5, 15; 5:15; 6:13-16,	228:13; 229:3 Officer [2] - 28:19;
N	69:2-5, 9-10; 75:2;	205:7; 211:25; 212:4;	23-24; 7:13; 9:4;	78:11
nomo m. 0.0. 4.47.	92:13; 121:18; 125:7;	218:19	34:22; 36:2, 7; 37:17;	officer [69] - 4:3;
name [7] - 3:2; 4:17; 16:7; 118:23; 136:5;	130:9; 148:17; 150:5; 166:7, 23; 167:4;	notices [10] - 43:12; 90:6; 113:9; 124:12,	86:20; 87:18; 91:11, 20; 137:2; 157:25;	5:23, 25; 6:1; 9:8, 14, 25; 14:16; 16:9, 24;
171:22; 202:5	200:21; 204:12;	18; 136:10, 15, 23;	166:15; 168:13;	17:8, 14; 18:14;
namely [1] - 40:13 narrow [1] - 215:3	209:11; 211:17; 221:20, 22; 227:4	137:1; 145:4 notification [2] -	172:25; 173:21; 198:4, 6; 221:1;	31:20; 35:8, 13, 25; 36:3, 5, 21; 37:6, 16;
Nations [1] - 3:13	New [1] - 39:11	135:24; 204:18	230:25	38:14; 57:24; 78:15;
Natural [1] - 2:1	newspaper [13] -	notifications [4] -	numbers [7] - 6:22;	87:2, 14; 88:1;
natural [2] - 101:25; 189:25	20:18; 29:16; 43:9; 56:25; 70:15; 72:9;	89:1; 134:25; 136:9; 138:22	15:12; 122:5; 166:25; 167:6; 183:5; 224:16	110:12; 112:18; 113:2, 13, 20, 23;
naturally [1] - 37:13	74:14; 90:9, 23, 25;	notified [5] - 22:21,	numerous [2] -	117:15; 163:15;
nature [2] - 174:24; 215:15	135:2; 136:18 newspapers [1] -	23; 49:25; 88:10; 134:23	154:9; 191:13	165:3; 172:1; 203:19; 204:6, 13; 205:6, 10,
NE [2] - 9:11; 208:22	89:2	November [53] -	0	16, 18, 21, 25; 206:4,
near [5] - 4:18; 41:9;	next [17] - 13:7, 15;	25:12; 27:17; 51:22;	(1 400.00	7, 9, 11; 207:10, 12;
129:24; 169:15; 183:9 necessarily [9] -	38:23; 42:8; 44:6; 46:12, 16; 48:2;	52:12; 55:2; 70:19; 71:15, 20; 72:2, 4, 6,	oath [1] - 102:22 object [5] - 59:14;	210:12; 211:15, 21; 213:5; 215:21; 217:6,
55:3; 56:6; 58:3;	62:18; 75:18; 91:21,	25; 73:9, 11; 80:6;	69:17; 91:8; 113:17;	25; 219:22; 221:4;
81:24; 99:18; 185:6;	24; 102:3, 7, 12;	81:4; 87:9; 105:20;	150:17	223:15, 23; 228:20,
189:5; 190:2; 230:15 necessary [3] - 11:4;	109:20; 133:8 nice [3] - 34:11, 16;	106:16, 19, 24; 112:8; 118:15; 138:1, 9;	objecting [2] - 67:2, 4	23; 229:5, 8 officer's [7] - 3:4;
185:12; 200:17	183:8	139:15; 145:8, 14, 19,	objection [4] - 15:16;	17:6; 36:14; 121:21;
need [38] - 7:25; 8:6; 10:18; 11:8; 12:6;	night [1] - 125:23 nobody [2] - 190:12;	22; 146:2, 8, 18; 157:9, 12, 15; 173:17,	65:25; 66:17; 132:3 objections [8] -	203:16; 207:16; 226:15
13:24; 16:16; 20:1;	211:18	23, 25; 174:3, 5, 11;	13:25; 15:18, 21;	officers [12] - 16:4;
21:11; 44:17, 25;	non [5] - 81:13, 22;	184:17; 203:4, 6;	131:25; 132:2;	33:18; 36:22; 37:23;
72:21; 79:4; 88:15; 91:19; 93:24; 100:2;	127:6; 144:2; 218:17 non-agricultural [1]	205:5; 219:12, 21; 220:4, 9, 15	201:15, 17 objective [2] - 21:3;	38:3; 56:15; 88:4; 94:18; 100:20;
102:21; 103:14, 16,	- 127:6	nowhere [1] - 66:2	37:19	166:13; 211:3; 212:23
18; 104:1, 6; 106:15; 108:18; 121:9; 122:2;	non-compliant [1] - 218:17	noxious [1] - 179:16 NRCB [125] - 2:13;	obligation [2] - 184:21; 189:15	offices [7] - 24:2; 74:10, 16, 18, 24;
131:6; 150:4; 164:18;	non-farmed [2] -	3:4, 22; 4:3, 13, 16,	obligations [1] - 13:4	100:2; 137:6
191:21; 192:21;	81:13, 22	19; 5:4, 11; 7:5, 13,	observation [1] -	Official [1] - 2:23
194:19; 199:8; 200:18; 204:3; 227:8	non-residential [1] - 144:2	15; 8:16; 12:12; 16:24; 17:21; 18:13;	162:3 observations [1] -	official [4] - 88:6; 141:9; 148:3; 177:18
needed [11] - 54:11,	none [5] - 13:11;	24:2; 34:17, 19, 25;	163:17	officially [2] - 70:6;
18; 55:21; 73:2;	15:4, 9, 21; 171:12 noon [1] - 103:3	35:6; 36:3; 39:23; 40:1; 50:20; 52:5, 23;	observe [2] - 8:17; 213:4	139:11 often [9] - 21:15;
116:12; 138:2, 10; 150:14; 174:12;	normal [3] - 33:15;	40.1, 30.20, 32.3, 23, 56:19; 57:2; 65:20;	observed [1] - 211:9	38:1; 45:12; 68:8;
204:8; 228:21	61:16; 76:5	67:22; 74:10, 16, 18,	obtain [1] - 214:25	73:4; 95:25; 162:16;
needs [4] - 28:11; 72:8; 82:19; 224:22	normally [5] - 25:21; 62:15; 85:3; 141:17	20, 24; 75:23; 76:25; 77:1; 86:13, 15, 18,	obvious [1] - 103:6 obviously [7] - 21:5;	214:18 oftentimes [1] -
negotiated [2] -	Norris [1] - 7:4	25; 87:11; 88:25;	23:3; 36:1; 50:6; 53:2;	162:11
138:15; 179:19	north [9] - 124:5;	89:4, 22; 90:11; 91:6, 24: 03:2 4, 11, 15	81:3; 131:10	Old [1] - 172:2
negotiating [1] - 150:7	128:13, 16; 144:11, 14; 151:16; 152:22;	24; 93:2, 4, 11, 15, 17; 99:17; 100:7, 13;	occasional [1] - 75:8 occur [9] - 42:16;	old [3] - 7:13; 126:4; 209:7
negotiation [19] -	189:4; 227:25	105:4, 12; 106:17;	81:4; 98:19; 107:3;	Olds [1] - 4:5
142:25; 151:18; 152:1, 23; 156:3, 7,	northeast [1] - 169:24	107:15; 108:5; 110:12; 111:11, 21;	123:3; 138:2; 162:13; 181:20; 218:15	once [11] - 11:16, 25; 12:14, 23; 20:6, 15;
14; 175:17; 176:10;	northwest [1] -	116:15, 17-18, 20, 25;	occurred [9] - 60:17:	184:23; 211:4;
178:9, 14, 21; 182:18; 206:16; 207:8;	207:23 note [4] - 79:9;	118:7, 12, 18; 138:23; 139:19; 141:2; 158:3,	65:21; 70:3; 110:20; 123:7; 140:1; 157:18;	230:24; 231:9; 232:10 one [68] - 8:1; 26:2;
225:12, 15; 229:16	111:1; 129:22; 173:21	19, 23; 160:24; 161:5,	207:4; 218:9	29:2; 30:24; 31:3, 12;
negotiations [4] -	notes [2] - 23:7;	16, 21; 162:7, 14, 20;	occurring [2] -	36:3; 41:12; 44:24;
106:7; 143:7; 151:22; 178:11	201:14 nothing [10] - 28:23;	163:4, 22; 164:21; 165:12, 25; 166:8;	37:13; 173:17 occurs [3] - 24:21;	53:4, 6; 60:13; 63:12, 18-19, 21; 72:9, 18;
neighbour [6] - 9:23;	31:10; 43:14, 16;	183:5; 188:22; 189:1;	216:21; 218:16	80:16; 94:22; 99:20;
68:21; 118:3; 125:21; 208:18, 21	56:9; 107:12; 147:17; 167:10; 214:12, 20	203:12, 18, 25; 204:23; 205:1; 207:3;	October [4] - 105:17; 112:7	107:20; 109:2; 115:10; 117:11;
neighbouring [1] -	notice [83] - 25:19,	210:11; 211:12, 24;	odd [1] - 76:4	118:13, 21; 121:12;
74:7	22; 27:22; 29:18, 20;	212:4, 9, 11, 13, 15;	odour [6] - 129:20;	122:22; 125:13;
neighbours [12] - 11:22; 14:18; 20:17;	32:3, 7; 37:2; 43:8, 22; 44:2; 55:11; 56:2,	213:10; 215:25; 216:18; 224:2;	179:16, 22; 180:3; 182:21; 227:21	126:7; 133:15; 137:12; 139:15;
31:4; 43:21; 83:10;	4-5, 22; 57:3, 5, 9, 14,	228:12, 18; 229:3	OFF [1] - 7:22	141:14, 24; 142:2;
111:9; 124:22, 24;	17; 68:2, 11, 19; 60:15, 24: 70:3, 0, 13:	NRCB's [12] - 8:18;	offer [1] - 114:8	143:16; 147:14;
127:12; 206:22; 230:13	69:15, 24; 70:3, 9, 13; 71:12, 16, 21; 72:4, 8,	11:18; 23:14; 42:14; 62:5; 70:2; 74:4;	office [48] - 18:15; 33:12, 15; 38:25;	151:24; 162:14; 165:22; 166:11, 21;
neighbours' [1] -	12; 73:4; 74:6, 8, 12;	89:19; 160:4; 161:1	39:2; 43:1, 21, 23;	167:17; 169:9; 175:4,
208:18 network [1] - 154:24	75:12, 23; 76:8, 12; 77:3, 17; 79:4; 84:15;	nuisance [4] - 127:20, 22; 206:19,	44:3; 60:23; 63:6; 65:20; 67:15, 22;	13, 16, 21; 178:9; 185:22; 187:20;
116LWOIK [1] - 134.24	11.0, 11, 13.4, 04.10,	121.20, 22, 200.19,	00.20, 07.10, 22,	103.22, 107.20,

197:25; 199:2; 200:4;	200:24; 210:13	159:5; 165:23;	136:6; 144:20;	170:23; 175:6;
208:2, 12; 209:13;				176:18; 178:5;
	outline [3] - 167:10;	167:19; 169:10;	199:11; 200:13;	
210:20; 211:8; 213:4;	172:9, 16	170:22; 171:16;	216:14; 232:2	185:23; 188:24;
216:24; 218:20;	outlined [2] - 47:18; 170:8	172:8; 177:11;	partly [1] - 100:6	194:17; 196:19;
230:5, 7, 13		183:22; 185:21;	parts [1] - 35:12	203:10; 207:8; 212:7;
ongoing [3] - 60:20;	outlining [1] - 154:2	186:20; 187:19;	party [4] - 9:22;	223:6; 229:6
79:6; 138:14	outside [10] - 33:17;	190:16	12:14; 85:5, 15	period [13] - 25:7;
online [4] - 3:12;	35:25; 36:4, 14; 38:3,	Panel's [1] - 34:5	pass [2] - 16:5;	33:4, 6-7, 11; 34:8;
8:24; 14:10; 94:21	6; 123:8; 152:3, 9;	paper [6] - 43:13;	207:18	39:14; 92:16; 100:25;
onscreen [1] - 6:6	191:11	44:12; 73:4; 88:5;	passed [7] - 28:15;	147:7; 148:10; 204:4;
Ontario [1] - 221:21	overall [1] - 38:8	90:3; 124:18	125:23; 126:23;	217:18
onus [1] - 54:1	overseeing [1] - 7:6	papers [2] - 21:12;	139:11; 219:15;	permit [30] - 27:2;
open [23] - 12:11;	oversight [1] - 163:3	74:12	220:11	50:25; 56:18; 61:17;
32:19; 62:6; 74:2, 10,	overturn [2] - 17:5;	paperwork [5] - 20:6;	passing [3] - 22:17;	63:9, 15; 65:16; 06:20, 22; 106:15;
16, 20; 75:15, 20, 24;	121:20	29:13; 44:14; 73:19;	185:12; 222:4	96:20, 22; 106:15;
77:20; 93:11, 15, 17;	overview [3] - 11:10;	108:13	past [9] - 4:12;	108:21; 109:18;
100:2; 134:12, 21;	36:23; 97:21	paragraph [14] -	43:20; 53:12; 58:3;	110:24; 113:8, 10-11;
152:6; 189:24;	own [8] - 2:21; 27:20;	23:23; 31:22, 24;	68:14; 98:6; 192:6; 201:22: 212:10	116:7, 13, 19; 117:21,
203:21, 25; 218:23;	163:17; 186:11, 16;	32:2; 40:2; 42:2; 77:4;	201:23; 213:10	23; 118:5, 13; 119:7,
220:22	208:16; 216:4	137:17, 20, 22; 160:8;	paste [1] - 62:10	12; 121:9; 190:20;
operated [1] - 231:14	owner [4] - 121:6;	163:7; 215:10; 221:13	path [1] - 161:25	209:14, 21; 223:12
operating [1] - 76:5 operation [8] - 9:10;	208:12, 15	paragraphs [1] - 221:6	patient [1] - 59:19	permits [7] - 18:22; 118:10; 155:16;
	owners [1] - 118:20	parameters [2] -	patterns [1] - 179:10	166:20, 22; 167:5;
36:16; 127:20; 142:5;	ownership [1] - 61:15	189:5	pause [3] - 5:18; 48:19; 69:1	223:8
160:2; 167:8; 168:10; 198:13	01.15	Parks [1] - 4:12		permitted [9] -
	Р		pay [4] - 3:9; 122:14;	
operation's [1] - 121:23	E	Parks' [1] - 26:8	194:3; 226:25	53:13, 15, 18, 20; 121:21; 167:7;
operations [8] -	P.M [5] - 132:10, 12;	Part [33] - 19:22; 21:7; 31:22; 32:6;	paying [1] - 116:23 pdf [26] - 6:15,	217:17; 223:1
	133:3, 5; 232:17	21.7, 31.22, 32.0, 35:17, 21; 42:3; 44:7;	21-22; 23:16; 25:1;	1
141:22; 162:21; 163:1; 166:17, 24;	page [82] - 6:15, 19,	52:6, 10; 54:22;	27:15; 28:3; 30:5, 9;	permitting [4] - 108:14; 181:22;
	24; 8:18; 23:16; 25:2;	69:22; 82:10, 23;	31:19; 38:18; 39:24;	187:24; 188:3
167:4; 171:25; 182:22 operator [1] - 9:22	27:18; 28:4, 18; 29:6;	90:21; 112:2, 9, 11;	41:15; 46:1; 137:14;	person [4] - 8:25;
opinion [4] - 79:15;	30:5, 9; 31:19; 38:18,	118:14; 120:18;	159:9; 172:24;	100:11; 227:18; 228:3
88:19; 150:20; 183:8	24; 39:24; 41:16;	121:7; 163:14; 169:3;	173:13, 22; 174:4, 7;	persons [1] - 87:24
opportunities [1] -	42:2; 46:1, 12, 14;	184:7; 219:23; 220:4,	175:24; 221:7, 14;	perspective [6] -
13:5	59:24; 60:1; 62:6, 8-9;	17; 222:10	224:16	88:16; 91:18; 99:3;
opportunity [33] -	64:19; 69:12, 22;	part [29] - 3:8; 17:8;	PEDRO [1] - 172:6	150:18; 156:5
11:14; 12:15, 21, 25;	70:17; 74:3; 76:23;	19:20; 35:16; 39:25;	Pedro [2] - 2:19;	pertaining [1] -
13:2; 16:21; 23:18;	77:23; 78:2, 9-10;	45:6; 53:1; 73:8; 74:9,	171:24	136:16
25:3; 38:20; 83:1;	79:22; 81:9; 105:7;	15; 75:9; 95:20;	pending [2] - 215:11	Peter [3] - 2:6; 3:3;
94:25; 130:13; 142:8;	111:2; 129:5; 137:14;	99:24; 100:8; 111:4;	Penny [1] - 7:4	4:17
156:11; 157:23;	145:6, 17-18; 146:3;	117:8; 139:20;	pens [1] - 81:2	phone [26] - 5:13;
158:6; 163:16;	151:3; 157:10, 12;	143:22; 163:17;	people [16] - 14:15;	50:4; 51:25; 60:8, 22;
175:18; 180:5;	159:9; 166:15;	175:3; 186:24;	63:5, 23; 72:20;	75:2, 7, 19, 21; 77:20;
190:18; 192:12, 25;	172:24; 173:4, 10, 13,	210:18; 212:7;	75:20; 85:19, 24;	80:3; 108:2, 15-16,
194:1, 4; 196:22;	21; 174:4, 7; 175:24;	214:24; 225:14	103:8; 108:17;	23, 25; 116:8, 11-12;
200:7, 10, 12, 19;	183:24; 184:3;	partial [1] - 117:9	117:12, 24; 118:8;	117:14, 17; 119:5, 8;
226:1, 12; 228:7	187:21; 189:11;	partially [1] - 32:20	122:12; 131:25;	140:7; 161:25
opposed [2] -	219:20; 221:6;	participant [2] -	133:12, 191:13	phoned [2] - 75:1;
160:21; 164:22	224:15-17; 225:23;	11:14; 14:9	per [1] - 19:8	79:12
opposing [1] - 143 1	230:25	participants [2] -	perceived [2] -	phones [2] - 50:6;
optimistic [1] - 82:14	PAGE [1] - 67:12	10:16; 202:21	76:17; 84:17	75:1
option [1] - 116:18	pages [12] - 6:18;	participate [1] -	percent [13] - 19:12;	phonetic [1] - 37:14
oral [1] - 18:5	25:4; 27:11, 15; 28:3,	214:15	35:3; 37:21; 40:3, 16,	photo [4] - 45:13;
oranges [1] - 213:6	5, 10, 12; 49:8;	participated [1] -	19; 44:12; 50:24;	46:14; 80:24; 84:6
orchard [1] - 213:8	173:14; 219:7; 221:13	214:10	53:3; 58:4; 99:12;	photographs [1] -
order [14] - 30:21;	paid [1] - 122:10	participating [1] -	161:11	83:17
41:9; 47:21; 48:1;	paints [1] - 38:8	14:21	perfect [13] - 4:7;	photos [2] - 83:18,
73:3; 97:10; 102:15;	pandemic [1] -	participation [12] -	7:19; 15:4; 16:1, 15;	21
135:17; 138:2, 5;	100:22	10:6; 159:21; 162:6;	28:4; 49:20; 74:24;	phrase [1] - 57:4
174:12; 205:10;	panel [11] - 13:14-16;	171:5; 193:23; 199:4;	192:10; 197:21, 23;	physical [1] - 100:10
219:13; 222:5	17:16; 102:9; 144:23; 165:18: 171:14, 20:	200:25; 214:9; 216:12: 230:0: 232:11	202:7, 11	physically[1] -
ordinary [4] - 162:9;	165:18; 171:14, 20; 100:14	216:12; 230:9; 232:11	performance [12] -	189:22
211:9; 215:5	190:14 Panel [19] - 3:3, 18,	particle [1] - 37:13	37:19; 40:9, 11, 15;	picked [1] - 179:13
organization [3] - 31:14; 99:19; 212:9	20, 24; 4:25; 10:7, 17;	particular [12] - 36:3; 37:18; 67:24; 98:23;	86:17, 25; 87:4; 163:22; 211:11; 232:3	picture [2] - 38:9; 129:6
JI.14, JJ.13, ZIZ.J	20.24.4.23.10.7.17.		perhaps [50] - 42:23;	
organized 141 - 7.25				piece [7] - 13:7; 54:3;
organized [1] - 7:25	12:13, 20; 47:24;	142:17; 145:12; 154:10: 161:3:		69.11 74.15 02.23
original [3] - 51:24;	12:13, 20; 47:24; 132:5; 134:16;	154:10; 161:3;	49:1; 50:17, 21;	69:11; 74:15; 92:23; 96:3: 202:18
original [3] - 51:24; 98:10; 220:19	12:13, 20; 47:24; 132:5; 134:16; 144:21; 159:18;	154:10; 161:3; 167:23; 194:3; 222:5	49:1; 50:17, 21; 51:18; 52:23; 58:12;	96:3; 202:18
original [3] - 51:24; 98:10; 220:19 originally [2] - 89:12;	12:13, 20; 47:24; 132:5; 134:16; 144:21; 159:18; 197:24; 230:8;	154:10; 161:3; 167:23; 194:3; 222:5 particularly [3] -	49:1; 50:17, 21; 51:18; 52:23; 58:12; 59:10; 67:22; 70:10,	96:3; 202:18 pieces [1] - 12:8
original [3] - 51:24; 98:10; 220:19 originally [2] - 89:12; 121:25	12:13, 20; 47:24; 132:5; 134:16; 144:21; 159:18; 197:24; 230:8; 231:19, 21	154:10; 161:3; 167:23; 194:3; 222:5 particularly [3] - 12:5; 18:6; 162:23	49:1; 50:17, 21; 51:18; 52:23; 58:12; 59:10; 67:22; 70:10, 22; 71:4; 79:15;	96:3; 202:18 pieces [1] - 12:8 place [15] - 9:17;
original [3] - 51:24; 98:10; 220:19 originally [2] - 89:12; 121:25 ORSC [1] - 134:24	12:13, 20; 47:24; 132:5; 134:16; 144:21; 159:18; 197:24; 230:8; 231:19, 21 PANEL [30] - 17:23;	154:10; 161:3; 167:23; 194:3; 222:5 particularly [3] - 12:5; 18:6; 162:23 parties [31] - 10:2,	49:1; 50:17, 21; 51:18; 52:23; 58:12; 59:10; 67:22; 70:10, 22; 71:4; 79:15; 86:19; 87:15; 88:19;	96:3; 202:18 pieces [1] - 12:8 place [15] - 9:17; 17:3; 24:13; 39:3;
original [3] - 51:24; 98:10; 220:19 originally [2] - 89:12; 121:25 ORSC [1] - 134:24 otherwise [5] -	12:13, 20; 47:24; 132:5; 134:16; 144:21; 159:18; 197:24; 230:8; 231:19, 21 PANEL [30] - 17:23; 41:11; 50:14; 96:19;	154:10; 161:3; 167:23; 194:3; 222:5 particularly [3] - 12:5; 18:6; 162:23 parties [31] - 10:2, 14, 19, 21; 11:13;	49:1; 50:17, 21; 51:18; 52:23; 58:12; 59:10; 67:22; 70:10, 22; 71:4; 79:15; 86:19; 87:15; 88:19; 92:25; 95:18; 103:4,	96:3; 202:18 pieces [1] - 12:8 place [15] - 9:17; 17:3; 24:13; 39:3; 100:23; 106:6;
original [3] - 51:24; 98:10; 220:19 originally [2] - 89:12; 121:25 ORSC [1] - 134:24 otherwise [5] - 32:15; 54:5; 131:15;	12:13, 20; 47:24; 132:5; 134:16; 144:21; 159:18; 197:24; 230:8; 231:19, 21 PANEL [30] - 17:23; 41:11; 50:14; 96:19; 98:15; 102:10;	154:10; 161:3; 167:23; 194:3; 222:5 particularly [3] - 12:5; 18:6; 162:23 partics [31] - 10:2, 14, 19, 21; 11:13; 12:12, 24; 13:1;	49:1; 50:17, 21; 51:18; 52:23; 58:12; 59:10; 67:22; 70:10, 22; 71:4; 79:15; 86:19; 87:15; 88:19; 92:25; 95:18; 103:4, 23; 104:5; 105:18, 23;	96:3; 202:18 pieces [1] - 12:8 place [15] - 9:17; 17:3; 24:13; 39:3; 100:23; 106:6; 119:23; 123:20;
original [3] - 51:24; 98:10; 220:19 originally [2] - 89:12; 121:25 ORSC [1] - 134:24 otherwise [5] - 32:15; 54:5; 131:15; 213:18; 214:21	12:13, 20; 47:24; 132:5; 134:16; 144:21; 159:18; 197:24; 230:8; 231:19, 21 PANEL [30] - 17:23; 41:11; 50:14; 96:19; 98:15; 102:10; 104:15; 116:2; 117:5;	154:10; 161:3; 167:23; 194:3; 222:5 particularly [3] - 12:5; 18:6; 162:23 parties [31] - 10:2, 14, 19, 21; 11:13; 12:12, 24; 13:1; 14:10, 14, 18; 17:16;	49:1; 50:17, 21; 51:18; 52:23; 58:12; 59:10; 67:22; 70:10, 22; 71:4; 79:15; 86:19; 87:15; 88:19; 92:25; 95:18; 103:4, 23; 104:5; 105:18, 23; 107:20; 109:25;	96:3; 202:18 pieces [1] - 12:8 place [15] - 9:17; 17:3; 24:13; 39:3; 100:23; 106:6; 119:23; 123:20; 142:18; 163:22;
original [3] - 51:24; 98:10; 220:19 originally [2] - 89:12; 121:25 ORSC [1] - 134:24 otherwise [5] - 32:15; 54:5; 131:15; 213:18; 214:21 ought [2] - 102:19;	12:13, 20; 47:24; 132:5; 134:16; 144:21; 159:18; 197:24; 230:8; 231:19, 21 PANEL [30] - 17:23; 41:11; 50:14; 96:19; 98:15; 102:10; 104:15; 116:2; 117:5; 120:11; 126:6;	154:10; 161:3; 167:23; 194:3; 222:5 particularly [3] - 12:5; 18:6; 162:23 parties [31] - 10:2, 14, 19, 21; 11:13; 12:12, 24; 13:1; 14:10, 14, 18; 17:16; 34:3; 41:1, 18, 23;	49:1; 50:17, 21; 51:18; 52:23; 58:12; 59:10; 67:22; 70:10, 22; 71:4; 79:15; 86:19; 87:15; 88:19; 92:25; 95:18; 103:4, 23; 104:5; 105:18, 23; 107:20; 109:25; 127:15, 20; 129:20;	96:3; 202:18 pieces [1] - 12:8 place [15] - 9:17; 17:3; 24:13; 39:3; 100:23; 106:6; 119:23; 123:20; 142:18; 163:22; 175:9; 182:14; 207:5;
original [3] - 51:24; 98:10; 220:19 originally [2] - 89:12; 121:25 ORSC [1] - 134:24 otherwise [5] - 32:15; 54:5; 131:15; 213:18; 214:21 ought [2] - 102:19; 128:1	12:13, 20; 47:24; 132:5; 134:16; 144:21; 159:18; 197:24; 230:8; 231:19, 21 PANEL [30] - 17:23; 41:11; 50:14; 96:19; 98:15; 102:10; 104:15; 116:2; 117:5; 120:11; 126:6; 130:24; 134:10;	154:10; 161:3; 167:23; 194:3; 222:5 particularly [3] - 12:5; 18:6; 162:23 parties [31] - 10:2, 14, 19, 21; 11:13; 12:12, 24; 13:1; 14:10, 14, 18; 17:16; 34:3; 41:1, 18, 23; 87:25; 88:8, 15;	49:1; 50:17, 21; 51:18; 52:23; 58:12; 59:10; 67:22; 70:10, 22; 71:4; 79:15; 86:19; 87:15; 88:19; 92:25; 95:18; 103:4, 23; 104:5; 105:18, 23; 107:20; 109:25; 127:15, 20; 129:20; 133:10, 18; 134:14;	96:3; 202:18 pieces [1] - 12:8 place [15] - 9:17; 17:3; 24:13; 39:3; 100:23; 106:6; 119:23; 123:20; 142:18; 163:22; 175:9; 182:14; 207:5; 208:16; 221:21
original [3] - 51:24; 98:10; 220:19 originally [2] - 89:12; 121:25 ORSC [1] - 134:24 otherwise [5] - 32:15; 54:5; 131:15; 213:18; 214:21 ought [2] - 102:19;	12:13, 20; 47:24; 132:5; 134:16; 144:21; 159:18; 197:24; 230:8; 231:19, 21 PANEL [30] - 17:23; 41:11; 50:14; 96:19; 98:15; 102:10; 104:15; 116:2; 117:5; 120:11; 126:6;	154:10; 161:3; 167:23; 194:3; 222:5 particularly [3] - 12:5; 18:6; 162:23 parties [31] - 10:2, 14, 19, 21; 11:13; 12:12, 24; 13:1; 14:10, 14, 18; 17:16; 34:3; 41:1, 18, 23;	49:1; 50:17, 21; 51:18; 52:23; 58:12; 59:10; 67:22; 70:10, 22; 71:4; 79:15; 86:19; 87:15; 88:19; 92:25; 95:18; 103:4, 23; 104:5; 105:18, 23; 107:20; 109:25; 127:15, 20; 129:20;	96:3; 202:18 pieces [1] - 12:8 place [15] - 9:17; 17:3; 24:13; 39:3; 100:23; 106:6; 119:23; 123:20; 142:18; 163:22; 175:9; 182:14; 207:5;

places [1] - 208:18	19:9; 25:17; 88:12;
plan [32] - 9:17; 20:8;	153:23; 169:15;
34:2; 61:13, 19;	176:12; 225:5
64:13, 16, 23; 100:22;	portions [1] - 9:24
105:23; 106:2; 113:3;	posed [4] - 12:19;
145:19; 152:13, 24;	41:1; 117:10; 153:5
153:20; 166:16;	position [32] - 16:25;
172:20; 176:15; 181:9-12, 14-15, 17-18; 184:11;	17:2, 4; 18:12, 16; 34:17, 20; 52:25; 73:12; 87:8; 93:1; 107:10; 117:22;
189:24; 219:10; 224:22; 227:8 planned [4] - 224:19; 225:2, 21; 226:2	153:7, 10; 159:16, 19; 160:4, 11, 14; 162:12, 20; 166:11; 184:16;
planner [6] - 172:2;	203:2, 17; 210:13;
175:6; 188:13, 15;	213:19; 215:3, 7;
189:18; 225:13	216:3, 7
planners [2] -	positions [1] -
188:24; 224:7	199:21
planning [22] - 18:2;	positive [1] - 100:20
58:6; 61:6, 11; 85:11;	possess [1] - 118:8
122:8; 128:8, 10;	possession [5] -
130:11; 142:15;	104:24; 120:14, 17,
143:9, 11, 14; 166:7;	23; 121:12
171:25: 172:16:	possible [21] - 10:16;
171:25; 172:16; 174:18, 21; 185:22; 203:3; 206:10; 224:8 plans [5] - 170:12;	17:8; 20:22; 30:15, 17; 52:18; 73:16; 78:4; 83:5; 89:16;
185:24; 186:11;	90:23; 94:6; 131:12;
225:21	154:22; 156:10;
plate [1] - 202:6	160:9; 172:11; 183:7;
platform [1] - 231:14	207:9; 208:14; 229:9
plausible [2] - 53:3;	post [6] - 28:24;
154:17	48:15; 136:15; 137:4;
point [46] - 11:2;	201:11; 222:23
13:10: 17:18: 18:8:	post-marked [1] -
13:10; 17:18; 18:8; 19:25; 20:5, 12, 23; 27:12; 30:2, 24; 31:15; 32:18; 61:2,	28:24 posted [11] - 15:11; 56:18; 136:12; 137:1,
21; 62:20; 66:10; 72:10; 106:22; 109:3; 118:21; 122:6; 123:14, 24; 136:10; 144:18; 150:12;	10; 145:12, 21; 173:24; 174:5; 220:8 posting [2] - 136:23; 137:7
144:18; 150:12;	potential [9] -
179:18; 184:11,	114:18; 127:3;
24-25; 188:20; 189:7;	156:11; 168:20;
191:18; 207:9;	187:7; 189:22;
215:19, 25; 216:11;	206:19; 208:24; 226:5
221:3, 8; 222:2;	potentially [3] -
224:12, 18; 225:7	101:25; 123:13; 127:8
pointed [2] - 78:5;	pounds [1] - 208:5
180:18	power [1] - 214:17
points [12] - 12:4;	practice [8] - 81:15;
25:11; 29:3; 62:7;	89:6, 10; 91:1; 93:2;
86:10; 98:4; 127:5;	211:24; 212:2
195:7, 25; 214:6;	practices [2] - 83:3;
221:5	84:9
policies [3] - 4:10;	pre [1] - 184:7
99:20; 225:7	pre-dated [1] - 184:7
policy [28] - 4:22;	preceded [1] -
17:3; 39:15; 43:4;	134:19
57:2; 62:6; 76:25; 86:14; 87:16; 88:12, 25; 89:4, 25; 91:6; 99:17, 19; 167:2, 8; 176:2; 189:12;	precedence [1] - 184:10 precedents [1] - 58:4 preclude [1] - 97:7
176:2; 189:12;	precludes [1] -
203:15; 204:24;	214:12
205:1; 228:14, 18;	predominant [1] -
229:3	153:12
Policy [2] - 175:25;	prefer [1] - 189:9
189:14	preferred [1] -
political [7] - 175:3	180:25
9; 178:9, 14; 188:12;	preliminary [2] -
225:12, 15	11:15; 15:7
politically [3] -	prepare [3] - 23:5;
180:12; 182:17; 187:2	201:3
politician [2] -	prepared [3] - 15:11;
188:19; 189:17	72:4; 199:25
portion [11] - 11:17;	preparing [2] - 23:4;
12:19; 15:7; 16:2;	71:11

prescribed [2] -85:9, 17 present [8] - 11:19; 16:22; 103:11; 133:9; 194:1; 199:7; 202:17; 221:18 16:25; presented [4] -12:10, 15; 103:23; 157.7 presenting [4] -10:17; 103:14, 17; 202:2 16, 19; 62:12, preserves [1] - 225:7 pressure [5] 106:12, 15; 116:3, 5, presumably [1] -120:22 presume [3] -102:25; 169:16; 195:3 presumed [1] - 85:18 pretty [13] - 6:20; 13:19; 27:1; 28:22 60:9; 67:5; 79:8, 21; 10:16; 83:21; 143:6; 159:20; 193:17.22 prevailing [20] 129:20; 153:11, 13; 155:21; 156:13; 175:4; 178:22; 179:1, 13, 15, 17, 21; 180:19, 21, 23; 189:7; 206:19; 224:20; 225:4 , 137:4; previous [6] - 91:12; 92:12; 121:6; 137:7; 195:15; 200:3 previously [4] 139:22; 166:18, 23; 137:1, 184:20 primarily [2] · 169:20; 219:6 36:23; primary [3] - 154:10; 163:5; 164:25 print [1] - 63:7 priority [2] - 112:17; 150.15privilege [1] - 5:5 226:5 probe [2] - 97:13; 168:2 127:8 probing [1] - 167:20 problem [5] - 14:4; 115:19; 179:16; 191:21; 195:23 problems [1] -106:10 procedural [2] -15:8; 17:11 **proceed** [11] - 16:6; 20:1, 16; 78:6; 103:9; 184:7 114:17; 133:18; 135:15; 172:10; 182:19; 195:7 proceeded [6] - 83:6; - 58.4 105:16; 111:20; 113:13; 159:13; 216:5 **proceeding** [7] -10:12; 150:9; 200:23; 205:7; 211:18; 214:9, PROCEEDINGS [6] -3:1; 132:10, 12; 133:5; 232:17, 19 Proceedings [1] -2.1 proceedings [5] -200:15; 214:11, 15; 15:11; 215:14 process [126] · 10:11, 15, 24; 11:1; 13:8; 19:21; 22:20,

25: 26:10: 27:4: 32:23; 35:16; 36:25; 37:24; 41:19; 45:7; 50:23; 51:17, 21; 54:9; 55:10; 56:2, 22; 62:20; 71:16; 72:16; 76:9; 79:2, 13; 84:24; 86:3; 96:22; 108:10, 14; 109:13, 16; 118:8, 12, 18, 24; 122:23; 134:18; 135:5, 11-12, 25; 136:1, 3, 11, 13, 16; 140:12, 23-24; 142:11, 20; 143:6; 145:5; 147:3, 11; 148:9, 16; 150:5, 7, 13; 151:17; 152:1, 23; 154:7; 155:23; 156:2, 6; 160:16, 22-24; 161:1, 5, 10, 25 162:1; 163:2; 165:4, 13; 166:6; 168:22; 175:9; 178:19; 185:6, 12; 186:4; 188:12; 197:2; 202:23; 203:9, 15; 205:9; 206:3, 12; 207:3; 214:24; 215:1; 217:2; 218:14; 220:19; 221:21; 222:9, 14; 227:4; 228:16: 229:11: 230:2, 10, 14; 231:5, 21 processed [8] -22:18; 35:7; 38:9; 41:23; 81:20; 97:6, 11; 212:25 processes [6] 10:21; 162:14; 175:3; 176:19; 189:25; 216:20 processing [12] -18:19; 19:17; 20:24; 21:18; 22:7; 38:6; 41:19: 43:5: 54:7: 117:12: 215:6 produced [1] -141.22 producer [6] - 51:17; 52:8; 54:1, 3; 80:18; 111.4 producer's [1] -116:20 producers [2] -116:18; 212:17 producers/clients [1] - 116:25 progresses [1] -8:22 prohibits [1] -155.17 project [1] - 60:15 promises [1] - 62:18 promote [3] - 130:4; 144:1 prompt [1] - 79:9 prompted [6] -109:8, 22; 112:4, 10; 113:9; 205:10 proper [1] - 130:10 property [15] - 60:13; 61:4; 98:2; 104:22; 120:13, 17, 24; 121:5, 8; 122:10; 123:2, 15; 129:11; 226:16; 227.22 Property [13] 134:19; 135:4, 22; 136:4, 7; 140:11, 15; 148:14, 21; 149:7, 18;

150:13: 174:9 proposal [1] - 172:13 proposals [1] - 190:1 propose [5] - 86:23; 158:23; 172:10; 214:2, 5 proposed [16] · 35:23; 37:9; 106:1; 124:14; 128:9; 139:18; 154:19, 24; 158:2, 9, 20; 169:12, 17; 182:9; 194:25; 219:25 **proposing** [3] -36:16; 97:24; 220:20 protect [3] - 187:4, 9; 212:3 protected [1] -187:13 protection [1] -127:12 provide [37] - 7:5; 11:23; 12:18, 21, 25; 13:1; 17:15; 19:25; 20:21; 30:2; 35:21; 37:12; 41:22; 45:15 66:10; 82:6, 21; 83:1; 89:12; 90:24; 99:1; 100:5; 105:8; 111:25; 127:20; 142:23; 144:18; 157:23; 158:8; 163:16; 176:8, 14; 179:24; 190:18; 205:10; 212:10; 232:1 provided [44] - 8:16; 9:25; 36:20; 41:18; 46:16; 52:5, 19; 62:1; 64:1, 5; 65:5; 67:21; 72:1; 82:9, 16, 19; 83:5; 86:17; 89:8; 95:13; 97:22; 100:25; 107:19; 110:19; 111:7; 112:1; 127:4; 135:25; 136:4, 8; 144:6; 145:4; 147:2, 6; 163:18; 164:16; 179:6; 180:21 186:11; 204:10; 228:18; 230:17 provides [11] - 43:4, 16; 45:19; 47:7; 127:11; 175:14; 181:2; 210:18; 225:25; 226:10, 12 providing [7] - 7:10; 10:8; 14:16; 68:24; 69:19; 80:23; 128:22 Province [1] - 92:5 provincial [6] - 93:8; 100:3; 142:9; 216:19; 229:16 provisions [1] -61:11 proximity [4] -143:20; 144:8; 155:7; 187:11 pseudoscience [2] -180:7 public [109] - 8:17; 9:24; 25:22; 29:18; 32:3, 7; 37:1; 43:8, 22; 44:2; 55:11; 56:2, 4-5, 22; 57:3, 5-6, 9, 14, 17; 68:2, 11, 18; 69:15, 24; 70:3, 9, 13; 71:11, 16, 21; 72:4, 8, 12; 73:4; 74:6, 12, 19-20; 75:5, 11-12, 23; 76:8, 12; 77:3, 16; 79:4; 84:24; 85:22;

88:5, 18; 89:20; 90:6;	10, 12, 18; 20:4; 22:5;	175:7; 224:8	108:15; 110:11;	221:2; 225:20; 228:23
93:11, 15, 17; 95:18;	24:17; 34:16; 38:11;	RE [2] - 170:22;	119:6, 8; 122:11;	regards [1] - 191:8
98:19; 99:10; 106:21;	39:18; 40:23; 41:1, 5,	187:19	137:4; 138:23; 140:7,	Regional [1] - 172:2
107:3, 5, 111:4, 7, 13,	7-8, 12-13; 44:24;	re [2] - 31:24; 201:20	14, 20; 148:11, 23;	register [1] - 11:13
17, 20; 113:9, 14;	47:12; 48:4, 7, 10;	re-adjourn [1] -	149:11; 160:18; 164:17: 231:23	registered [1] -
124:12, 15, 20-21; 134:16, 23; 135:24;	49:2, 7; 50:16; 51:21; 59:17; 62:5; 68:23;	201:20 RE-EXAMINES [2] -	164:17; 231:23 receives [2] - 36:3;	14:11 registration [5] -
136:9, 23; 137:1;	78:4; 86:7; 91:13;	170:22; 187:19	215:25	14:9; 37:2; 87:21;
138:7, 19; 139:1, 3, 8;	94:2; 95:2, 6, 8, 11,	re-read [1] - 31:24	receiving [3] - 28:16;	88:3; 166:19
145:4; 156:15;	14, 17; 96:12, 15;	reach [2] - 79:9;	119:20; 160:24	registrations [2] -
157:17, 22-23; 158:4,	97:8; 98:12, 14;	165:2	recessed [2] - 139:2,	18:24; 40:13
6; 185:9; 203:11,	99:16, 25; 101:21;	reached [10] - 9:2;	9	regular [1] - 216:2
21-22; 204:2, 9, 18;	103:12, 25; 104:1, 5;	109:16; 110:12;	recognition [1] -	regulate [2] - 155:19;
205:7; 211:25; 212:4;	114:17, 21; 115:1, 6,	135:16; 137:25;	224:18	162:18
218:16, 19	11-12, 20, 23, 25;	145:9; 173:18; 185:7,	recognize [4] - 3:13;	regulated [1] - 183:4
publication [7] -	117:1, 6; 120:4, 7;	11; 225:10	10:8, 14; 19:15	regulation [1] -
89:2, 23; 90:11;	126:3; 130:16, 20-21; 131:16; 134:13;	reaching [2] -	recognizes [1] -	162:20
135:1; 204:14, 16, 22 publicly [6] - 45:6;	136:19; 141:8, 14;	230:18; 232:1 read [15] - 11:11;	225:6 recollection [3] -	regulations [1] - 112:23
220:7, 16, 23	144:20, 24-25; 145:3;	12:7; 25:16; 31:24;	25:14; 100:1; 210:24	regulator [3] -
publish [2] - 72:8;	146:10, 12; 147:16,	40:2, 10; 71:9; 76:24;	recommend [1] -	212:13, 15; 216:19
90:23	25; 153:5; 158:13,	87:23; 114:14;	225:14	regulatory [1] -
published [9] -	16-17; 159:6, 8;	159:11; 167:3; 214:2	recommendation [4]	214:14
43:13; 73:6; 88:23;	165:18, 21; 167:15;	Reading [2] - 125:23;	- 135:20; 148:22;	rehashing [1] - 18:6
90:3, 8, 16; 157:9, 12	169:3, 7; 170:18;	126:23	149:1; 188:16	reinforce [1] - 194:2
publishes [1] - 73:20	171:8, 10; 172:19;	reading [11] - 22:17;	recommendations	reiterate [1] - 228:15
publishing [1] - 90:17	176:22, 25; 177:2, 4,	119:16; 138:7, 9, 17; 139:2; 148:5; 173:8,	[4] - 140:19; 148:24; 170:6: 180:6	relate [1] - 142:15
pull [9] - 45:25; 46:1;	7, 15; 178:1, 3; 180:18; 183:13,	139.2, 140.5, 173.8, 12; 191:18; 219:14	179:6; 189:6 recommended [1] -	related [10] - 37:12; 44:9; 54:16; 56:22;
59:23; 69:6, 21;	17-18; 185:15;	readings [8] -	225:13	61:15; 75:22; 118:17;
76:22; 105:6; 129:5;	187:16, 18, 23; 190:5;	126:20, 23; 138:8;	reconnect [1] -	144:3; 147:3; 189:13
151:1	192:5, 13, 15, 18, 24;	139:11; 148:2;	169:24	relates [7] - 135:6;
purchase [1] -	193:6, 8, 10, 13, 15,	157:18; 185:8	reconvene [1] -	153:7; 170:25; 176:2;
122:24	21; 195:4; 196:3, 7,	ready [14] - 7:23;	131:24	183:24; 210:20; 214:8
purchased [2] -	11, 13, 25; 197:7, 10,	63:9; 71:12, 16;	RECORD [1] - 7:22	relating [3] - 37:5;
120:12; 122:25	24; 198:17, 20; 215:1;	86:11; 95:3; 102:5,	record [7] - 8:8; 9:24;	39:15; 145:4
purely [1] - 95:10	218:24; 226:18	13, 25; 103:1; 131:2;	12:2; 95:18; 191:22; 194:22	relation [2] - 146:15;
purpose [7] - 9:6; 18:19; 45:2; 141:15;	QUESTIONS [13] - 96:19; 98:15; 117:5;	133:9; 171:20; 202:7 real [1] - 215:16	recorded [1] - 8:19	211:22 relatively [6] - 3:23;
146:23; 222:4; 225:8	120:11; 126:6; 159:5;	reality [3] - 83:10;	records [1] - 171:2	147:9; 162:6; 168:10,
purposes [2] - 99:8;	165:23; 167:19;	215:16; 217:20	redirect [9] - 12:16;	17; 169:4
127:15	169:10; 183:22;	realize [1] - 180:3	101:22; 130:19;	release [1] - 22:9
purview [3] - 140:11,	185:21; 186:20; 198:1	really [31] - 3:5; 6:15,	170:19; 171:11;	released [3] - 22:13;
25; 148:13	quick [17] - 36:23;	23; 8:12; 32:20;	187:16; 190:10; 199:1	102:9; 171:14
pushing [1] - 117:21	48:19; 50:10; 67:5;	110:3; 117:21; 123:3,	redo [1] - 55:10	relevance [2] -
put [16] - 32:16;	69:1; 71:23; 81:20; 82:12: 84:20: 00:16:	11, 17, 22; 125:1;	reduced [4] - 152:24;	148:8; 149:21
41:15; 43:9; 45:8; 57:5; 116:7; 118:13;	82:13; 84:20; 99:16; 108:6; 115:25; 126:5,	141:24; 146:14; 168:13, 20; 174:23;	178:12; 225:1 reduction [1] - 143:4	relevant [8] - 87:13; 127:2; 142:24;
121:7; 125:20; 130:9;	25; 147:25; 199:2;	191:18; 193:1;	refer [4] - 18:4;	149:13, 17, 21; 206:8;
142:17; 145:5;	228:11	196:17; 200:7; 202:9;	135:4; 200:21; 210:14	210:19
146:18; 187:3;	quicker [2] - 31:12;	213:9; 215:19;	reference [4] - 173:1,	relied [1] - 168:3
208:25; 227:1	66:18	228:25; 230:15; 231:4	5; 219:5, 19	relies [2] - 212:5;
	quickly [7] - 6:25;	reason [14] - 24:5;	referenced [1] -	231:19
Q	7:1; 8:15; 13:22;	42:12; 68:10, 15;	221:15	relying [1] - 164:20
quadrant w	106:13; 107:20;	70:2; 99:7; 100:4; 124:3; 147:4, 12;	references [1] -	remain [1] - 17:4
quadrant [1] - 153:24	196:15 quiet [1] - 134:4	124.3, 147.4, 12, 153:2; 179:13;	220:7 referral [2] - 26:18;	remains [1] - 174:5 remedy [1] - 210:13
qualified [1] - 150:20	quite [19] - 26:11, 14;	184:12; 232:6	38:1	remember [21] -
qualifies [1] - 225:18	34:22; 40:15; 54:15;	reasonable [3] -	referrals [1] - 107:20	25:16; 44:11, 21;
qualify [1] - 226:3	90:1; 103:8; 106:14;	143:12; 212:1; 225:11	referred [3] - 78:14;	51:19, 23; 52:19;
quality [3] - 80:24;	107:20; 108:20;	reasonably [1] -	166:18; 217:10	53:2; 58:11; 60:10,
227:20	150:2; 153:14; 160:7;	206:24	referring [6] - 15:22;	14; 62:24; 67:19, 24;
quantity [1] - 227:21	167:2; 188:5; 203:2;	reasoning [2] -	68:18; 135:18; 108:12: 210:7: 231:7	68:3; 72:5; 73:1, 22; 77:15: 08:22: 141:5
quarter [5] - 83:11; 84:1; 124:23; 129:14;	208:24; 213:6; 223:16	153:7; 206:23 reasons [7] - 99:15;	198:12; 219:7; 231:7 refers [3] - 87:22;	77:15; 98:22; 141:5 remind [1] - 49:12
230:5	R	110:19; 127:3, 7;	183:24; 184:15	reminder [1] - 49.12
quasi [1] - 10:12	<u> </u>	179:24; 224:5; 232:2	reflect [2] - 90:11;	reminding [2] -
quasi-judicial [1] -	radius [3] - 85:9	rebut [2] - 200:12, 18	91:7	16:16, 19
10:12	raised [2] - 141:8;	rebuttal [9] - 12:25;	reflected [4] - 57:3;	remote [1] - 101:12
questioned [6] -	169:11	13:1; 191:7; 199:7,	89:20, 22; 90:4	remotely [15] -
12:22, 24; 33:6; 34:2;	ran [2] - 32:7; 70:15	9-10, 15; 200:6, 11	reflects [2] - 45:3, 5	30:17; 73:16; 74:25;
49:15; 91:14	range [2] - 19:8;	rebuttals [1] - 10:1	refresh [1] - 69:22	75:6; 76:2; 93:22, 24;
questioning [10] - 12:14; 47:21, 25;	53:22 rare [1] - 83:11	receive [9] - 19:22; 36:8; 54:17; 116:11;	refreshed [1] - 23:9 refused [1] - 141:3	99:25; 100:8, 16; 101:2, 5, 7, 18; 204:7
48:24; 49:6; 133:7;	ratepayers [2] -	124:9; 128:13;	regard [2] - 124:13;	remove [1] - 83:9
182:20; 183:11;	161:18; 216:16	161:19; 163:14; 164:8	185:22	removed [2] - 81:13,
188:10; 231:20	rather [4] - 13:15;	received [29] - 9:19;	regarding [11] -	25
questions [151] - 9:2;	92:23; 101:7; 158:24	10:1, 4; 29:13; 30:21;	57:19; 75:11; 109:23;	rendered [1] - 223:5
10:18, 24; 12:11, 18;	rationale [6] - 127:9;	37:5; 44:8; 54:5, 10;	145:5; 152:15; 158:7;	repeat [4] - 154:20;
13:2, 10; 17:15; 18:1,	172:17; 174:18, 21;	73:9; 75:1; 90:20;	179:16; 206:14;	160:6; 181:11; 229:7

repeated [1] - 161:24	224:13, 19, 23; 225:3,	146:22; 147:8;	74:25	141:24; 166:21;
repeating [1] -	9; 226:1, 6, 9, 12	149:16; 155:23;	rural [4] - 141:18-20;	173:7, 11; 195:6;
210.16	residents [7] - 74:7;	160:16, 19; 161:6;	142:12	209:7
repetition [1] - 47:19	136:19; 181:20;	163:8, 10; 164:13, 22;	rush [1] - 73:18	section [14] - 23:20;
rephrase [1] - 156:20	187:9, 12; 214:18;	165:4, 12; 166:13;		24:8; 26:24; 27:9;
replies [1] - 10:4	216:22	168:8; 171:1, 6;	S	30:7; 38:25; 64:17;
			Ũ	
reply [5] - 28:2;	resolution [3] -	172:13; 177:15;		83:11; 87:18; 102:7,
125:11; 211:14;	147:3; 170:25; 171:3	183:25; 202:21;	safety [1] - 155:9	12; 168:5; 200:3;
228:7, 9	resource [2] - 4:21;	203:9, 15, 22; 205:9,	samples [3] -	218:6
report [6] - 40:1;	99:20	22; 206:1, 3, 12;	120:22; 121:8	Section [3] - 87:20;
54:10; 71:2; 105:17;	Resources [1] - 2:1	209:15, 18; 214:24;	Sandford [1] - 2:20	166:15; 218:5
106:17; 120:21	resources [1] -	215:24; 216:2, 4-6;	Sandi [4] - 2:7; 4:1	Sections [1] - 87:22
reporter [3] - 11:25;	100:13	218:14; 228:13, 16;	Sanford [1] - 171:25	see [60] - 3:19; 4:6;
103:15	respect [22] - 43:5;	229:10; 230:9	SANFORD [1] -	6:5; 13:25; 14:2;
REPORTER [9] - 8:2;	100:23; 134:17;	reviewed [18] -	172:6	15:21; 18:22; 19:5;
104:11; 177:21, 24;	138:1; 145:9; 149:22;	23:10, 23; 25:7; 42:6,	saved [2] - 8:19;	26:15; 36:24; 42:9;
185:18; 192:8; 194:6,	161:2; 165:1; 167:22;	20; 44:14; 51:4; 56:3;	227:12	46:3, 6; 53:12; 55:20;
10, 13	171:5; 173:5, 10, 19;	61:23; 65:12, 21;	saw [8] - 38:16;	62:9; 74:8; 79:2;
Reporter [1] - 104:9	188:16; 214:21;	81:21; 108:9; 152:6;	55:25; 58:7; 107:18;	95:14; 97:21; 106:16;
Reporters [1] - 2:23	215:13; 217:8, 10;	161:12; 191:23;	143:5; 163:21; 169:3;	108:24; 114:23;
reporters [3] - 7:9;	222:8; 223:4, 13	214:4; 229:5	203:8	115:4, 9, 18; 132:9;
8:8, 11	respectfully [1] -	REVIEWED [1] - 67:9	scale [2] - 154:18, 23	145:18; 146:5; 148:1;
reporting [1] -	3:11	reviewing [6] -	scenario [5] - 21:14;	151:5, 9, 12; 153:1;
231:12	respond [13] - 17:12;	30:21; 55:25; 58:14;	29:17; 32:13; 76:12;	154:1, 17, 22; 160:8;
Reporting [1] - 7:10	31:8; 41:1; 69:2;	60:25; 70:11; 81:5	168:19	161:18, 25; 173:5, 11,
represent [3] -	77:19; 85:22; 87:15;	reviews [2] - 162:7;	scene [1] - 3:19	16; 179:21; 182:20;
212:17; 225:9	88:9; 103:12; 134:15;	216:14	scenes [2] - 231:2,	189:20; 190:21, 23;
representative [3] -	172:19; 184:13	revision [1] - 218:13	17	201:23; 202:5;
32:2: 118:3	responding [2] -	revolve[1] - 99:24	schedule [2] - 201:7,	205:15; 210:16;
representatives [1] -	100:18; 103:22	RFR [3] - 17:10;	10	220:1; 225:16; 231:7;
	-		-	
14:15	response [13] -	198:2	school [1] - 166:8	232:6
represented [3] -	20:22; 26:8, 19; 28:6;	ribs [1] - 208:2	Science [1] - 4:13	seeing [2] - 108:21;
10:19, 22; 192:3	62:16; 94:6, 23, 25;	rights [1] - 223:10	science [3] - 156:15,	123:22
representing [3] -	100:22; 106:17;	Rights [13] - 134:20;	21; 180:11	seek [3] - 136:20;
	157:14; 166:10; 200:8	135:4, 22; 136:4, 7;		
5:25; 116:17; 189:16			scientific [4] -	156:10; 188:25
reproduction [1] -	responses [7] -	140:11, 15; 148:14,	156:13; 175:1;	seem [4] - 109:24;
27:16	20:19, 21; 28:22;	21; 149:7, 19; 150:13;	206:20, 23	185:3, 10; 218:15
request [13] - 3:6;	37:5, 25; 177:15;	174:9	scientifically [1] -	select [1] - 43:8
12:2; 40:20; 61:3;	183:10	riparian [2] - 83:18;	180:13	sell [3] - 208:19;
65:11, 24; 84:7; 85:8;	responsibility [5] -	84:2	SCOTT [34] - 172:6;	209:13
125:22; 160:16;	10:10; 53:24; 77:12;	risk [2] - 4:9; 21:25	174:20; 176:5, 10;	send [7] - 26:8, 14;
171:1; 205:12; 226:14	112:19; 162:10	river [1] - 144:13	177:20, 23; 178:8, 16,	63:11; 79:7; 107:22;
requested [3] -	rest [4] - 26:17; 27:7;	River [1] - 172:2	19, 21, 23, 179:2, 5,	109:11; 112:12
	50:4; 73:9			
52:20; 75:2; 138:21		road [4] - 60:12;	8, 11, 15, 23; 180:2,	sending [1] - 109:8
requesting [1] - 27:6	restrictions [5] -	122:16, 21; 154:24	5, 9, 12, 22, 25;	senior [1] - 172:2
requests [4] - 9:19;	74:17; 155:5, 7;	Roberts [12] - 2:7;	181:13, 16, 24; 182:1,	sense [4] - 46:15;
125:2, 25; 207:16	203:25; 225:11	4:1; 96:14; 120:9;	16; 183:4; 186:4;	55:4; 111:17; 119:1
require [2] - 37:7;	result [5] - 25:19;	126:8: 165:20:	187:8; 188:5, 18;	senses [1] - 210:22
204:2	138:22; 169:2;	185:17-19; 193:12;	189:21	sent [23] - 20:17;
required [14] - 75:11;	175:18; 215:5	198:19	Scott [17] - 2:20;	26:17; 27:22; 62:10,
90:24; 97:8, 16, 19;	resulted [2] - 84:9;	ROBERTS [12] -	172:1, 16; 174:17;	25: 63:16: 64:11:
98:5; 114:2, 4;	228:15	96:15; 120:10; 126:2;	175:21; 176:1, 21;	65:6; 70:21; 78:21;
136:15; 137:2;	resulting [3] -	165:22; 167:14;	177:21, 25; 178:2;	94:10; 98:17; 107:11;
				440.0.405.4.400.00
161:15; 205:10;	159:13; 165:25; 215:9	185:20; 186:18;	180:19; 186:21;	112:8; 135:1; 138:22;
218:13; 219:12	results [1] - 58:8	193:13; 198:20	187:22; 190:5; 224:8,	140:16; 149:9;
requirement [1] -	resumed [1] - 158:5	Roberts' [1] - 186:21	24; 225:11	165:11; 192:20;
75:15	RESUMED ^[1] -	rock [1] - 6:7	Scott's [1] - 185:23	194:22; 206:1
requirements [16] -	133:5	role [2] - 141:16;	screen [12] - 3:20;	sentence [5] - 42:7;
13:5; 20:3, 5; 35:24;	retired [1] - 4:16	212:8	5:1; 6:10; 7:25; 8:5;	71:9; 74:23; 137:24
55:15; 59:13; 61:1,	return [3] - 13:19;	roll [1] - 202:7	14:9; 31:21; 36:18;	sentences [1] - 42:8
9-10; 65:1, 3; 72:12;	49:22; 176:13	rolling [3] - 19:21;	48:12; 104:17;	separated [1] - 57:15
73:10; 82:11; 203:4;	reverse [1] - 207:16	26:13; 108:7	133:11; 231:6	separation [1] -
222:15	REVIEW [1] - 67:11	room [5] - 103:11;	screening [1] - 21:25	144:9
requires [2] - 89:1;	review [109] - 3:4;	132:5; 182:12;	scroll [9] - 23:21;	September [7] -
218:6	5:8; 9:6, 19; 18:2;	194:19; 209:8	24:11; 25:12; 26:4,	104:24; 105:16;
reread [2] - 12:6;	20:22; 21:11, 16;	rough [1] - 49:4	24; 46:11; 78:9;	120:14, 21; 121:12,
191:22	22:19, 25, 23:6, 15,	roughly [2] - 9:12;	79:22; 137:18	15; 135:10
research [2] - 4:22;	18; 24:3; 25:1, 3;	232:6	scrunch [1] - 131:6	seriously [3] - 10:10;
227:1	27:7; 28:3, 7; 31:3;	round [1] - 50:16	search [1] - 94:12	175:17; 232:13
reside [2] - 191:11;	38:20; 45:4, 14; 47:8;	route [1] - 27:5	season [1] - 33:16	serves [2] - 151:19;
216:17	50:21; 53:10, 24;	routes [1] - 154:14	seasonality [1] -	153:12
residence [5] -	54:9; 55:2, 13; 56:11;	routing [1] - 214:17	36:7	service [1] - 116:23
182:6, 8, 10-11;	58:2; 59:8; 62:5, 19,	RPR [1] - 2:23	seasonally [1] - 21:6	Services [21] - 2:13;
187:11	22, 25; 63:13; 65:7,	rule [1] - 102:20	seat [1] - 202:16	5:22, 25; 6:2; 11:19;
residences [3] -	14; 66:20; 73:15;	ruled [1] - 9:16	second [22] - 8:1;	14:24; 16:3, 8, 25;
181:7, 14, 23	79:16; 84:3, 24; 86:2;	rules [3] - 111:11;	28:1; 29:6; 33:6;	17:21; 34:19; 35:1;
residential [17] -	92:17; 94:10, 17;	112:22; 162:22	38:17; 42:7; 70:18,	39:23; 48:25; 158:16;
142:7; 144:1; 170:13;	96:22; 97:8, 25;	run [3] - 131:23;	23; 74:9, 15; 81:11;	172:2; 183:14;
176:18; 186:10;	105:1; 109:9, 14, 16;	202:10; 231:5	119:16; 137:12;	210:12; 230:19
189:23; 190:2;	122:12; 137:16, 22;	running [2] - 5:9;	138:8; 139:2, 10;	services [5] - 12:12;
-	1 I I	.		

138:14; 225:18, 25; 226:11 servicing [7] - 176:2, 6-7; 189:18; 225:23; 226:4 Servicing [1] - 175:25 Session [1] - 133:3 set [8] - 21:11; 38:11; 39:5; 50:3; 82:10; 138:19; 206:18; 225:24 setback [8] - 146:17, 21; 156:21; 178:18; 179:7, 25; 180:11; 181:4 setbacks [7] - 20:5; 61:5; 81:23; 82:17; 83:9; 98:2; 179:14	162:17 six [7] - 35:19; 49:8; 54:20; 84:9; 227:5; 232:6, 14 six-day [1] - 84:9 six-month [1] - 54:20 size [11] - 19:24; 53:18; 80:23; 143:3; 144:12; 152:25; 168:11; 181:3; 188:16 skim [1] - 56:9 skip [1] - 112:12 slightly [1] - 36:10 slopes [3] - 81:24; 83:9; 98:1 slower [1] - 36:10 slowly [1] - 8:10 small [7] - 19:1; 168:10; 202:18;	64:3, 7, 15, 25; 65:4, 9, 15; 67:9, 18, 24; 68:7, 13, 17; 70:6, 14, 20, 24; 71:3, 8, 14, 18; 72:5, 14, 16, 23; 73:1, 7, 14, 21; 74:13, 22; 75:7, 13, 17, 25; 76:3, 10, 19; 77:8, 18; 78:19, 24; 79:2, 12, 18; 80:7, 10, 20; 81:1, 17; 82:5, 8, 13, 18; 83:4, 8, 14, 19, 25; 84:4, 13, 22; 85:1, 6, 8, 16; 98:21; 99:6, 12 Sonnenberg [48] - 2:13; 5:22, 24; 9:9; 16:13; 17:14, 24; 18:8, 11; 21:7; 25:3; 34:2; 35:8; 38:12;	125:19; 128:13, 17; 129:23; 151:21; 153:2; 169:23; 178:14; 195:12, 22; 224:21 space [1] - 111:15 spans [1] - 22:20 speaking [5] - 8:7-9, 15; 126:7 speaks [2] - 166:17; 206:8 specific [7] - 13:18; 62:25; 68:3; 93:13; 145:3; 147:10; 161:8 specifically [16] - 23:20; 51:20; 62:17; 70:22; 80:2, 4; 89:19; 128:1; 142:14; 143:13; 161:1, 7;	starting [8] - 28:3; 38:18; 51:18; 121:9; 209:10; 221:8; 224:12, 18 starts [3] - 31:22; 221:6; 224:14 state [3] - 127:11; 203:1; 204:3 statement [7] - 26:5; 18; 168:4; 184:12, 15; 192:20; 199:25 statements [15] - 25:18; 31:4; 39:1; 77:2, 5; 78:16, 22; 79:11; 107:19; 109:7, 9, 15; 190:19; 199:13; 205:11 states [3] - 26:5; 69:13; 76:24
setting [3] - 18:21; 84:3; 127:13 several [7] - 10:21; 18:4; 39:1; 90:14; 117:23, 25; 124:22 severity [1] - 141:21 shake [1] - 68:23 share [1] - 68:23 share [1] - 152:14 Shauna [3] - 2:16; 190:21; 197:14 short [8] - 11:5; 50:11; 71:4; 82:25; 96:17; 158:17; 217:18 shortly [2] - 145:22; 231:11 showt [1] - 5:3 show [2] - 133:15; 144:7 showed [1] - 220:10 shown [2] - 74:13;	208:24; 209:8, 21; 210:3 smaller [2] - 151:23; 156:5 smell [4] - 128:6, 12, 17; 191:9 smoothly [2] - 202:10; 231:5 so [23] - 20:25; 21:6; 22:25; 27:13; 29:2; 30:3, 8; 31:15; 32:23; 33:20; 42:18; 51:7; 58:6, 18; 60:16; 63:10, 24; 64:10; 79:14; 81:6; 96:1; 102:14; 113:21 so [1] - 27:8 soft [1] - 34:16 soil [7] - 82:3; 83:7; 112:5; 120:22; 121:8; 209:2	49:12, 18; 50:17, 19; 59:24; 66:7; 78:1; 86:6; 88:18, 21; 90:19; 91:14; 94:12; 96:4; 98:16; 102:8; 105:19; 111:24; 112:4, 6, 15; 116:5, 15; 165:10; 204:21; 210:23; 211:7; 212:21; 213:12; 219:22; 230:21 soon [7] - 89:15; 107:23; 108:5; 186:7; 220:2; 223:11 sooner [1] - 113:12 Sorry [3] - 58:25; 177:21, 24 sorry [49] - 16:10; 25:15; 29:5; 30:6; 54:13; 60:5; 61:24;	211:14; 215:8 speed [1] - 11:6 spellings [1] - 14:14 spent [2] - 208:3; 209:1 spleen [1] - 208:1 spoken [4] - 32:25; 33:2, 8 spot [1] - 133:15 spread [2] - 3:16; 81:25 spread [2] - 3:16; 81:25 spread [1] - 133:15 (1] - 133:15 spread [2] - 3:16; 81:25 spread [2] - 23:26; 81:25 spread [2] - 23:26; 81:25 spread [2] - 27:20; spread [2] - 27	statistics [1] - 50:22 status [3] - 79:16; 85:15; 205:12 statute [1] - 212:14 statutory [7] - 92:4, 11, 19; 101:16, 19; 204:5 stay [1] - 228:4 staying [1] - 13:23 stead [2] - 2:21 step [2] - 88:11; 200:6 stepped [1] - 163:24 steps [5] - 19:17; 35:9, 12; 138:10; 174:12 stick [1] - 202:8 still [18] - 30:19; 69:8; 71:20; 73:11; 94:21; 107:5; 123:24; 125:12; 134:4;
shown [2] - 74.13, 152:25 shows [1] - 133:11 shut [1] - 100:3 shutting [2] - 125:4 side [13] - 6:2; 40:15; 128:9; 129:10; 147:14; 151:16; 152:19-21; 178:7; 188:8; 198:15 sides [1] - 128:15 sides [1] - 128:15 sign [1] - 119:18 signed [1] - 119:17 significance [1] - 76:14 significant [3] - 10:9; 12:5; 143:3 significantly [3] - 81:3; 151:23; 168:14	solar [7] - 50:1; 130:6, 9; 154:18, 23, 25; 155:20 sold [3] - 207:25; 208:11, 21 solution [1] - 156:10 someone [7] - 8:15, 20; 68:21; 157:1; 166:3; 208:19 sometimes [6] - 21:14; 37:25; 38:2; 77:14; 141:19; 214:19 somewhat [1] - 37:15 somewhere [2] - 53:21; 58:11 SONNENBERG [178] - 17:21; 18:14, 17, 23;	62:3; 68:25; 69:3; 70:4; 78:8, 10-11; 80:3; 81:12; 94:5; 95:12; 99:23; 103:9; 109:14; 111:1; 118:16; 126:5; 141:24; 142:1; 151:3; 152:3, 19; 157:18; 166:16; 168:6; 180:16; 181:6, 10; 182:8, 23; 184:2; 195:13; 197:2; 14, 19, 21; 199:12; 226:13, 20 sort [17] - 13:13; 28:5; 29:21; 33:6; 36:8; 37:12, 16; 46:3; 60:13; 61:15; 100:6;	square [2] - 27.20, 144:12 staff [20] - 3:21; 4:15; 5:7; 6:4; 47:24; 53:9; 63:4; 66:20; 75:4; 93:22; 100:20; 101:5, 11, 17; 168:17; 203:20; 212:13; 228:12 Staff [4] - 2:9 stage [2] - 20:16; 119:1 stages [1] - 30:25 stand [2] - 191:21; 210:19 standard [2] - 28:22; 60:9 standing [1] - 89:6 STANDS [5] -	146:18, 21; 185:5; 192:4; 197:22; 204:23; 205:18; 211:13; 212:3 stop [4] - 118:16; 130:12; 141:23; 228:17 stopped [1] - 48:16 stopping [1] - 125:6 straightforward [1] - 203:3 strict [1] - 161:22 strive [1] - 212:10 strong [1] - 228:14 stuck [1] - 53:6 studies [1] - 180:20 stuff [7] - 27:4; 33:18; 54:5; 57:7;
signing [1] - 148:7 signoff [1] - 150:15 similar [2] - 38:11; 176:4 simple [3] - 147:9; 168:17; 169:5 simply [8] - 87:14; 149:18; 159:8; 160:3; 184:15; 185:1; 212:19; 217:8 site [18] - 19:3; 21:25; 22:1, 3; 51:22; 75:7; 80:6; 81:2; 83:23; 85:25; 105:19; 108:12; 112:11; 113:7; 169:17; 182:3; 207:17; 219:22 sitting [1] - 100:11 situation [2] - 164:10; 217:21 situations [4] - 98:6; 159:22; 161:18;	19:7, 11, 19; 21:2, 10, 21; 22:10, 14, 18, 24; 23:2, 6, 19, 25; 24:4, 6, 10, 19; 25:5, 9; 26:4, 21, 23; 27:12, 21; 28:8, 12; 29:4, 10; 30:8, 16, 19; 31:9, 25; 32:4; 33:11, 24; 41:21, 25; 42:5, 11, 15; 43:7, 18, 25; 44:4, 11, 20; 45:5, 10, 21; 46:5, 7, 9, 15, 18, 20, 24; 47:3, 9; 50:24; 51:6, 11, 14, 19; 52:2, 9, 18; 53:1, 11, 15, 21; 54:2, 13, 19, 23; 55:5, 16, 25; 56:6, 14, 20, 24; 57:4, 10, 16, 20; 59:5, 60:5, 8, 19, 24; 61:2, 12, 21; 62:2, 13, 24; 63:14, 19, 22;	$101:2, 25; 102:20; \\157:1; 194:1; 202:6 \\sound [1] - 224:5 \\sounds [5] - 58:10; \\78:24; 98:21; 172:20 \\source [3] - 164:24; \\198:6 \\sources [4] - 160:9; \\163:11; 164:22 \\south [12] - 93:7; \\151:12; 152:21; \\153:23; 169:22; \\170:1, 14; 189:3; \\224:12; 226:2, 9; \\227:25 \\southeast [3] - \\153:24; 169:22 \\southern [5] - \\169:15; 170:6, 9; \\186:9 \\southwest [15] - \\123:10; 124:4, 8-9; \\ \end{bmatrix}$	102:10; 130:24; 171:16; 190:16; 198:24 stars [1] - 6:7 start [29] - 16:3; 17:24; 18:11; 19:19; 20:24; 32:10; 36:25; 46:1; 47:19; 57:6; 59:9; 87:2, 6, 11, 14; 97:6; 99:9; 102:6, 12, 19, 24; 111:25; 121:10, 14; 132:4; 159:10; 189:3; 208:15; 224:25 started [17] - 15:6; 16:1; 55:17; 59:12; 71:11; 80:1; 87:10; 89:12; 112:7; 123:22; 133:6, 25; 156:4; 175:7; 188:20; 202:23; 208:17 starter [1] - 122:19	63:7; 72:20; 154:21 submission [37] - 12:4, 8; 23:15, 18; 24:1, 25; 28:1; 30:12; 38:17; 39:23; 53:8; 55:20; 62:9; 70:18; 87:10; 89:21; 90:5; 105:9, 17; 129:6; 159:10; 184:3, 7; 198:3; 210:11, 18; 214:20; 219:7, 18, 21; 220:4, 17; 223:23; 28:8; 229:2 submissions [24] - 10:4; 12:6; 52:22, 25; 106:16; 114:9; 159:11; 172:12; 205:3; 210:10, 15; 214:1, 4, 7; 215:22; 217:9; 219:5; 221:5, 15; 224:11; 226:19; 230:21

submittig 1:12:17: 12:016:217:20: 5:17:20:221: 22:17:22:016:18:13 22:02:016: 22:016:01:016:01:00:01:00:00					
64/21 77.220; 1143; 21038 2336 244 supporting n - (12138 2336 244) supporting n - (12138 2346 244) supporting n - (12138 2346 244) supporting n - (12138 2346 244) supporting n - (12138 244)	aubmit (a) 10:17:	E1.17. 202.21	torrible (1) 169-11	10. 140.5. 172.7 10	226.24
120:18:213:16 52:15:1113:41 testimory unspace 114:14 68:20:77:18:13 20:17:22:22:21:22 52:15:1113:41 testimory unspace 114:14 100:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:					
217.22, 222.21, 224.4 217.20, 222.22, 222.12, 224.4 217.20, 222.22, 222.12, 224.4 217.20, 224.5 217.20, 214.5 217.20, 224.5 217.20, 214.5 217.20, 217.5 217.20, 217					
submitted pr. 19:23 submitted pr. 19:23 submitted pr. 19:23 submitted pr. 19:23 subpose pr. 7:17 suppose pr.					
submitted [21]- 617:207:312:32 suppose [1]-717. (a) (b) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c			19.5. 22.5. 22.4. 25.0.		
6:17: 207. 31:23. 437. 99.6: 179.12; 114.9: 116.3: 128.22; 13 77.14. 80.18: 0.20; 46:10: 62:10: 23 surprise (n. 200.12); 201.12: 200.12; 213.10: 216.16; 217.10: 216.16; 213.10: 216.16; 214.12; 213.10: 216.16; 214.12; 213.10: 216.16; 214.12; 213.10: 216.16; 214.12; 213.10: 216.16; 214.12; 213.10: 216.16; 216.16; <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
42:3: 44:13, 16, 43:0; 52:10; 23; 55:1, 14:6; 92:5; 70:1; 55:1, 14:6; 71:5; 71					
46:16: 52:10: 23: 55: 14: 62: 27: 27: 78: 14: 60: 78: 16: 50: 14: 62: 27: 78: 80: 50: 10: 15: 16: 15: 80: 50: 10: 15: 15: 15: 15: 15: 15: 15: 15: 15: 15					
55:1,1;4;69:25;70:1; surprised[n]- surrounded[n]- surrou					
78.14, 80.18, 105.15; 106.19; 107.14, 228.14 228.14 text [n] - 6.13, 9.5; 228.15 9.19, 27.11, 62.16; 228.15 9.19, 27.11, 62.16; 238.15 9.19, 27.11, 62.16; 238.15 9.19, 27.11, 62.16; 238.15 9.19, 27.11, 62.16; 238.15 9.19, 27.11, 62.16; 248.15 9.10, 17.14; 248.14 9.10, 17.14; 141.15 9.10, 17.14; 141.15 9.10, 17.14; 141.15 9.11, 17.11, 62.16; 141.15 9.11, 17.11, 62.16; 141.15 9.11, 17.14 11.14					
subsequently (1) surrounded (1) 27:16 28:14 22:16 63:14/17:86 63:14/17:86 11:21 103:5 surrounding (1) 95:16/17:17 103:5 114:5 1					
164.7; 194.2; 203.4 submitting in particular interment interme					
submitting gn- 63:107:13 surrounding gn- 65:4; 107:13 surrounding gn- 65:4; 107:12 surrounding gn- 65:4; 107:12 support (1, 1, 1, 1, 2); 164:3; 210:2 there (n = -227); 164:3; 210:2 there (n = -227); 165:23; 167:3; 6 there (n = -227); 165:23; 167:3; 6 <thtere (n="-227);<br">165:23; 167:3; 6 there (n = -227); 165:23; 167:3; 6 there (n = -227); 165:23; 167:3; 167:3; 163:23; 172:3; 143:22; 172:1; 143:25; 172:3; 143:22; 172:1; 143:25; 172:3; 143:22; 172:1; 143:25; 172:3; 172:1; 143:25; 172:1; 174:1;</thtere>					
56.3; 107:13 99:16; 1417; 151:25; THE [rm] - 32; 7:22; threm (n - 29:7) 134:18; 135:8; 42.7; 222:25; 222.2; suspecting - 55:24; 15:0; 15; 17; 20; threm (n - 19:7) 156:23; 17:3; 6; 42.7; 222:25; 222.2; suspecting - 95:24; 15:0; 15; 17; 20; threm (n - 19:7) 156:23; 17:3; 6; 13:10; 35:13 suspecting - 95:24; 16:0; 15; 17; 20; threm (n - 19:7) 156:23; 17:3; 6; 14:20; 222:25; 222:20; 104:10; 192:11; 194:8; 104:10; 192:11; 14:4; 135:13; 15:25; 7:3; 6; 11:12; 16; 19; 26:3; successfully n - 40:7; 4:10; swearing - 10:15; 13:6; 62:3; 66:6; time frame (n - 19:24; 12:24:32; 72:7; 12:24:35; 72:1; 12:25: 73:3; 12:25: 73:3; 12:25: 73:3; 12:25: 13:1; 13:6; 23: 66:6; 13:16; 23: 66:6; 13:16; 23: 66:6; 13:16; 23: 66:7; 10:35: 13:23: 67:7:0; 13:16; 23: 66:1; 12:24: 12:25; 12:24: 12:25; 12:24: 12:25; 12:24: 12:25; 13:17; 27:16; 13:16; 13:16; 12:24: 12:24; 14:16; 12:27; 12:35:16:10:10; 13:16; 12:25:16:10:10; 13:16; 12:25:16:10; 10:10; 13:15; 13:16; 12:24; 14:16:11:12; 14:16:11:12; 14:16:11:12; 14:12:22; 14:14:16; 11:12; 14:11:12; 14:11:12; <td></td> <td></td> <td>THAT [1] - 67:8</td> <td></td> <td></td>			THAT [1] - 67:8		
$ \begin{array}{c} 42.7; 222.25; 223.2; \\ subsequently [1], \\ 124.19 \\ successful [1], \\ 135.13 \\ successful [1], \\ 141.5 \\ successful [1], \\ 142.5 \\ 142.5 \\ successful [1], \\ 142.5 \\ 142.$			8:2, 4; 14:5; 15:2;		156:23; 157:3, 6;
subsequently (i) - 155.3 17.23, 346, 10, 12, 147.46, 17, 147.41, 17.41, 17, 14, 16, 17, 14, 16, 11, 15, 17, 13, 16, 14, 14, 16, 11, 15, 17, 13, 16, 14, 14, 15, 17, 13, 16, 14, 14, 15, 17, 13, 16, 14, 14, 16, 11, 15, 17, 13, 16, 14, 14, 16, 11, 15, 17, 13, 16, 14, 14, 16, 11, 15, 17, 15, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 11, 15, 17, 15, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 11, 15, 17, 15, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 11, 15, 17, 15, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 11, 15, 17, 15, 16, 16, 14, 14, 15, 17, 17, 16, 16, 14, 14, 15, 17, 16, 16, 14, 14, 15, 17, 16, 16, 14, 14, 15, 17, 16, 16, 14, 11, 15, 16, 14, 14, 15, 17, 16, 16, 14, 11, 15, 16, 14, 14, 15, 17, 16, 16, 14, 14, 15, 17, 16, 16, 16, 14, 11, 15, 16, 14, 14, 15, 16, 14, 14, 15, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 14, 14, 16, 16, 1		suspect [2] - 95:24;			
	subsequently [1] -	155:3	17:23; 34:6, 10, 12;		town [141] - 105:24;
	184:19	sustainable [1] -	41:3, 11; 47:14, 17;	200:8; 207:3	106:8; 123:5, 7-8,
	successful [1] -	4:10	48:5, 8, 11, 17, 21;	thrown [1] - 162:16	11-12, 16, 19, 25;
	135:13	swear [6] - 103:15;	49:3, 6, 9, 16, 18, 25;	tie [1] - 99:9	
	successfully [1] -	104:10; 192:1; 194:8;		tight [1] - 50:7	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
			10, 19; 98:13, 15;		
			104:0, 9, 11, 15-10;		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		110.4			
$ \begin{array}{c} 149:3; 21115 \\ \textbf{suggesting} [a], \\ 30:10; 158:20; 203:20 \\ \textbf{suggesting} [b], \\ 177; 167; 255; 168:4; \\ 184:5; 176:4; 122; 122:0; \\ 184:5; 176:4; 122:0; \\ 184:5; 176:4; 122:0; \\ 184:5; 184:5; 184:5; 184:5; 19; \\ 144:22; 145:2; \\ 144:22; 144:21; \\ 145:24; 126:2; \\ 144:15; 142; 202:25; \\ 165:17; 165:2; \\ 177:44; 175; 167:2; \\ 159:7; \\ 159:7; \\ 159:7; \\ 159:7; \\ 159:7; \\ 159:7; \\ 159:7; \\ 159:7; \\ 159:7; \\ 159:7; \\ 159:7; \\ 150:$		Ψ	130.23 25. 131.17		
		-	20. 132.4. 133.6. 14		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		talks 151 - 38:25			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
	221:16; 222:8		10; 170:17, 21-22;	timing [9] - 31:11;	13, 15; 187:4, 6;
	suggestions [3] -	targeted [1] - 130:5	171:9, 17; 172:8, 20;	42:13; 50:10; 60:14;	188:6; 190:7; 191:12;
	138:24; 167:22	targets [1] - 232:4	177:1, 6, 8, 10-11, 13,	76:20; 110:24;	195:2; 196:14;
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	suggests [8] - 48:15;			122:25; 164:21; 221:2	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$					
		team [3] - 63:12;			
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$					
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	167:22	31:3; 35:22; 44:25;	16, 20, 25; 192:8, 10,	13:12, 21, 24; 14:21;	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Suitable [6] - 01.23,		10, 19, 25, 195.4, 7, 0, 10, 10, 14, 17, 01, 05, 10, 14, 17, 01, 05, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10		120.2, 145.12,
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		technology [1] - 5:21			tracking [1] - 22:15
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	78:21; 203:1			186:2; 201:14	traditional [1] - 3:12
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	summer [2] - 36:11;	ten [5] - 111:14;	226:20; 227:15;	ton [1] - 51:19	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		199:23; 201:4; 208:3		tone [1] - 156:13	8:12; 95:21
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				took [5] - 39:3;	
				80:12; 102:15;	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
supply [1] - 198:1132:1, 13; 47:21;218:11; 223:20; 225:4top [1] - 40:1153:21; 166:8; 169:12support [18] - 4:10;68:18; 91:18; 92:22;therein [1] - 65:11topic [1] - 228:24transportation [2] -7:5; 35:23; 37:8;103:7; 118:7; 127:17,they've [3] - 118:12;topic [1] - 228:24transportation [2] -128:19, 23; 143:11;19; 128:24; 130:16;185:11144:14; 168:12, 15travels [1] - 169:22146:18; 147:2, 11, 14;141:10; 159:20;thinking [3] - 100:6;total [1] - 207:7treated [2] - 105:3185:2, 10; 211:20;162:9; 168:11;198:22; 213:7total [1] - 3:12;Treaties [1] - 3:12214:16; 222:19174:14; 190:19;third [9] - 22:17;35:24; 36:4treaties [1] - 3:16					
support [18] - 4:10;68:18; 91:18; 92:22;therein [1] - 65:11topic [1] - 228:24transportation [2] -7:5; 35:23; 37:8;103:7; 118:7; 127:17,they've [3] - 118:12;topography [3] -154:14; 168:15128:19, 23; 143:11;19; 128:24; 130:16;185:11144:14; 168:12, 15travels [1] - 169:22146:18; 147:2, 11, 14;141:10; 159:20;thinking [3] - 100:6;total [1] - 207:7treated [2] - 105:3185:2, 10; 211:20;162:9; 168:11;198:22; 213:7total [1] - 32:19;Treaties [1] - 3:12214:16; 222:19174:14; 190:19;third [9] - 22:17;35:24; 36:4treaties [1] - 3:16					
7:5; 35:23; 37:8; 103:7; 118:7; 127:17, they've [s] - 118:12; topography [s] - 154:14; 168:15 128:19, 23; 143:11; 19; 128:24; 130:16; 185:11 144:14; 168:12, 15 travels [t] - 169:22 146:18; 147:2, 11, 14; 141:10; 159:20; thinking [s] - 100:6; total [t] - 207:7 treated [2] - 105:3 185:2, 10; 211:20; 162:9; 168:11; 198:22; 213:7 totall [t] - 32:19; Treaties [t] - 3:12 214:16; 222:19 174:14; 190:19; third [9] - 22:17; 35:24; 36:4 treates [t] - 3:16					
128:19, 23; 143:11; 19; 128:24; 130:16; 185:11 144:14; 168:12, 15 travels [1] - 169:22 146:18; 147:2, 11, 14; 141:10; 159:20; thinking [3] - 100:6; total [1] - 207:7 treated [2] - 105:3 185:2, 10; 211:20; 162:9; 168:11; 198:22; 213:7 total [1] - 32:19; Treaties [1] - 3:12 214:16; 222:19 174:14; 190:19; third [9] - 22:17; 35:24; 36:4 treaties [1] - 3:16					
146:18; 147:2, 11, 14; 141:10; 159:20; thinking [3] - 100:6; total [1] - 207:7 treated [2] - 105:3 185:2, 10; 211:20; 162:9; 168:11; 198:22; 213:7 totally [3] - 32:19; Treaties [1] - 3:12 214:16; 222:19 174:14; 190:19; third [9] - 22:17; 35:24; 36:4 treaties [1] - 3:16					
185:2, 10; 211:20; 162:9; 168:11; 198:22; 213:7 totally [3] - 32:19; Treaties [1] - 3:12 214:16; 222:19 174:14; 190:19; third [9] - 22:17; 35:24; 36:4 treaties [1] - 3:16					treated 121 - 105.22
214:16; 222:19 174:14; 190:19; third [9] - 22:17; 35:24; 36:4 treaties [1] - 3:16					
			,,,		

tribunal [4] - 10:21; 150:4, 14; 229:16 Tribunal [17] 134:20; 135:5, 22; 136:4, 7, 9; 140:11, 16, 20; 141:15; 148:15, 21; 149:1, 8, 19; 150:13; 174:9 tribute [1] - 3:9 tried [6] - 23:6; 51:20; 75:19; 116:7; 119:9; 196:15 tries [1] - 40:14 trouble [3] - 8:14; 13:23; 14:6 true [3] - 24:16; 158:23; 187:8 trust [1] - 97:12 try [21] - 13:12, 16; 20:4, 10, 12; 21:3; 35:14; 37:20; 45:11, 15; 55:6, 9; 58:19; 59:19; 61:4, 6-7; 68:1; 79:7; 103:11; 224:22 trying [24] - 31:14; 32:22; 33:19; 52:4; 69:6; 71:23; 73:17; 79:13; 81:19; 92:16, 24; 93:1; 102:6; 105:22; 116:20; 131:6; 156:9, 11; 182:16; 188:18; 211:7; 213:7 turn [9] - 11:23; 42:1; 125:3; 133:13; 165:5; 171:17; 173:13; 174:17; 199:17 turned [1] - 136:3 two [42] - 6:4; 10:19; 14:5; 17:19; 19:20; 28:5; 35:16; 41:12; 42:8; 44:24; 56:14; 70:21; 71:19; 77:5; 100:16; 115:25; 117:11; 118:11; 120:10; 122:9; 135:11; 138:13, 15; 141:11, 14; 143:1, 22; 145:14; 150:6; 151:18; 156:3; 159:14; 160:9; 173:25; 176:11; 188:6; 208:7; 213:3, 7; 215:9 two-part [2] - 19:20; 35.16 type [9] - 43:16; 46:19; 99:20; 143:6; 155:3, 10; 156:25; 182:13; 189:19 types [12] - 18:22; 40:12; 142:5; 143:7, 19; 154:9; 155:18; 161:17; 162:16; 168:23; 214:14 **typical** [6] - 19:15; 79:2, 8, 21; 143:6; 179:15 typically [4] - 62:16; 63:14; 97:20; 200:14 IJ ultimate [1] - 41:24 ultimately [5] -106:18; 158:9; 203:15; 220:10, 14 unaware [2] -149:24; 169:1

uncertain [1] -

103.19uncertainty [1] -141.7 uncommon [1] -175.11under [17] - 23:3; 61:13; 65:3; 102:22; 140:10, 24; 148:12; 150:12; 180:6; 182:12; 211:5; 212:14, 19; 213:20; 220:24; 223:14, 17 understood [15] -52:5; 54:9; 57:22; 58:22: 59:1: 69:25: 74:17; 94:9, 21; 139:3; 157:17; 162:21, 23; 188:23; 203.23 undertaken [1] -148:6 undertaking [8] 65:24; 66:1, 4, 11; 94:6, 9; 95:9; 96:2 **UNDERTAKING** [2] -67:8.11 undoubtedly [2] -10:20; 12:7 unfolded [1] - 210:24 unfortunate [4] -32:16; 76:20; 110:24 unicorn [1] - 162:4 **unique** [4] - 42:17; 60:12; 162:4; 221:19 unless [8] - 56:6; 88:15; 102:16; 108:1; 122:3; 123:17; 130:15; 224:5 unlike [1] - 161:5 unlikely [2] - 155:4; 175:2 unnecessarily [1] -211:1 unreasonable [1] -215.17 unsure [1] - 10:23 unusually [1] - 147:7 unwarranted [4] -31:7; 203:8; 207:2; 213:18 up [89] - 4:17, 19; 5:9; 6:12; 7:1; 8:15; 11:6; 13:25; 15:13; 20:7; 23:14; 24:22; 26:15; 27:8, 14; 31:4, 15, 18; 32:17; 35:20; 37:4; 40:14; 41:15; 45:1, 25; 46:1; 47:24; 48:2, 12; 59:23; 61:17; 69:6, 21, 23; 73:24: 76:22: 82:1. 20; 83:15; 102:2; 103:4; 105:6; 112:21; 113:1; 117:9; 121:3, 8, 11; 124:11; 126:25; 129:5; 131:11; 132:7; 133:11, 15; 134:12; 137:14, 18; 143:5; 145:6; 151:2; 167:20; 170:14, 24; 171:10; 173:15; 176:12; 178:15, 18; 186:21; 190:9, 21; 194:14, 19, 23: 196:15: 198:19: 201:24; 202:6, 18; 207:8; 208:22; 209:1; 227:12; 231:6 upcoming [1] - 71:24 update [2] - 50:19; 189:15

updates [1] - 189:20 upgrade [1] - 122:17 upgrading [1] -122 uphold [3] - 17:5; 61:4; 226:15 ups [1] - 126:5 upwind [1] - 128:4 urban [2] - 141:18; 142:12 usage [1] - 191:9 useful [2] - 7:11; 230:18 users [1] - 224:23 **uses** [4] - 217:17; 224:23; 225:9 usual [2] - 8:13; Utilities [2] - 155:1; 162:15 utility [1] - 210:16 utilize [2] - 97:24; 142:13 V valid [2] - 212:1; 216:8 **value** [4] - 122:10; 209:1; 210:2; 226:25 values [1] - 227:22 van [43] - 2:15; 32:18; 53:5; 62:9; 76:24; 77:6; 79:10; 82:6; 94:4; 95:7; 102:2, 22; 103:16, 21; 104:18; 113:19; 115:21; 116:1; 117:7; 120:4, 12; 126:1; 128:25; 164:21; 169:11; 199:3, 6, 19; 201:24; 202:1, 18; 204:25; 205:3; 207:19, 21; 210:5, 10; 212:7; 219:18; 228:6; 229:13, 24; 230:13 **VAN**_[64] - 58:24; 104:13, 20, 23; 105:2, 13, 21; 106:3, 5, 14, 20; 107:5, 15, 25; 108:11; 109:10, 19; 110:1, 5, 9, 14, 17, 21; 111:8, 19, 22; 112:1, 21; 113:4, 6, 16; 114:1, 6; 116:6, 16; 117:14; 118:2, 9, 19, 25; 119:4, 25; 120:2, 15; 121:2, 25; 123:2; 124:15; 126:11, 16, 19, 22; 127:24; 128:3; 129:12; 182:6, 10; 193:6; 196:13, 24; 197:4; 199:9; 202:3; 207:22 VANCE [45] - 14:23; 16:7, 14, 16, 18, 21; 17:23; 23:13, 21; 24:23; 27:25; 30:4; 31:17; 34:9, 11, 13; 49:11, 17; 59:14; 66:3, 7, 14; 67:4; 69:17; 88:11; 91:8, 10; 92:21; 94:5, 8, 19; 96:2; 101:23; 102:15; 113:17; 115:25; 116:2; 158:17; 159:1; 183:15; 193:8; 197:7; 201:18:210:8 Vance [30] - 2:13;

5:24: 14:23: 16:5. 8. 10; 41:3; 49:9, 16, 19; 53:7; 65:25; 66:25; 91:9, 16; 101:22 115:24; 117:2, 10; 158:16; 159:2; 165:25; 183:13 193:7; 197:6; 210:7; 213:21; 215:24; 229:1 variable [1] - 21:21 varies [2] - 19:11; 21:6 various [2] - 36:17; 219.7 vary [2] - 17:5; 21:13 varying [1] - 18:25 verbatim [4] - 70:12; 85:5; 181:11; 226:24 verbatim) [1] - 42:6 verbiage [1] - 166:20 verify [1] - 80:8 versed [1] - 57:20 version [16] - 38:12, 20; 46:21; 137:9; 145:21, 23; 151:24; 152:1, 6, 12, 17; 156:7; 173:2, 22-23; 174:4 versions [3] - 137:7; 142:21; 220:20 Vespa [13] - 2:23; 7:12, 18; 8:13; 14:1, 14; 15:2; 16:18; 17:18; 192:1, 6, 10; 231:9 Vestas [2] - 195:10, 20 vested [2] - 217:23; 223:17 Victoria [1] - 2:22 video [3] - 48:13, 15; 133:13 **view** [8] - 10:13; 18:3; 32:18; 82:14; 92:20; 179:18; 188:20: 189:7 viewed [1] - 189:1 views [1] - 143:1 virtual [6] - 6:6; 7:6; 14:6; 57:22; 171:19; 231:14 virtually [1] - 2:2 **visit** [8] - 51:22; 59:25; 60:23; 83:23; 106:2; 108:12; 112:11; 219:22 visited [1] - 60:3 visits [1] - 75:8 Volume [2] - 2:4: 133.1 voluntary [1] - 150:8 W Wade [2] - 2:21; 10:2 **wait** [7] - 28:22; 43:15; 79:20; 89:20; 109:5; 143:10; 209:4 waited [2] - 91:12; 209.2 **waiting** [3] - 30:19; 106:22; 114:18 waits [1] - 90:11 waiver [1] - 121:6 waivers [2] - 52:7; 54.10 Walter [2] - 2:7; 4:8 Walter's [1] - 4:14 wants [3] - 68:22; 114:11; 228:4

warranted [1] -203:22 watch [2] - 49:21; 50.1watches [1] - 50:9 water [23] - 26:11; 55:22; 80:4, 8, 19; 84:8; 85:25; 97:14; 109:1, 23; 138:14; 143:23; 176:8, 14, 16; 190:3; 191:8; 198:7, 10; 227:21 waterbodies [2] -83:10; 98:1 ways [2] - 208:14, 25 weather [1] - 179:9 web [3] - 8:18; 157:10.12 website [13] - 8:17; 15:11, 20; 56:19; 74:13; 136:12, 24; 137:5; 145:13, 21; 173:24; 220:8 Wednesday [2] -88:23; 204:16 week [5] - 21:15; 72:8, 11; 109:21 weekend [1] - 119:10 weekly [3] - 73:7, 20, 24 weeks [3] - 62:18; 232:6, 14 welcome [6] - 7:8, 11, 15, 20; 201:22; 212.2 wells [6] - 55:22; 80:5, 9, 13, 19; 109:23 West [1] - 9:11 **west** [9] - 124:5; 151:13; 152:19; 169:20; 178:13; 189:4; 227:25 wetland [1] - 81:23 wetlands [1] - 83:9 WHEN [1] - 67:10 whereby [2] - 40:14; 189.25 whilst [1] - 38:4 **whole** [8] - 7:18; 36:4; 63:12; 104:21; 137:19; 196:13, 25; 231:21 wife [1] - 209:6 William [1] - 2:8 Willow [46] - 2:16-18; 9:12, 21; 11:20; 14:17; 22:17; 30:13; 41:6; 47:22; 58:18; 59:25; 60:3; 73:5; 114:20, 24; 118:4; 119:5; 133:8, 11, 22; 135:7; 159:15; 163:24; 171:6; 181:25: 400 C 181:25; 188:8; 192:14; 212:8, 24; 213:22, 25; 214:9, 11, 22; 215:3, 6, 22 216:12, 18; 219:10, 25 wind [21] - 130:6; 153:13; 154:18, 23, 25; 155:7, 19; 175:4; 179:4, 17; 180:21, 23; 195:9, 12, 16-17, 20, 22 winds [19] - 123:11; 124:9; 128:13; 129:20; 151:21;

153:3, 11; 155:22;

156:13; 178:22; 179:1, 13, 15, 21; 180:19; 189:8; 206:19; 224:20; 225:4 wing [1] - 93:10 winter [1] - 36:9 wish [4] - 13:5; 26:22; 33:10; 113:22 withholding [1] - 51:23 WITNESS [2] - 198:1, 24 witnesses [5] - 17:19; 171:23; 172:4, 18; 176:24 Woloshyn [3] - 2:6; 3:3; 4:17 wonder [2] - 17:18; 18:11 wonder [3] - 82:22; 116:24; 210:21 word [3] - 82:22; 116:24; 210:21 wording [5] - 74:23; 77:9; 138:24; 167:12; 218:5 work [2] - 141:5 working-day [1] - 211:10 works [5] - 14:1; 116:15; 131:24; 231:17 world [1] - 83:8 worried [1] - 29:20 worth [1] - 47:20 write [1] - 31:2 written [6] - 12:1; 66:11; 114:10; 210:11, 14; 232:2	182:1, 13, 25; 184:6; 186:2; 187:2, 9, 12; 206:15, 18, 24; 229:15 zones [9] - 56:8; 127:14; 142:5, 11; 143:19; 154:2; 155:13; 229:17 zoning [1] - 54:4 Zoom [5] - 3:18; 7:3; 75:8; 94:21; 231:14
¥	
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{year} [18] - 5:4; 9:7; \\ 19:6, 8; 35:20; 39:3; \\ 51:9, 12; 67:25; \\ 71:21; 73:18; 76:13; \\ 122:9; 195:12, 21; \\ 202:24; 208:5; 227:5 \\ \textbf{Year's} [1] - 39:11 \\ \textbf{years} [15] - 4:15; \\ 5:11; 18:17; 21:17; \\ 34:22, 25; 51:14; \\ 100:16; 123:12; \\ 213:11; 221:1; 222:9; \\ 227:6; 229:15 \\ \textbf{yours} [1] - 122:8 \\ \textbf{yourself} [4] - 8:7; \\ 35:7; 52:24; 54:1 \\ \textbf{yous} [2] - 230:4 \\ \textbf{YouTube} [3] - 8:16, \\ 20, 24 \end{array}$	
Z	
zone [56] - 121:18; 123:1; 127:9, 17; 129:4, 8, 18; 130:1-3, 7; 142:16; 143:4; 144:16; 146:17, 21; 151:1, 9, 12, 16, 21, 24; 152:10; 153:2; 154:15; 155:13; 156:1; 157:21; 158:10; 167:11; 169:14; 170:6, 10, 15; 174:21, 25; 175:8, 11; 176:15; 178:6; 181:5;	